



Supply Chain Coordination to Support Technical Modernity in the Oilfield Drilling Sector

By

MOHAMMAD SHAMSUDDIN ANSARI
SAP ID #500065533

Guided By

MICHAEL FLEMING
Operation Manager - Iraq
Momentum Logistics LLC, Sharjah, UAE

A DISSERTATION REPORT SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR

MBA Logistics & Supply Chain Management

OF

CENTRE FOR CONTINUING EDUCATION

UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM & ENERGY STUDIES, DEHRADUN



Acknowledgement

This is to acknowledge with thanks the help, guidance and support that I have received during the Dissertation.

I have no words to express a deep sense of gratitude to the management of Momentum Logistics LLC, Sharjah, UAE giving me an opportunity to pursue my Dissertation, and in particular Mr. Michael Fleming for his able guidance and support.

I must also thank Mr. Mohammad Tariq Awan for his valuable support.

I also place on record my appreciation of the support provided by Mr. Mohammed Waqas and other staff of Mr. Devkumar Armugam.

Signature:

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Shamsuddin', with a horizontal line underneath.

Name of the Student: Mohammad Shamsuddin Ansari
Residential Address: Lalbagh, Darbhanga, Bihar - 846004
Telephone/Mobile: +91 9852327445
E-mail: shamsuddin@clubkamal.com

Date:

15th October, 2019

Place:

Umm Qasr, Basrah, Republic of Iraq

Momentum Logistics L.L.C
A Gultainer Company

P.O. Box 225, Sharjah - U.A.E.

Tel: +971 (6) 5587941

Telefax: +971 (6) 5587528

E-mail: info@momentumlogistics.com



A Gultainer Company

مومنتوم اللوجستية ذ.م.م
شركة غالفيتيز

ص.ب: ٢٢٥، الشارقة - ا.ع.م

تليفون: ٥٥٨٧٩٤١ (٦) +٩٧١

تليفاكس: ٥٥٨٧٥٢٨ (٦) +٩٧١

ايميل: info@momentumlogistics.com

Declaration by the Guide

This is to certify that the Mr. Mohammad Shamsuddin Ansari a student of MBA Logistics & Supply Chain Management, SAP ID #500065533 of UPES has successfully completed this dissertation report on "Supply Chain Coordination to Support Technical Modernity in the Oilfield Drilling Sector" under my supervision and guidance.

Further, I certify that the work is based on the investigation made, data collected and analyzed by him and it has not been submitted in any other University or Institution for award of any degree. In my opinion it is fully adequate, in scope and utility, as a dissertation towards partial fulfillment for the award of degree of MBA.

Thanking you,

Best regards,

Michael Fleming

Operations Manager - Iraq

Momentum Logistics LLC (A Gultainer Company)



A Gultainer Company

PO Box 225, Sharjah, UAE

T: +971 6 5062000 Ext 6962

F: +971 6 5587528 M: +964 7808262552

E: mflaming@momentumlogistics.com



Date: 15th October, 2019

Place: Umm Qasr, Republic of Iraq



Gulf Container Repair



Sharjah Inland Container Depot



Momentum Logistics L.L.C
A GulfTainer Company

P.O. Box 225, Sharjah - U.A.E

Tel: +971 (6) 5587941

Telefax: +971 (6) 5587528

E-mail: info@momentumlogistics.com



A GulfTainer Company

مومنتوم اللوجستية ذ.م.م

شركة غلفتينر

ص.ب. ٢٢٥ الشارقة - ا.ع.م

تلفون: +٩٧١ (٦) ٥٥٨٧٩٤١

تليفاكس: +٩٧١ (٦) ٥٥٨٧٥٢٨

إيميل: info@momentumlogistics.com

Date: 20th August, 2019

To,
The University of Petroleum & Energy Studies
Dehradun, India

Subject: Letter of Acceptance for the Guiding Dissertation Project of Mr. Mohammad Shamsuddin Ansari (Registration No. 500065533).

Dear Sir,

Mr. Mohammad Shamsuddin Ansari is registered for the MBA Logistics & Supply Chain Management with the University of Petroleum & Energy Studies, Dehradun in the 2018 – 2020 batch.

I hereby give my acceptance to guide the above student through the Dissertation work “Supply Chain Coordination to Support Technical Modernity in the Oilfield Drilling Sector” which is a mandatory requirement for the award of EMBA degree. He will carry out the project under my supervision and I will guide, advise and support him whenever and wherever its required.

Thanking you,

Best regards,

Michael Fleming
Operations Manager - Iraq
Momentum Logistics LLC (A GulfTainer Company)



PO Box 225, Sharjah, UAE
T: +971 6 5062000 Ext 6962
F: +971 6 5587528 M: +964 7808262552
E: mfleming@momentumlogistics.com



Gulf Container Repair



Sharjah Inland Container Depot



Freight Forwarding

Website: www.momentumlogistics.com

International Logistics City



Table of Contents

Acknowledgment	ii
Table of Contents	iv
List of Figures	vi
Executive Summary / Abstract	vii
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Background	4
1.3 Purpose of the Study	5
1.4 Research Hypotheses	5
Chapter 2: Literature Review	7
2.1 Review Area Broad	21
2.2 Review Area Narrow	22
2.3 Factors critical to success of study	23
2.4 Summary	24
Chapter 3: Research Design, Methodology and Plan	26
3.1 Data Sources	26
3.2 Research Design	27



3.3 Survey Questions	29
3.4 Interview Procedures	29
3.5 Data Analysis Procedures	30
Chapter 4: Findings and Analysis	31
4.1 Descriptive Statistics	31
4.2 Correlation/ Regression Analyses	37
Chapter 5: Interpretation of Results	45
5.1 Interpretation of Results	45
5.2 Comparison of Results with Assumptions (Hypotheses)	46
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Scope for Future Work	49
Bibliography	54
References	58
Appendix: Interviewer Script	59

List of Figures

Fig. No.	Descriptions	Page No.
1	Major Iranian Oilfields	2
2	General Petroleum Supply Chain (PSC)	21



Abstract

Studying modernity within the topic of supply chain is associated with the creation of cooperative ties between the companies and its supply chain partners in order to create new services, products & processes.

Companies are more and more obliged to get side by side and work as part of broader network with other companies to develop or absorb new technology, new product, or merely to maintain their contact with the advance technological developments. These networks are formed upon the collaborative efforts of firms each specialized in specific moderate body and service complementary to the broader system. Successful modernity is the result of cooperation and how companies' objectives are aligned with each other that motivate the companies to commit their utmost effort for the overall success of the system

This study is aimed to get a deeper understanding of modernity development within the context of oilfield supply chain and also to reveal the relations between the impediments in this process and their underlying causes. The study of current oilfield supply chain revealed range of major factors impacting effective modernity within the area of supply chain management such factors are collaborative relationships, communication, knowledge, capability and motivation. Motivated by these results, the subsequent part of this paper identifies and studies these factors and their impact on Modernity in channel of supply chain.

The supreme goal of this dissertation is highlights number of recitation for the organizations of relevant business to this study to improve the Coordination of supply chain in order to facilitate modernity. The suggestions are based upon the findings of the case study, and the literature review conducted earlier in this study.

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview

The petrochemicals are rare and limited natural resource on which the current world are depends as the major source of energy. The petrochemical generally referred to as oil, is a fuel formed during the thousands years process of tremendous heat and pressure from the decomposition of plants and animals buried in the ground. Petrochemicals playing very important roles in the world economy and its products having major impact on the human life by fueling their energy requirements. It's also required as a raw material for the several industries.

Oilfields are commonly placed in the sensitive geographical area such as desert, remote locations, forest, offshore and coldest areas. Their extraction and production are associated with the huge cost for its processes, therefore the industry always required new techniques and product technologies to improve the efficiency, safety and ultimately reduce the cost of their functions.

The petrochemical industry divided into the two major activities - upstream and downstream. The upstream activities include the exploration, production and transportation of crude oil and the downstream encompasses functions dealing with processing of crude oil in refineries and ultimately the distribution and marketing activities of the oil products. The upstream sector is comprised of several exploration and production companies as well as large number of associated sectors such as seismic, drilling, engineering, technical, technological, service and supply companies that each of these firm's operation involve several activities and utilize many equipment. In such complex and trustworthy processes, Modernity cannot be achieved by a single company in isolation but is the outcome of collaboration and Coordination of entire key players within the oilfield drilling supply chain.

1.2 Background

Technical Process of Oilfield Production:

- Exploration:

The input, oil, is located in the ocean. Geologic team as the subsidiary sector of the oil company conduct the seismic survey on tract of land that is of interest under the sea. Using a variety of techniques, team of specialized geologists search for geologic formations that are likely to contain oil. Employing sophisticated equipment and techniques, the seismic ship drags equipment behind it that sets off explosions and the acoustic equipment listens to the returns to determine whether the surface of the sea contains oil reservoir. The oil company then study the reports, and if the reports approve the possibility of oil reservoir, the oil company will lease that tract of land from the government. Soon after, the oil company will hand over the land to the main service company to set up its oil production facilities and start the production.

- Production:

After the geologic findings determined the likelihood presence of oil, the service company identifies the suitable well sites. Soon after, the service company accepts bids from different suppliers and manufacturers for their drilling equipments. One major sub-contractor is the rig company (tower-like steel structure to support the drilling equipment). After winning the bid through tendering process, the rig and other equipments are transported to the desired drilling area and set up for production. The production rig is usually owned and operated by the rig company. The drilling process is usually directed by the company man, the project manager from the service company, and the rig manager does what the company man ask him to do. One major supplier to the service company is the bit manufacturer. Drilling bit is an equipment of the drilling system that comes in many sizes and shapes based on the section and formation of the ground to be drilled. The bit is attached to the end of drilling string (collection of the well pipes that is being raised

and lowered by the rig to facilitate the drilling of the well) and breaks apart the rock being drilled until it reaches the oil reservoir. when oil is found, the drill pipe and bit are raised from the well, and metal pipe referred as casing is sent down into the drilled hole and cemented in place. The casings upper end is attached to a system of pipes and valves called a wellhead, or Christmas Tree, through which natural pressure forces and directs the oil into separation and storage tanks. The entry point of oil from the reservoir into the well is called wellbore. If the natural pressure is not strong enough, pumps are used to force the oil to the surface.

- Transportation

Ultimately the output of production referred as crude oil is transported to refineries by different ways such as pipeline, ship, truck, and railroad. Transportation is usually done by separate companies that are funded by the oil company.

- Geographical Focus




Fig. 01 Major Iranian Oilfields

This paper starts with brief description of the geographical focus of the study to reveal the importance of this study for the oil sector of Iran as the selected region of this study. The Gulf Basin hydrocarbon resources are among the world's largest basins. Konyuhov & Maleki (2006), based on number of estimates, the Gulf basin holds 55-68% of recoverable oil reservoir and more than 40% of gas reserves. Iran in particular contains the world's third richest known oil reserves of 132.5 billion oil barrels and the world's second largest natural gas reserve of 971 trillion cubic feet. However, Iran oil industry has not been able to fully utilize its resources for two major reasons.

First of all, the current technology deployed for the oil fields under production is not suitable for efficient production. Iran Oil (2008), current technology only enables to access only 20% to 25% of the overall hydrocarbon of an oil reservoir from Iran's fractured carbonate basins, 10% less than the world average. It is estimated that 400,000 -700,000 bbl/d of crude production is lost yearly because of the declines and lack of advance techniques to maintain efficient production in the mature oil fields.

Second, there is a lack of effective technology that makes the production of oilfields efficient, and economically viable. World Oil (2008), there are still various oil fields in the Gulf region that are not been explored or considered for the production. The reported remaining oil basins for the Gulf countries of Iran, Iraq, Kuwait, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, United Arab Emirates are about 585 billion barrels of undeveloped, or non-producing oil reservoirs. According to National Iranian Oil company (2006), Iran's recoverable liquid hydrocarbon reserves was 138,4 billion barrels.

The above information reveals the importance of the selected region in terms of oil reserves and the vitality of technological innovation for improvement of current oil production system and also to activate the idle reservoirs that previously were technically impossible or was economically unwise.

1.3 Purpose of the Study

The purpose of this research is to determine the most effective current supply chain management concepts and theories applicable to the oilfield drilling sector to demonstrate the extent of their adoption by the organizations in the petrochemical industry and to demonstrate the benefits and potential benefits when supply chain management is effectively applied to such the organization.

The oilfield drilling system is comprised of many parts and sub systems which are supplied and manufactured by the different manufacturing firms and suppliers. The modernity or Modernity within the petrochemical industry specifically oilfield drilling sector is a multifaceted and complex process that cannot solely be developed in isolation.

Therefore, the needs of this paper is to tackle the challenges of oilfield production system through project management lenses and study the importance of effective supply chain coordination on achieving technical modernity in the oilfield drilling sector that will be essential to open up and facilitate the efficient development of oil and gas reserves. Moreover, this paper seeks to develop an improved and deeper understanding of the process of joint design and development activities, the influence of the involved parties, and the impediments and their causes affecting the modernity within the oilfield drilling production supply

1.4 Research Hypotheses

Due to the comprehensive size of petrochemical industry, it would not be possible to cover the entire supply chain in this research. Therefore, this dissertation only focus on the upstream sector of supply chain and merely present general review on the overall system. This research will provide deeper study on the oilfield drilling sector and relevant approaches to technical modernity. In order to achieve above, the case study approach has been chosen to provide focus and in depth information on the selected research topic and its will:

- Investigate the impediments of managing successful technological modernity within a complex supply chain.
- Investigate the role of modernity in driving improvements in oil well production.
- Examine the challenges facing project management in coordinating supply chain parties to support technical modernity in the oilfield drilling and production.
- Examine current regional focused practices in supply chain management in oil sector and their impact on the success of technological modernity in the oilfield drilling supply chain.

2. Literature Review

- **Coordination in oilfield drilling supply chain**

Oilfield drilling system is comprised of complex supply chain that incorporates diverse range of technologies in its system. The literature review highlights the crucial role of planning activities, Stank et al. (2001). Cross-functional collaborations among the supply chain, Lambert et al. (1999), coordination of the supply chain, Umanath (2005), supply chain alignment of business vision, Peck & Juttner (2000), and communication strategy of supply chain, Lamming (1996). Beamon (1998) defines such cooperation among the supply chain members as an integrated process in which suppliers, manufacturers and other sectors along the chain work jointly in an attempt to acquire and convert the raw materials into products and ultimately deliver them to the end user. Therefore, there is no doubt about the important role of supplier's involvement and collaboration in coordination of the entire supply chain.

Lasschuit and Thijssen (2003) suggest that there is a great appeal for the oilfield drilling supply chain to be integrated horizontally across different subdivisions. The horizontal integration of the supply chain promotes the joint coordination and cross relationship of the layers of strategic, planning, and operation. This approach to the supply chain requires substantial amount of operational information and decision making processes that involves feedstock, production, coordination across supply, distribution, terminals, and channel segmentation. The cooperation among different divisions in the petroleum supply chain has a dynamic nature. The decisions in manufacturing can be affected by operating efficiencies, transportation costs as well as production planning.

Adams (1985), based on the traditional approach, oil well drilling is a process ordered in a chain of different phases. Some of the processes have linear relationship meaning that one phase should be carried out before the other process could be developed. Vertical coordination can be defined as an interaction of one firm with

another firm in order to sell an output or buy an input. Vertical integration can be easily applied to oil industry supply chain where the output of one firm in the supply chain link is the input for the other. As mentioned above, the output of exploration is the input to production. The output of production is the input to the refinery, like manner, the output of the refinery is the input to marketing. Therefore, the oil and gas industry provides a possibility for vertical integration. Moreover, vertical coordination is associated with the question of what processes to be performed internally by the firm and what processes to be sub contracted. On the other hand, vertical disintegration is focused on co-development and co-operation with and among supply chain members for new product development. However, new approach to the oil well drilling process has different perspective.

Neiro (2004), the need for collaboration has forced the companies within supply chain to overstep their organizational boundaries and to consider the surrounding business environment before planning their activities. In support of the latter, Yichen et al. (2010) highlights the need for firms to seek collaboration opportunities outside their firms with partners in order to improve the supply chain's efficiency, and performance. Uzzi (1997), Collaboration of organizations within the supply chain enables a firm to quickly take advantages of the market opportunities. Kalwani & Narayandas (1995), Collaboration among supply chain partners can be the source for innovation, problems are resolved faster and new products will be developed faster.

Mentzer et al. (2000), no single organization in the oil well drilling industry encompasses all the essential expertise, knowledge and capabilities to serve as a natural integrator of the skills needed for successful development of major technology. Corswant & Tuna (2002), firms need to understand that product development and collaboration of the supply chain is a complex process that involves many internal and external interactions. The inter-organizational new product development as well as their collaboration with other

manufacturers and suppliers along the supply chain were considered to be crucial to successful new development and generation of new technology.

- **Source of technological modernity in oilfield industry**

Oilfield drilling technologies have originated from different sources, such as oil companies, main service companies, government funded research and development centres, universities laboratories, and small firms within the industry. However, the sources of new technology have faced changes over years.

Traditionally oil companies developed the new technology to the point of feasibility acceptance and then contract it out to its subdivisions and manufactures to build upon it and produce it. During 1980's, major oil companies reduced their level of research and development on oilfield drilling as part of the business strategy to buy new technology products versus in house development.

At the present time, both oil companies and suppliers collaborate to develop new technology, but for the most part, the oil companies are more concentrated on exploration and production activities. Consequently, oil company mostly focus on exploratory investigation and early-stage development to shape and direct the new technology processes. Therefore, the oil company can be defined as a system integrator that pull together various technological elements in its highly multifaceted production system.

Service companies have increased their research and development activities which led them to differentiation from competitors and growth in order to be among the major technology drivers in the oil industry. The present technology development model in oil well drilling places large amount of the responsibilities with the service companies. The large integrated service companies join together with smaller contractors and suppliers are often the major source of many innovations in the industry.

Eva & Martin(2011), “A key feature of innovation in the oil industry is that it is both distributed across supply chain and often undertaken in formal collaboration arrangements among them typically involving oil companies, service companies, suppliers.”

- **Impact of technological modernity on oil industry**

New advance technologies are transforming the face of oilfield drilling industry. Snyder (2004), drilling technology has evolved from 71 feet to many miles beneath the earth surface in different directions. The drilling system can now hold up under extreme geographical conditions of the offshore environment which was not possible just a decade ago. In the same manner, drilling bits technology has advanced in a way that can coax more oil resources from the extremely hard formation of the rocks where just until recently was not even considered.

Snyder (2004), The 21st century oilfield drilling industry is supercharged by technological modernity. Modernity in oilfield drilling system has significantly changed the process in which oil reservoirs are discovered, developed, and produced. Technological advancement in oil industry has minimized the risks associated with exploration activities and also reduced the time required to drill an oil well. Also, some new technologies have lowered the production cost by reducing the number of project participants and improved safety. Last but not least, Technological advances have also developed better environmental protection and management of natural resources.

Schempf (2007), Oil well drilling has greatly progressed from basic geology activities to highly advanced computer based calculations. Precise identification of even small oil reservoir is now possible by advance technology without drilling the ground and eliminates the traditional process of test drilling hoping to spot the reservoir.

- **Structure of the supply chain management**

Today supply chain management focuses on value adding activities, efficient and better use of all resources, materials, people, technology and information for the sake collaborative new developments. Farley (1997), Supply chain coordination focuses on how firms employ their suppliers knowledge, capability and technology to improve their competitiveness.

Keah Choon Tan (2000) describes supply chain management as the evolvement of traditional purchasing and logistics functions in to a strategic management of the resources and their distribution. Supply chain management involves the collection of business units that facilitate the collection and conversion of raw materials to products and deliver them to the end user by means of distribution system. Moreover, Chen & Paulraj (2004) defines supply chain management as a chain encompassing of several entities of manufacturing and supply that each entity is connected to another in the process of developing and delivery of products to the end user.

Harland (1996), effective supply chain management involves coordination of business activities and relationships within an organization, between the customer and suppliers, among the suppliers and within the entire supply chain. The primary concept of supply chain was implemented during 1980s and is been continued to be used in the current time as an effective method in the area of inter-organizational management of operations, system integration, and information exchange Ashesh et al. (2011).

Traditionally, supply chain literature studied procurement, and value adding activities without clearly specifying new product development as part of this process. The literature findings often focused on the output excluding the actual dynamics and factors affecting the process of supply chain that led to the new product development, McIvor et al. (2006); Brown & Eisenhardt (1995); Kamath & Liker (1994).

- **Challenges of oil well drilling supply chain collaboration**

The challenges facing oil industry supply chain has been changed along with the changes in oil production technologies. The challenges have become more complex and diverse hindering what firms could develop internally. Oilfield drilling operations require collaboration of the entire suppliers for effective customization of the technology that cope with particular environmental challenges of the operation such as the diversity of geological formation, marine (within offshore operations), hydrocarbon, and weather conditions.

Ashesh et al. (2011), upstream oil industry supply chain is complex due to its global nature and large number of processes and organizations involved in the system. The oil production requires great specialization that would not be possible to acquire all the skills and capabilities in one place. As the supply chain extends the geographical borders, the coordination becomes more complex as the number of variables to manage increase along with the coordination difficulty between the large number of scattered players. Dispersed geographical footprints cause challenges for collaboration of the supply chain. Therefore, globalization of the supply chain has increased the need for effective supply chain management within the global context.

Moreover, Rao & Rodriguez, (2005) argues that knowledge differences of the suppliers and service companies is the reason for slow progression of new technology development within upstream oil industry. The differences on level of knowledge is sometimes referred as “information asymmetry”. Lack of efficient knowledge of service company is the impediment for effective and rapid new technology development. In the same way, the lack of detailed expertise in new technology development hinders the service company’s ability to evaluate the new technology. Fast and frequent development of the new product is related to the firm’s absorptive capacity that can be developed through joint organizational network

of learning, knowledge sharing, and problem solving between supply chain partners.

At the heart of this collaboration cycle is the communication strategy. The frequency, quality, flow and ease of communication that support all the participants in this cycle will have a great impact on the speed of idea-discovery and innovation. Traditional business processes and communication methods need to be changed to support the new integrated view on supply chain. Modernity within supply chain coordination involves application of advance information system and IT upgrading to facilitate and increase collaboration of extended supply chain Andez et al. (2005), Morooka et al. (2001).

- **Elements of modernity in channel integration in supply chain**

Number of prior literatures have identified a broad range of factors crucial to successful product innovation. This part of literature review will provide a summary of the key variables within the area of supply chain management, such factors are: collaborative relationships, communication, knowledge, capability and motivation.

- **Collaborative relationship**

Modernity should be analyzed both at the focal organization level, as well as the network level where the goals of the focal firm and those of the collaborating companies are jointly studied. There are number of studies that have looked at the impact of collaborative networks on product innovation performance. In this section, the aim is to present and discuss the prior literature on different types and level of collaboration within supply chain and its impact on new product development. Level of collaboration is categorized as:

Inter- organizational relationship

Jap (1999) explains that supplier's partnership can lead to innovation through the combination of ideas from different sources. Likewise, Malhotra et al. (2005), Supply chain partners improve

understanding of and reaction time to the market by collaboration and working together.

In order for the firms to engage in partnership activities, they should be evaluated on following factors:

- (a) Knowledge Network: Availability of efficient level of knowledge to participate in innovation activities.
- (b) Skills & Capabilities: Availability of technology and expertise to involve in production activities.
- (c) Cultural Factors & Relationships: Utilization of close and trustworthy partner relationship to engage in the process new developments.
- (d) Resource sharing: Availability and willingness to share resources to engage in the activities

Customer-supplier relationship

The concept can be addressed by advocating a customer-oriented, relational view in which innovation development focuses on a specific customer need, and values the customer's co-creation. Customer-focus view point encourages the following activities to encourage innovation in the system:

- (a) Customer involvement in the new development process
- (b) Organizational culture and strategy of customer-centric services
- (c) Multi-method approach to capture customer knowledge
- (d) Integration of customer solution with the inter-organization's experience

Supplier-supplier relationship

In support of this approach to supplier collaboration is the Japanese model of effective supplier partnership Dyer & Ouchi (1993). The Japanese model indicates that supplier's relationship is significant

in new product development and communication has a critical role at all level of interactions.

Dyer & Ouchi (1993) stated the part of the Japanese Ministry of International Trade and Industry speech in 1987 that highlighted the significant and influential role of suppliers collaboration “The Japanese manufacturing industry owes its competitive advantage and strength to its subcontracting structure. Essentially the nature of customer-supplier relationships in Japan is based on the fact that the common drive is on maximizing the efficiency of the entire business process of the supply chain.”

- **Communication**

Effect of customer- supplier communication on the new product development has been explored by number of scholars, Katz & Tushman (1979); Hauptmann (1986). Based on series of multinational corporation case studies, Wynstra et al. (2000) suggests that the common communication problems of supply chain during new product development are: poor guidelines for supplier’s participation; incorrect implementation of the new product within customer’s system; outdated information hinder new development efforts. Mei & Zhang. (2011), miscommunication cause many collaborations to fail by causing conflicts and misinterpretation among supply chain members.

Liker et al. (1998), case study identified technical communication as an area of communication that cause problems during new product development. The findings indicated that in most of the cases the client’s team were not well educated in the supplier’s components yet had full decision power over the development of the component. In this regard, Yichen et al. (2010) emphasizes the role of effective communication in avoiding such coordination and collaboration problems and facilitating coordination of supply chain and development of new product.

Collaboration among supply chain organizations is not only pure interactions, but leverages data sharing and creates market

knowledge for sustainable competitive advantage, Malhotra et al. (2005); Tuten & Urban (2001). Lee and Whang (2001) defines information sharing as the deliberate intention to make strategic data available to supply chain.

Min et al. (2005) described information sharing as the heart of supply chain collaboration. Information sharing is defined as the extent and frequency of firm's sharing of related, precise, comprehensive and confidential information frequency Sheu et al. (2006), Cagliano et al. (2003).

The process of collaboration among supply chain members is studied based on frequency, direction flow, and means of communication. Open, regular, two-way, and multilevel communication suggests close relationships among the supply chain, Goffin et al. (2006); Tuten & Urban (2001).

Likewise, Mohr and Nevin (1990) examined the pattern of communication and suggested the concept of "collaborative communication strategy," which refers to key communication features including frequency, extent of bi-directional flows, informal modes, and indirect content. Chen & Paulraj (2004) "Communication is the glue that holds supply chain partners together through balanced, two ways, multilevel contacts and message services."

- Knowledge & capability

Integrative modernity cannot be generated autonomously, hence collaborative partners combine and exchange knowledge and capabilities via information exchange processes, resource sharing mechanism, and effective governance system, Dyer & Singh (1998); Lavie (2006). Liker et al. (1998), argued that level of firm's knowledge and capability network is associated with factors causing technological modernity. The issue of technological incapability and Lack of knowledge caused the need to explore supply chain knowledge and capability networks as critical factors

influencing the effective development of new technology or product.

Knowledge network

Eva & Martin (2011), describes the most influential knowledge network as “Strategic Innovation Networks”. This type of networks does not only involve joint research and development activities and collaboration with other supply chain members, but also technology and knowledge exchange with major oil companies and innovator in the industry. In the support of same point, Freeman & Hagedoorn (1994) revealed that an advance level of capabilities close to the global technological innovator is needed in order to participate in the international technology collaboration.

Parallel to the findings of Eva & Martin (2011), Eva Dantas and Martin Bell (2011) emphasize on the correlation between the development of firm’s internal capabilities with the development of their knowledge network. Bell & Pavitt (1995) stated that knowledge exchange among the supply chain members act a link that connect their internal capabilities. However, they later emphasize that knowledge interactions cannot be effective unless sufficient level of capabilities already exist in both of the firms. Hence, firms level of capability affects the type of knowledge network that can be developed.

Capabilities

The capabilities of key supply chain operands were identified as another component element of new technological development and innovation Figueiredo (2010); Lall (1987). Kim (1997) Number of capability dimensions were identified such as the nature of technological developments; the kind of knowledge base originating those developments; the modes and objectives of learning pursued by firms, and the available R& D facilities and resources to carry out innovation.

While adaptive capability of the firm can be described as a formalized and deliberate mode of learning through training and engaging expertise in the system, as well as the creation of R&D facilities, and hiring of experienced personnel and technical teams to carry out design activities, engineering and relevant technical knowledge.

Generative Capabilities is defined as the most influential mode of capabilities to develop innovation. It is mainly based on independent research and development activities; broader engineering and technical knowledge related to the mode of technology or product development. Generative capability involves formal engineering and scientific activities; hiring people with relevant expertise, educating and training of the personnel, and experimenting and developing novel product or technology.

Resource sharing

As part of the efficient utilization of the capabilities, resource sharing activities such as sharing of manufacturing equipment, facility, and technology are carried out among the supply chain partners Mei & Qingyu (2011). Dyer & Singh (1998) suggest that firms that combine resources in a unique way achieve competitive advantages over other firms who cannot create the same mode of network because of its unique, valuable, non-substitutable, and difficult-to-imitate nature (Barney, 1991).

In the support of latter, Lavie (2006) also suggest that integrated organizations in dyadic cooperation combine and align operant resources with in-house resources to attain competitive advantage for the organization in focus. Vargo & Lusch (2004) referred to this strategy as an integrator and transformer of micro-specialized competence into multifaceted services that have competitive market advantages.

Training and knowledge sharing

Rao and Rodriguez (2005), lack of service company's familiarity with the new technological developments hinder effective technology application in the system. McIvor et al. (2006) revealed the need for training to permit those most affected by new developments to acquire skills and knowledge to utilize the new technology and implement it in the system. moreover, training activities develop better collaboration among the project team, problem solving, and conflict management.

Dantas & Bell (2011) the firms attempt to strengthen their human resources and rebuilt their technical knowledge by investing in training programs, hiring personnel with expertise, attending conferences, and experimenting the new developments.

- **Motivation**

The real challenge in supply chain is to motivate the suppliers to utilize their maximum effort to make extraordinary contributions to the system. The solution requires change in traditional approach to supply chain and build relationships based on trustworthy collaboration and the expectation that the benefits of collaboration will be shared among the player. In this section motivation dimension is examined within three major themes relevant to the concept of innovation within the area of supply chain.

Business vision & alignment of incentives

The collaboration and coordination among supply chain organizations generates new challenges and complexities due to the possibility of conflicting business incentives among different supply chain players.

Therefore, collaborative relationship in supply chain should be based on the similarity of intentions, common goal, and sharing of benefits Wong (1999), Tuten & Urban (2001). Supply chain partners can increase their benefits and achievements by

collaborating toward common business vision and mutual goals Sheu et al. (2006), Manthou et al. (2004).

Trust

Concept of trust has been studied by many scholars as a mean to identify the factors influencing performance and development in supply chain and business relationships of different industries chain. Trust is identified as the willingness of a firm to depend on an exchange with its partner in whom it has confidence Moorman et al. (1993), Currall & Inkpen (2002). Moreover, trust significantly encourage good relationship building and positive interaction among the operands. The role of trust in the area of supply chain relationships is identified and demonstrated by the scholar's studies Seppanen et al. (2007), Moorman et al. (1993).

Trustworthy relationship and effective supplier joint venture may take many years to be develop gradual learning, change in corporate culture, sharing of strategic information Carr & Pearson (1999), Ireland & Webb (2007).

Competition

Technology is an integral part of the oil industry specifically within the oil well drilling field and has a major role in achieving success in global economy. Firms in oil industry are facing increased global competition to develop frequent technological improvements in order to remain in the market. Firms face the urge to invest large amounts in research and development activities in order to decrease product development times, improve quality and reduce costs so they can remain competitive. However, McIvor et al. (2006) suggest that technological innovation is a result of collaborative relationships in which the focus is on shared interdependence and decentralize power and responsibility and distribute it within the system. According to this concept, competition among firms is replaced by competition among supply chain networks

2.1 Review Area Broad

Industry Operates

Exploration \Rightarrow Production \Rightarrow Refining \Rightarrow Marketing \Rightarrow Consumer




Fig. 02. General Petroleum Supply Chain (PSC)

Oil industry can be broadly grouped in to exploration, production, refining, marketing, and consumer sectors. Exploration is considered as the initial process in the chain that the outcomes of this sector forms a basis for proceeding processes. The exploration process involves number of subsystems including seismic, geophysical and geological operations. The outcome of exploration is information about the oil field formation and its properties. Later on, the findings of exploration are used as the primary source of information in production phase. Production phase involves oil well drilling and production operations. The outcome of production phase is the crude oil that is refined during a complex operation. Like manner, marketing is the customer of refining. Marketing involves the retail sale of gasoline, engine oil and other refined products. The final phase is transportation of the oil products such

as gasoline to the ultimate consumer as the end user of this supply chain.

The petroleum industry is divided into the upstream and the downstream activities. Exploration, production and transportation of crude oil and gas to the point of transformation into final products, constitute the upstream activities. While the downstream activities deal with processing of crude oil in refineries and finally the distribution and marketing activities of the oil products obtained. According to Forrest and Oettli (2003), oil industry still manages its activities such as planning, central engineering, upstream operations, refining, and supply and transportation by complete separate entities. Each phase of the link is a separate firm or a unit of an integrated organization.

2.2 Review Area Narrow

Upstream Oilfield Sector:

Forrest and Oettli (2003), Finding, developing, and extracting oil and gas are the primary functions of the upstream oil industry. The upstream oil supply chain consists of operators (oil companies), main service companies, subcontractors and suppliers. Oil companies are positioned on highest level of chain and they manage the subsystems. Oil companies have interface with governmental entities worldwide and some are directly related to the governments themselves. The upstream supply chain activities are managed by large oil companies. As a subsidiary to oil company, main service company manage the oil well drilling and production. Main service companies are often traditional engineering and contractor companies that have been expanded under years of development strategies.

The service company collaborates and sub contracts the tasks to sub sectors such as manufacturer and suppliers. The majority of procurement is structured as project execution tasks. Each project is unique and different in size. In spite of the large number of suppliers involved in the process, much of the supply chain

management is based on the hierarchical model meaning that the service company is the main decision maker and collaborator of the links.

The main goal of supply-chain management in oil industry is to provide greatest customer service at the least possible cost.

Therefore, economics plays an important role and the main issue along the links of upstream supply chain is evaluating the benefits versus costs along the chain.

2.3 Factors Critical to the Success of Study

The challenges facing in the petrochemical industry supply chain has been changed along with the changes in oil production technologies. The challenges have become more complex and diverse preventive what firms could develop internally. Oilfield drilling operations require participation of the entire suppliers for effective customization of the technology that cope with particular environmental challenges of the operation such as the diversity of geological formation, marine, hydrocarbon, and weather conditions.

Fast and frequent development of the new product is related to the firms absorptive capacity that can be developed through joint organizational network of learning, knowledge sharing and problem solving between supply chain partners. Traditional business process and communication methods need to be changed to support the new integrated view on supply chain. Modernity within supply chain Coordination involves application of advance information system and IT upgrading to facilitate and increase collaboration of extended supply chain Andez (2005), Morooka (2001).

The upstream oil industry supply chain is complex due to its global nature and large number of processes and organizations involved in the system. The oil production requires great specialization that would not be possible to acquire all the skills and capabilities in one place. As the supply chain extends the geographical borders, the Coordination becomes more complex as the number of variables to manage increase along with the coordination difficulty between the

large number of expanded players. Dispersed geographical footprints cause challenges for collaboration of the supply chain. Therefore, globalization of the supply chain has increased the need for effective supply chain management within the global context.

2.4 Summary

This literature aims to address the objectives of this dissertation by identifying and discussing the theoretical aspect and scholar's view on the concept of modernity within the context of supply chain management of the oilfield drilling sector. In the first section, general study of petrochemical industry supply chain is presented to bring insight on how the petrochemical industry operates.

Afterwards, more specific focus is given to the upstream sector by presenting major sectors of the upstream oil industry supply chain, their functions and their correlation.

The second section of literature review focuses on the concept of the modernity within the oilfield drilling sector. It presents brief description of some of the major recent technological Modernity are reviewed and their impact on the petrochemical industry is discussed. Later, sources of modernity from earlier to present time is investigated.

The third section, the approach to supply chain coordination and challenges of collaboration is discussed. The traditional techniques of supply chain management and the current approach to coordination in upstream petrochemical industry and more specifically on oilfield drilling supply chain is discussed to present the evolution of the system.

The study of current petrochemical industry supply chain revealed range of coordination and collaboration factors hindering effective modernity in this industry. Motivated by these new challenges, the final part of the literature review is aimed to bring insight on scholar's view on the strong correlation of supply chain coordination and modernity. Therefore, the factors impacting the

effective modernity in channel coordination in supply chain is investigated.

Collaborative relationship:

- Inter- organizational relationship (firm level, corporate level)
- Customer-supplier relationship
- Supplier-supplier relationship

Communication:

- Information sharing strategies
- Types and level of communication links within supply chain

Knowledge & capability:

- Knowledge Network
- Capabilities
- Resource Sharing
- Training and knowledge sharing

Motivation:

- Business Vision & alignment of incentives
- Trust
- Competition

Finally, the third part of the literature review starts by studying the general framework of supply chain management, the traditional application of supply chain and evolution of supply chain concept.

3. Research Design, Methodology and Plan

This chapter aims to present the research method used in this study. First, qualitative research method is presented, and then qualitative data collection is discussed including, participants, data collection process, and major criteria themes.

Considering the number of suppliers and amount of resources needed to develop a new design for the section of oilfield drilling system and the little research available of the subject as such, it's appropriate to increase the knowledge about this subject matter. The oilfield drilling company recently decided to improve its production rate for a major oilfield project and in this regard they requested drilling bit manufacturer as its subsidiary company to develop the new bit design according to the specific project requirements. The project yielded many knowledge and experience that will be discussed in this study and later will be aligned against literature theory to attain an understanding of critical factors affecting the successful development of the new product design within oilfield drilling supply chain.

3.1. Data Sources

According to Yin (2009), a good case study uses several sources for data gathering. In order to gain information from different sources, direct observation and interview should be used as main measure instruments

Interview/ semi conducted:

The most important source of information in this case study were acquired by According to Yin (2003), interviews are the main source for the case study research method and are used to interpret the meaning of major themes through the applicant's interpretation of the phenomenon. Deep knowledge of the situation is obtained and conveyed in common language.

In this study, list of predefined questions based on the literature review were prepared and supplementary questions were asked

during the interview to gain more adequate understanding. The interviews were taped with the participant's consent. Based on the questions, the interviews have been conducted as a conversation, where I encouraged interviewees to talk freely around the areas I was interested in. During the sessions, I emphasized that each interviewee may express his/her personal opinions and views without any restraint.

- The semi-constructed interview questions are listed in the appendix
- Written documents

In addition to semi structured interviews, written documents relevant to this paper were studied as another type of measure instruments. This included collection of documents related to the project provided by the bit company and the offshore drilling company. The goal was to complete the findings from the interviews from the qualitative study. The documents related to the project acted as secondary data in this research. However, due to the confidentiality and strategic nature of the project information, the company did not allow me to disclose the documents in this paper.

3.2. Research Design

As it was mentioned, the case study project was the outcome of the collaboration between the large number of geographical scattered players. In order to get a deeper understanding of the processes involved in this project and acquire more comprehensive data, I travelled to some middle eastern countries in order to directly meet and discuss with the parties involved in this project. Moreover, I visited the manufacturing sites and research & development centre in order to deeper understand the process of new product development.

One influential author in method literature, Yin (2003), argues that academic research can have the three main purposes:

Exploratory studies:

Exploratory studies are useful if the researcher aims to increase the reader's understanding of an issue. The main purpose is to investigate situations where the intervention being performed has no clear set of results. (Yin, 2003) "What is happening; to seek new insight; to ask questions and to assess phenomena in a new light". The aim of exploratory research is to form hypothesis based on the research question. In this type of studies, the information is gathered prior to generation of any theories or research questions.

Descriptive:

Descriptive studies are more comprehensive and attempt to describe the characteristics of the situation, but do not provide substance and understanding to the causes of the phenomenon. (Lekvall & Wahlbin, 2001) "A descriptive study is useful when you want to display a case, a process, a situation or an event and the real-life context in which it occurred." (Yin, 2003) "This study is also appropriate when the problem is structured, but the intention is not to analyze the connection between causes and symptoms."

Explanatory:

Explanatory studies are suitable when the researcher seek to establish and explore answers to relations between causes and symptoms. (Yin, 2003) "In contrary to descriptive studies, explanatory studies provide substance and understanding to its underlying cause." The goal is to study the issue to reveal the relations between causes and symptoms. (Kalbasi, 2007) "Theory is used in order to understand and explain the exact, rather than to produce generalizations".

In order to understand such practices, this case study draw parallel to prior literature and therefore, can be classified as an explanatory case study.

3.3. Survey Questions

As part of this study the following research questions are considered:

1. What are the impediments and their underlying causes to effective integration of supply chain that effect modernity?
2. What are the lessons from the research for the organization to improve the integration of supply chain in order to facilitate modernity?

3.4. Interview Procedures

According to Yin (2003), interviews are the main source for the case study research method and are used to interpret the meaning of major themes through the applicant's interpretation of the phenomenon. Deep knowledge of the situation is obtained and conveyed in common language.

The sampling will be collected as part of the qualitative research approach of this study. Individuals will be selected on the base of their position, their role in the project, and their level of knowledge about the project. Participants of this study included project members from different organizational level, background, level of involvement and area of responsibility in order to study the project from different perspectives.

In this study, list of predefined questions based on the literature review will be prepared and supplementary questions will be asked during the interview to gain more adequate understanding. Based on the questions, the interviews will be conducted as a conversation, where I will encourage interviewees to talk freely around the areas I was interested in. During the sessions, I will emphasize that each interviewee may express his/her personal opinions and views without any restraint. The goal will be to complete the findings from the interviews from the qualitative study. However, due to the confidentiality and strategic nature of the project information, the company may not allow me to disclose the documents in this paper.

3.5. Data Analysis Procedures

The data analysis was conducted by breaking down raw data from interviews and categorize them into relevant and understandable pieces of information. This process helped to interpret the data and draw out the major themes data in accordance with the purpose of this research study. However, it should be said that the data analysis was a continuous process and new findings were added to the research body.

Primary data:

Primary data was first collected in the latter period of the study from the interviews.

Secondary data:

Secondary data was available from start to end from the already collected documents.

4. Findings and Analysis

The new bit design project was initiated by the oil service company as part of its production improvement strategy for its upcoming major oilfield production. The goal was to introduce a better design configuration tailored to the requirement of the oilfield drilling project.

Since the huge project such as oilfield drilling involves the large number of processes, parties, and chain of supplies, it would not be possible to cover the whole matter in this paper. Therefore, one specific company within this complex supply chain has been selected as the focus of this research to give an insight on the successes factors and impediments of new design development with in such an interconnected and complex supply chain.

Pars Match, the bit manufacturer company, has been selected as part of the oilfield drilling supply chain and as the main responsible party to develop the new bit design for the major oilfield project. Although the bit company was in direct contact with the service company to develop the new design, the effect of other parties in the supply chain on the process of new bit design has revealed to be of major importance. Therefore, the aim of this research paper is to find the important factors of successful supply chain collaboration that consequently effect the new design development.

4.1 Descriptive Statistics

- **Initial Analysis & Specification**

The project was set off by the ultimate customer, National Iranian drilling company (NIDC). Initially, NIDC's engineers and geologist studied the oilfield and did the initial drilling before handing the project over to service company (customer). When the initial field study was completed, NIDC awarded the oil field production project to Petro Pars as one of its subsidiary and provided them with the main planning and its requirement.

By the time the project was awarded to Petro Pars service company, pre-production planning (well planning) was carried out by the service company team of engineers and geologists based on the specific requirement of the oil company. The project review was conducted assessing the technical and operational scope. Later the project plan was conducted and the subcontractors and suppliers were selected to participate in the tendering process.

Selection of Suppliers / Tender Process:

Number of suppliers and sub-contractors were invited to participate in the tendering process. Petro Pars service company provided them with all the detailed technical information of the project (well program) on the number and type of the wells, type of the formation, time and procedure of each section and requirements. The tender proposal was both technical and commercial giving the service company the chance to compare them based on both criteria.

Types of tender: volume tender

Volume tendering was conducted for the selection of the suppliers. The volume tendering ensures the purchase of large quantity of product from the supplier. In this case, the oil company buys the parts in large quantity and the orders are usually in volume. therefore, the focus will be more on providing customer with large quantity of products or parts in a short time with good price and reasonable performance.

Awarding the tender:

The client (main service company) was responsible to provide all the necessary information such as well property and characteristics of the formation to its selected sub-contractors and suppliers. In this project, the client advised the bit company to focus its design development on different type of bit than previous projects (using roller cone bits instead of PDC bits) because the formation of the well scratch and damage the PDC bits. In this situation the drilling should be done by roller cone bits and the final section of the well

should be drilled by PDC bits. This requires the bit to be pulled up and changed which can be time consuming and very costly. In the drilling project that has the daily cost of 300,000 dollars, time plays a critical role. Because of this special situation, the client required a new solution that must be less time consuming and more cost effective.

• **Design & Solution Development**

As it was revealed in the first phase, the new bit design was primarily based on customer requirements, characteristics of the new project as well as evaluation of bit performance in the previous projects with number of common characteristics.

The client's requirements as well as the special conditions of the project was initially received by Pars Match as the local bit manufacturer and later through discussion and meetings with its joint venture partner in France, the information were analyzed in order to proceed with new bit design. The local company site technician and engineer communicated with R& D headquarter in France. Through the two-way communication, the new PDC bit was designed that was scratch resistance and could be run through different section of the well and therefore, there was no need to waste production time by pull up and change the bit type.

The scientific analysis was done involving both local and international members such as engineers, technicians, geologist team on the following factors:

- Section of the well the bit will be used
- Well profile: vertical / directional, if horizontal what motor is going to use to give the directional angle
- Type of the formation: requires different design and material of the bit
- Well architecture: casing

Some of the technical support was done by their local joint venture company and some by sending resource from France to Iran. Most

of the job is done by them since they receive all the information from the customer and analysis and finally send the product design request. Based on the design request, the order is manufactured in plant.

• **Performance Testing & Evaluation**

For an appraisal project, drilling bits were provided to the service company to be tested in an appraisal well.

Initially the service engineer from the bit manufacturer had to be presented on the appraisal project to find the optimum drilling parameters for the new bit; since, the best of the bit design cannot give the best performance if not given the right parameters.

However, no one from the bit company was present during the project and also service company did not update the bit provider during the process of the appraisal project. When the new scratch resistance bit was run in an appraisal project, the performance rate was still low.

When the evaluation finished, bit company were told that their bit performance rate was low. Post project meeting was held between the internal parties (in this case the local bit company engineer, and site supervisor as well as a designer and engineer part of the joint venture team in France) to evaluate the performance of the new bit design.

After number of meetings between the service company and the bit company, it was revealed that there were many factors affected the performance of the bit.

- The bit was not run properly, the bit type was not appropriate for section of the well which it was used
- The parameters applied on the bit was not correct.
- The design alteration on the bit has affected the performance of BHA which is another tool that is provided by another company.

During the post meeting, it was pointed out that the company man (service company project manager) needed more extensive

knowledge about the technical aspect of the bit in order to use it properly for its oil well drilling project. For example, during this project, special technical procedure had to be done on specific part of the bit to make it ready for the project, however the company man ignored the bit company request and called it unnecessary. The situation caused disruption in the project until the company man reviewed the manual of the part and realized he was wrong. The problem occurred because of the lack of knowledge of the project manager on technical procedure.

In order for the new bit design to work properly, the service company project manager felt the urge to coordinate meetings between the bit company and BHA company to resolve their design issues. Finally, the cooperation through the main service company was done between the bit company and the BHA company to resolve the problem and make changes accordingly. It should be emphasized that only by changing the bit design, the performance rate of the drilling cannot be improved. Performance rate depends on all the parts involved in the drilling and one-part performance is related on the performance of another part.

As a result of this situation, the appraisal project had to be repeated however, this time a technician from the bit company was present during the project and monitored the bit performance and made sure the bit technical requirements were met by the service company people.

Yet, the service company hesitated to inform the bit company about the exact time of the next appraisal project and notified them just days before starting the project. While on the other hand, the bit company had to be informed long time in advance to make the arrangement with its joint venture in France in order to send the technician for this project. Therefore, the bit company could not make the arrangement for the technician trip to Iran in such a short notice and eventually had to make a compromise and send a local technician on site.

During the project, company man (project manager from service company) was the number one responsible person to coordinate the resources, procedures, and had the full authority over the subsidiary service companies and suppliers. However, the company man's involvement in job of its subsidiaries caused critical problems and down time of the project. For example, in this project, special technical procedure had to be done on specific part of the bit to make it ready for the project, however the company man ignored the bit company request and called it unnecessary. The situation caused disruption in the project until the company man reviewed the manual of the part and realized he was wrong. The problem occurred because of the lack of trust of the project manager in subsidiary company, and also the lack of knowledge on technical procedure.

During the final post project meeting, the drilling bit supervisor who monitored the drilling bit at the site, presented the group with his site report and findings about the bit performance. Later in the meeting, suggestions were given from key parties to make changes to the bit design.

- **Production Acceptance/ Redesign**

Based on the post project meeting with customer and other suppliers involved in the appraisal project, the design changes were applied to the bit. The bit was redesigned and the new proposal was given to the customer (main service company). The new presentation was provided to the client specifying the advantage of the revised design, and once approved the new design was sent to the production and scheduled to be tested in the next appraisal project.

This time, the service engineer from the Varrel (international partner of the local bit company) had to be presented during the project to find the optimum drilling parameters for the new bit and educate the customer on the new design application.

The process of design development was a circular process and continued until the bit was approved in the appraisal project. Meetings were held among the service company and all of the subsystem companies such as bit companies that were involved in the appraisal oil drilling project.

The focus group provided feedback and suggestions for improvement so that the cycle could be improved further. The information design cycle was repeated several times, based on the feedbacks and review obtained from the focus group, until it met the customer's requirements of the project. Finally, when approved the bit prototype was sent for production in larger quantity and later was used in the service company's major oilfield production project.

Despite the fact that the new bit design for the project ended with the approval of the product however, due to the nature of the field, the customer always asks for the better, more durable bit. Therefore, the design process is in the circular progress to keep up with the competition and is in constant change to meet the requirement of upcoming projects.

4.2 Correlation/ Regression Analyses

Study and analysis of the data obtained from the interviewee's answers, revealed findings within the area of the research questions and literature review. The data were grouped based on their relevancy to the research question. The categorization of the data helped to extract major themes of the study, these themes were narrowed to five major themes that were more important than others. However, some themes are entangled together and some of the responses from the interview's participant cross number of themes at the same time. The themes collaborative relationship, communication, capabilities & knowledge, and motivation stood out from the rest.

The study of these themes within the project boundary helps to identify the weakness and strength factors of the project as such and overall supply chain system. By describing and analyzing the success and failure experiences during the project, and provide a room for improvement.

Research Strategy & Major Themes associated with modernity within supply chain coordination

Collaboration Relationship - An analysis of data from the interviews and collected documents highlighted the importance of good relationship between different parties of supply chain in this project. The project as such requires an interactive and cooperative relationship between inter-organizational members as well as external parties (Li & Vanhaverbeke, 2009). The relationships can be broadly classified to two categories of inter-organizational interaction between the member of the same company as well as intra-organizational interaction with other member of supply chain. The interaction between different level of supply chain is discussed as customer- supplier relationships which discuss the role of customer in innovation. The interaction between the same level of supply chain is discussed as supplier- supplier relationships which examines the effect of other suppliers on innovation of another supplier.

Customer-supplier relationship

The customer-supplier relationship in this project was mainly based on the customer focus perspective. The project was initiated by the customer and the project planning and criteria for new bit design development were set according to exact requirement of the customer. The findings of this study give insight on how the interaction network between the service company and the bit manufacturer affect the process of the new bit design project.

Supplier-supplier relationship

The findings of this study reveals that the relationship between the suppliers of the same customer is another relationship network that had a major impact on the outcome of this project. however, suppliers relationship network had some constraints. Main service company, Petro Pars, was the centre point of all interactions meaning that if the bit manufacturer wanted to discuss an issue that effect the work of other suppliers, it could not pick up the telephone to solve the issue but had to contact the main service company. Therefore, any type of interactions had to pass through the customer's check point. Main service company was responsible to manage any issues and coordinate with other suppliers and if necessary would arrange the meeting involving all the responsible suppliers to discuss the issue.

Internal supply chain: Collaboration among different partners

In addition to the external relationship of the bit company with its supply chain, Internal supply chain of the bit company is also focused to study the collaborative relationship of the local company with its internal supply chain. In this regard, the relationship of the bit company with its international partner is studied in order to understand how such a collaboration and coordination of western European technologies with local insights will affect the project outcome and ultimately the modernity.

Communication - Communication and its head words such as information exchange, and data sharing were mentioned many times by the interviewees. Data findings revealed that from the beginning phase of the project to the end, different type of communication strategy were used between the project stakeholders

Types of communication links between the company in focus and the supply chain

- Meetings:

Internal meetings: in this case between the local bit company and its joint venture in France. Engineer and site supervisor from the local

company prepare a presentation regarding the performance of the bit and give their findings and suggestions for design improvement to their R&D team in France. Problems of the bit is discussed among them and the decision to make changes to the design is made at the end of the meeting and new requirements are sent to R&D to be studied and developed.

External meetings: among the local bit company as a face of his joint venture and the client (service company) and other sub service companies involved in the project ex mud company, cement, mud logging, rig manager, geologist, BHA company

In this meeting the client points out the weakness of the project, it is very important as a sub-contractor to avoid pointing out the problem of the other sub-contractors directly it should be said only as a light suggestion, because it might cause relationship problem in other projects. It is the responsibility of the project manager to point out the problems and require each sub companies to explain the reasons and suggest solutions.

Post run meetings: the main service company project manager explains to all the involved parties what needs to be done in the project

Daily meetings: review of daily report of each party involved in the project on the day, and discuss any problems occurred during the day

Performance review meeting: review the project expectation and check if the project expectations are achieved and the requirements are met. What were the problems encountered during the projects and what needs to be improved.

Project meetings: project team and all the other external and internal relations to be up to speed on what was going on in the project. The frequency of project meeting also made it possible to issue tasks and overcome issues in plenum. It was appreciated that

the project management took advices, in relation to hinder and obstacles, from the rest of the project members into consideration.

- Online, Interactive Database:

Data revealed that internal communication within Bit manufacturer company was good, and that issues were quickly brought up on the agenda. Bit Company used an interactive online database listing all the company's products and its applications and can be accessed by their people anywhere, anytime.

Database software was accessible to all the internal company team to register and access all the information they need about all the bits, for example, Laurent as a regional manager can select the appropriate drill bit and discuss with the production manager ex he has a customer that wants a type of bit and not sure which bit is more appropriate so he can discuss it with the product manager and compare to select the best one for the customer.

- Inspection sheet:

That has all the characteristics of the bit with its part specification mentioning each part has done by which technician so in the case of any fault, they can tract the responsible person and fix the problem

Level of cross-functional communication between the Company in focus and the supply chain

In order to understand the level of cross-functional communication in this project, communication system is studied among the inter-organizational project team and with outsiders including customer, and other suppliers in terms of flow, pace and quality of information exchanged

In addition, major project's communication problems were pointed out by the interviewees such as complex communication system, poor guidelines for supplier involvement; information asymmetry; and outdated information which are discussed further during the case study of each phase.

Capabilities & knowledge

Capability & knowledge differences:

The project as such, it was very important to have dedicated and experienced people from all involved parties, including bit company, service company and other suppliers. The review of interview data did however emphasize that the level of capabilities and knowledge of the service company in regards to technical aspect of major parts had room for improvements.

It was pointed out that the company man (service company project manager) needed more extensive knowledge about the technical aspect of the sub system such as bit design in order to use it properly for its oil well drilling project.

Capability & knowledge sharing strategies (joint venture & partnership):

In terms of inter-organizational knowledge & capability, there was satisfactory comments about the level of knowledge and company's capability to develop new product design. Thanks to the joint venture with French bit manufacturer, Pars Match (bit company) increased its internal capability and knowledge. The company got access to new knowledge and advanced facilities and recombine them into pioneering capability to design and manufacture drilling bits. The joint venture increased the Pars Match's (local bit company) technological capability and agility to the market and enabled it to produce high quality bits at the right time. As it was revealed in the literature, various sources of knowledge enables the firm to create new combination of knowledge, (Kuen-Hung, 2009). In this case study, the collaboration with different partners allowed the local bit company to establish a unique knowledge network that could not be replicated by the competitors and also increased the chance of achieving the successful design development of new bit due to the diverse and broad sources of the knowledge and capabilities to be shared.

Motivation - Headwords related to motivation such as incentives, commitment, competition was brought up many times by interviewees. The case study showed that modernity plan can only be successfully implemented if sufficient amount of commitment and effort is put in to action.

In this case study, two type of motivation is emphasized and discussed.

Business Vision & Alignment of incentives

While there was a strong motivation for the internal organization members to create an improved bit design, the overall business strategy of the customer was not effective enough in alignment of suppliers incentives. The overall business vision of the project is defined by the main stakeholder which in this project is the main service company. The customers as a responsible party that manage the whole project, should create motivation by establishing effective business vision that aligns the business incentives of all the key players and promotes high expected project outcomes, level of commitment and team work among the project key players. However, the comments from the case study showed that there were conflicting incentives between the suppliers that hindered the honest and effective team work among them.

Degree of competition between suppliers

Competition was a motivation driver that improved the internal collaboration of the bit company specially with its international partner to meet and exceed the customer's requirement in order to beat the competitors and sell its product to the customer. However, the study identified number of external factors such as political policies and managerial decisions that affected the number of competitors and degree of competitions.

Impact of tendering and contract management

The case study findings showed that the type of tendering effected the supplier's motivation in developing new design. Volume

tendering was conducted for the selection of the suppliers. The volume tendering ensures the purchase of large quantity of product from the supplier. In this case, the oil company buys the parts in large quantity and the orders are usually in volume. therefore, the focus will be more on providing customer with large quantity of products or parts in a short time with good price and reasonable performance. While for a successful product design, the motivation nature should be on optimum performance. The case study will later study how the tendering strategy affects the nature of the suppliers motivation to produce new product design.

5. Interpretation of Results

5.1 Interpretation of Results

Complex:

One of the characteristics of this project was its complexity and large number of its processes. The project of new bit design requires vast area of knowledge in terms of technology, materials, and new advances and capabilities in terms of R&D and design facilities.

Geographically dispersed:

Since the project requires high level of capabilities & technological knowledge, it was not possible to find all the required skills and facilities locally in one place. Therefore, the organization (bit manufacturer) had to expand its geographical boundaries and collaborate with peers and suppliers in global context. As a result, the supply chain consists of large number of members located in different part of the world.

Customization:

The technical processes and requirements of one oilfield drilling project can't be applied to the other project due to the geography and nature of the oil reserve. Therefore, the new bit design required great customization based on the customer specification and given information about the characteristics of the oil field formation to be drilled.

The oilfield drilling operation in focus was an offshore operation and therefore, required greater customization of the technologies to deal with the diversity of geological, marine, hydrocarbon, and weather conditions, particularly with the advance into challenging environments of such as deep waters requiring collaboration with suppliers to deal with such customized demands.

Critical to oilfield drilling project:

Oilfield drilling can be ranked as one of the world's riskiest and expensive projects costing between \$400,000 -1,000,000 per day. The staggering daily cost of oil production demands no break during the project of off-shore drilling. The project schedule of 24 hours a day, seven-day-a-week often require workers to live on oil rigs for weeks at a time. Within such a pressurized project schedule, careful planning of all the parts and processes are crucial. In this regard, drilling bit as one of the critical instruments to the project plays an important part. Any fault in the bit design or technical aspect can cause major down time of the project resulting in the loss of thousands of dollars.

Expensive:

As it was mentioned earlier, the new bit design project is a complex process requiring vast area of knowledge in terms of technology, materials, and new advances and capabilities in terms of R&D and design facilities. Therefore, having several of human resources with expertise in the subject from around world and provide them with the required facilities and resources is expensive. Moreover, the developed design solution has to be tested many times throughout the project. The process of design- trial of the new product is very expensive and time consuming.

5.2 Comparison of Results with Assumptions (Hypotheses)

There is a need for improvement in the customer- supplier relationship: as it was revealed from the findings, many of the problematic incidents occurred externally mainly between the customer and the supplier. One issue with the customer supplier relationship is about the technical communication. It was revealed that the customer's technical knowledge about some detailed part of the subsystem components were not sufficient, therefore, it is the responsibility of the supplier to provide comprehensive information and instruction of the component to the customer prior to the project commencement to avoid any misunderstanding and delay in the project.

On the other hand, in order to establish a close relationship, the customer should be more open, honest and direct about what is needed to be achieved and its requirement from the supplier. The customer should establish a strong business vision by declaring its intention on the outcome of the project and share in detail what is required from the suppliers to make sure the success of the project is achieved. This can be done not only during procurement but should be continued during the process. The supplier should be constantly updated about any changes on the project requirement to avoid any problem afterwards.

There is also a need to improve the relationship among suppliers in the chain: the major issue was the lack of interaction that hindered effective communication and relationship building among them. The study revealed that supplier relationship is not autonomous and is based on the customer's decision. Open communication, and direct channel of communication must exist among the suppliers of the chain. It should be easy to get in touch with other parties if there is any related question or issues to discuss. The direct communication will encourage suppliers of the same system to exchange constructive feedbacks that will improve the overall system of supply chain.

In regards to communication, there is a need for better information sharing system to link the external supply chain members and facilitate co-operation. The current complex coding system for documentation and communication is very time consuming and can cause mistakes and headache for the suppliers in the chain to communicate with the customer. Therefore, more IT advance system such as interactive online system is necessary that can make interaction and information exchange accessible, and instant to all the parties furthermore, it will ensure more consistent level of communication throughout the projects.

Although the findings revealed the good level of internal knowledge and capability of the bit company, the main issue was the lack of others suppliers' level of capability that affected the bit

company new development, hence, effective strategy should be employed to fill this gap. Firm in the chain should constantly improve their level of knowledge and capability via regular training, conference attending and education of its human resources. Also, the firm should search for various resources not only in local market but also across different geographical locations. This can be achieved through formal collaboration such as partnership and joint venture.

Although the findings revealed the good level of motivation within the inter-organizational level, however, there should be stronger level of motivation to encourage the collaboration among suppliers. In this regard, the customer is the responsible party to establish effective motivation drivers in the system of supply chain. This can be achieved during contracting and procurement by establishing team-based financial and other incentives that provides the opportunity to evaluate the suppliers by their contribution to the overall outcome of the project and not only their autonomous responsibilities.

6. Conclusions and Scope for Future Work

This study tried to give a more comprehensive insight about the process of new product design process of one company that is part of an integrated supply chain such as upstream oil production. The case study of a single project was conducted in order to deeper understand the situation. Based on qualitative data collection method, key themes that had major effect on the project's outcome were identified and discussed based on the different issues occurred within different phases of the project.

This chapter presents the answers to the research questions mentioned earlier in this paper:

- What are the causes of the impediments to effective integration of supply chain that discourage innovation?
- What are the lessons from the research for the organization to improve the coordination of supply chain in order to encourage modernity?

The main reason behind the project initiation was the customer's demand for a more advanced technical solution for the drilling bit that can meet the distinctive requirement of the oilfield formation to be drilled.

Study of the narrative data from the interviews yielded five important themes which influenced the project outcome significantly. While the themes are common within the project management territory, but nevertheless revealed some unique insights on how these factors can lead the project destiny. The project was a joint cooperation between the external and internal resources. I believe that the inter-organizational collaboration between the local bit company and its international partner was very good in promoting new bit design. Most of the problematic incidents occurred externally mainly between the customer and the supplier, and in some cases among the members of the supply chain.

Analysis of the themes based on each distinctive phase of the project helped not only to identify the impediments but also to dig deeper and reveal the source and cause of these barriers.

Collaborative relationships - The practical value of this case study lies in a better understanding of how the configuration of a collaborative network affects its own performance. The impediments to the effective collaborative relationship should be searched in the overall supply chain power structure. The supply chain in this case study had a hierarchy based structure meaning any inter- subsystem issue had to be referred upwards to the service company. Suppliers had no autonomous interaction with other vendors during the design development but with the service company's engineers and personnel. Vertically integrated supply chain was the reason that the Petro Pars team had preminent decision-making power, and the subsystem company's' decisions were constrained to modernity activities. In this situation, each subsystem team was linked to others by passing through the service company gate, therefore, it was not being possible to consult with other supplier directly about the design of the new bit and how that change would affect their part as well. Although the customer transferred the information to other supplier but the quality of the collaboration would not be the same as it could be if they could be directly in contact and were not limited to the formal meetings conducted by the customer every now and then. Moreover, this type of structure put a heavy responsibility on the customer to manage every aspect of the project had weakened any chance for the suppliers of same level to build a good working relationship. Furthermore, the findings of the case study showed that, too much involvement of the customer was not helpful to modernity but was problematic for the supplier to perform its tasks properly. The case study showed that having the customer as the centre of any interaction could hinder direct decision-making and intervened to resolve conflict

In addition to the external structure of the supply chain, this study showed that different types of collaborative networks such as joint venture and partnership can help the companies to achieve the desired modernity. This can have important implications for managers to be aware of the importance of suitable partnership as it is an important factor for better alliances in product modernity performance. Companies should view collaboration with different partners and suppliers as a mean to acquire external knowledge to improve their internal capabilities.

Communication - Communication was acknowledged by all the interview participants as being crucial to effective integration of the overall supply chain. The case study indicated that the project team recognized the criticality of the communication but commented that it was also one of the main challenges to integrate suppliers functioning. The findings however, also revealed that the drilling teams would benefit from consistent level of communication throughout the project. while, it was indicated that there was a good amount of communication prior to project commencement such as pre-task briefing and pre-project meetings, there was less findings that the same level of communication was maintained during and after the project. There appeared that lack of effective communication between the suppliers about the unanticipated issues during the project was as the reason that the supplied bits for the appraisal project were misused leading to low project performance rate.

Knowledge & capability - Findings provided important implication for knowledge and capability that affected modernity. Level of knowledge and capability of the firms showed to be an important factor that enabled the project team to come up with novel product design. In order to have a good level of knowledge and capability, firms need to search for various resources outside of the organizational boundary. The Firms explore the latest new knowledge across industry boundaries & geographic locations. The case study showed that one way to achieve this goal is through

suitable partnership and joint venture activities. Moreover, the study showed that the organization on its would not be able to undertake novel innovation internally if it did not collaborate with its partner. Therefore, external knowledge was needed to recombine with internal knowledge for modernity. In addition to inter-organizational knowledge network, further findings indicated that the client's level of knowledge and capability to understand and use its subsystem knowledge was comparably an important factor to the design and implementation of the new product. These findings show that, sufficient amount of knowledge and capability should be existed within all the key members of the supply chain. Both customer and suppliers should be able to transfer their knowledge and also be able to absorb the external knowledge.

Motivation - The findings of this paper highlighted the role of motivation on the level of trust, commitment to task, and competition within the supply chain. The comments showed good motivation existed among the internal members; For the reason that there was a clear customer focus strategy and strong goal from the management to win the design project. Also, members of the bit company were all motivated by the new challenge and learning opportunity that the design project provided them. Moreover, Competition was an another motivation driver that improved the internal collaboration of the bit company specially with its international partner to meet and exceed the customer's requirement in order to beat the competitors and sell its product to the customer. However, the study identified number of external factors such as political policies and managerial decisions that affected the number of competitors and degree of competitions which also affected the motivation.

While the inter-organizational motivation definitely existed, the further findings showed that the external motivation among the supply chain system was less effective. The comments from the interviews pointed out to the lack of aligned incentives as the main issue that hindered strong motivation. Further study of the findings

revealed that the customer's overall business vision and management of the subsystems was not effective enough to generate a common goal and teamwork among the subsystems.

Therefore, it can be said that having an effective business vision that evaluates the subsystems based on the effective teamwork with other suppliers can be beneficial to encouraging motivation. Also, correct management of the subsystems through adequate coordination and co-operation of the suppliers promote good relationship and motivation for effective teamwork resulting in better new product development.

It should be pointed out that the research area of this study is narrow. I have chosen case study method therefore, the findings are very unique and cannot simply be compared to other cases. This study did not attempt to generalize; in order to generalize, the researcher requires various set of tests, which this case doesn't present. According to Yin (2009) "case studies are in fact can be generalized to theoretical propositions, but not to populations or universes." However comprehensive literature review was conducted to form a theoretical proposition stand that has been examined against the project in focus.

In terms of future research, this study identified five major themes that highly impacted the case study project. However, these findings left room for further research and improvement in this area. Perhaps, quantitative study can be used to increase the sample size in order to generalize the theory.

In addition, future research should comprise of quantitative surveys to be sent to other organizations within oil supply chain. As it was revealed in this paper, the new product development is not merely technical matters and is also about people relationships, therefore, future research should also attempt to attain the various stakeholder perspective of such project. Moreover, the researcher should take an unbiased view of matter and preferably come from external source.

Bibliography

1. Eva Dantas and Martin Bell (2011). The Co-Evolution of Firm-Centered Knowledge Networks and Capabilities in Late Industrializing Countries: The Case of Petrobras in the Offshore Oil Innovation System in Brazil. SPRU – Science and Technology Policy Research, University of Sussex, Brighton, UK
2. V. Barrag'an-Hern'andez a, R. V'azquez-Rom'an a, L. Rosales-Marines a, F. Garc'ia-S'anchez. (2005). A strategy for simulation and optimization of gas and oil production. *Computers and Chemical Engineering*, 30, 215–227
3. Morooka, C. K., Guilherme, I. R., & Mendes, J. R. P. (2001). Development of intelligent systems for well drilling and petroleum production. *Journal of Petroleum Science and Engineering*, 32, 191–199.
4. Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case Study Research, Design and Methods*, Third Edition. Thousand Oaks, California: Sage Publications.
5. Lambert, D.M., Emmelhainz, M.A., Gardner, J.T., 1999. Building successful logistics partnerships. *Journal of Business Logistics* 20 (1), 118–165.
6. Kim, K, Umanath, N., 2005. Information transfer in B2B procurement: an empirical analysis and measurement. *Information and Management* 42 (6), 813–828.b
7. Peck, H., Juttner, U., 2000. Strategy and relationships: defining the interface in supply chain contexts. *International Journal of Logistics Management* 11 (2), 33–44.b
8. Lamming, R.C., 1996. Squaring lean supply with supply chain management. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management* 10 (2), 183–196.b
9. Yichen Lin , YichuanWang,ChiahuiYu, 2010, Investigating the drivers of the innovation in channel integration and supply chain

- performance: A strategy orientated perspective, *Int. J. Production Economics* 127, 320–332
10. Uzzi, B., 1997. Social structure and competition in interfirm networks: the paradox of embeddedness. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 42 (1), 35–67.
 11. Kalwani, M.U., Narayandas, N., 1995. Long-term manufacturer–supplier relationships: do they pay? *Journal of Marketing* 59 (1), 1–15.
 12. Mentzer, J.T., Foggin, J.H., Golicic, S.L., 2000. Collaboration: the enablers, impediments, and benefits. *Supply Chain Management Review* 5 (6), 52–58.
 13. Snyder, R.E. (2004). Record water-dept drilling. *World Oil*. Retrieved September 18, 2007 from Michigan State University Libraries.
 14. Schempf, J. (2007). Untested technologies encourage industry to invest in the future. *Offshore*, p. 100-102.
 15. Harland, C.M., Zheng, J., Johnsen, T.E., Lamming, R.C., 2004. A conceptual model for researching the creation and operation of supply networks. *British Journal of Management* 15 (1), 1–21.
 16. Jap, S.D., 1999. Pie-expansion efforts: collaboration processes in buyer-supplier relationships. *Journal of Marketing Research* 36 (4), 461–476.
 17. Malhotra, A., Gasain, S., El Sawy, O.A., 2005. Absorptive capacity configurations in supply chains: gearing for partner-enabled market knowledge creation. *MIS Quarterly* 29 (1), 145–187.b
 18. Dyer, J. H. and Ouchi, W. G. (1993) Japanese-style partnerships: giving companies a competitive edge. *Sloan Management Review*, Fall, 5 1-63.

19. Mei Caoa, Qingyu Zhang. (2011). Supply chain collaboration: Impact on collaborative advantage and firm Performance. *Journal of Operations Management* 29 (2011) 163–180
20. Tuten, T.L., Urban, D.J., 2001. An Expanded model of business-to-business partnership foundation and success. *Industrial Marketing Management* 30 (2), 149–164.
21. Lee, H.L., Whang, S., 2001. E-business and supply chain integration. *Stanford Global Supply Chain Management Forum, SGSCMF-W2-2001*.
22. Sheu, C., Yen, H.R., Chae, D., 2006. Determinants of supplier-retailer collaboration: evidence from an international study. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management* 26 (1), 24–49.
23. Cagliano, R., Caniato, F., Spina, G., 2006. The linkage between supply chain integration and manufacturing improvement programs. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management* 26 (3), 282–299.
24. Goffin, K., Lemke, F., Szwejczewski, M., 2006. An exploratory study of close supplier manufacturer relationships. *Journal of Operations Management* 24 (2), 189–209.
25. Mohr, J., Nevin, J.R., 1990. Communication strategies in marketing channels: a theoretical perspective. *Journal of Marketing* 54 (4), 36–51.b
26. Dyer, J.H., Singh, H., 1998. The relational view: cooperative strategy and sources of Inter organizational competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Review* 23 (4), 660–679.
27. Lavie, D., 2006. The competitive advantage of interconnected firms: an extension of the resource-based view. *Academy of Management Review* 31 (3), 638–658.b

28. Freeman, C., & Hagedoorn, J. (1994). Catching up or falling behind: Patterns in international interfirm technology partnering. *World Development*, 22, 771–780.
29. Figueiredo, P. N. (2010). Discontinuous innovation capability accumulation in latecomer natural resource-processing firms. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 77(7), 1090–1108.
30. Lall, S. (1987). *Learning to industrialise: The acquisition of technological capability by India*. London: Macmillan.
31. Kim, L. (1997). *Imitation to innovation: The dynamics of Korea's technological learning*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
32. Barney, J., 1991. Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management* 17, 99–120.
33. Manthou, V., Vlachopoulou, M., Folinas, D., 2004. Virtual e-Chain (VeC) model for supply chain collaboration. *International Journal of Production Economics* 87 (3), 241–250.b
34. Moorman, C., Deshpande, R., Zaltman, Z., 1993. Factors affecting trust in marketing research relationships. *Journal of Marketing Research* 57, 81–101.b
35. Currall, S.C., Inkpen, A.C., 2002. A multilevel approach to trust in joint ventures. *Journal of International Business Studies* 33 (3), 479–495.
36. Seppanen, R., Blomqvist, K., Sundqvist, S., 2007. Measuring inter-organizational trust a critical review of the empirical research, *Industrial Marketing Management*, 36, 249–265.
37. Carr, A.S., Pearson, J.N., 1999. Strategically managed buyer–supplier relationships and performance outcomes. *Journal of Operations Management* 17, 497–519.
38. Ireland, R.D., Webb, J.W., 2007. A multi-theoretic perspective on trust and power in strategic supply chains. *Journal of Operations Management* 25, 482–497.

References

<https://i.pinimg.com/474x/b2/de/85/b2de85e5ce7a0e45f45bab7255f32e06--maps-history-oil-field.jpg>

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/A-general-modeling-framework-for-the-operational-of-Neiro-Pinto/48e4aaf1dab69d819d9231a4302c5ad4706090b9/figure/0>

Appendix: Interviewer Script

Dear Participant

Introduction:

As part of my MBA Logistics & Supply Chain Management at University of Petroleum and Energy Studies (UPES), Dehradun, I have sought to investigate the concept of modernity within the context of oilfield drilling sector. As main empirical sources of data I have decided to use the recent new bit development project that Pars Match bit manufacturing company conducted, in partnership with Varrel company and collaboration of Petro Pars as the main service company of the oilfield drilling project.

The main goal is to identify the major factors in the mentioned project that was critical to the project.

Method:

In order to gather this information, I will conduct semi-structured interviews, and review existing documents that relates to this project.

INTERVIEW QUESTIONS

Name:

Company:

Location:

Project start date:

Project end date:

Title:

Department:

Role in the project:

Capabilities & Knowledge

- Capability & knowledge differences between the company and its customer

- Capability & knowledge sharing strategies (joint venture & partnership)

- Training and knowledge sharing

Motivation

- Business Vision & alignment of incentives

- Degree of competition between suppliers

- Impact of tendering and contract management

Q.11- Could you explain the importance of each factors in each phase?

In addition to the above, the following questions were pointed at the managers since they were involved in the procurement phase of the project and management of the project internally.

Q.12- During the period of bidding and contract, has the oil company, as the customer, enforced a policy that requires the contractors and sub-contractors to be more competitive?

Q.13- During the time of bidding, has the oil company enforced the technology transfer clauses in the contracts?

Q.14- In terms of internal development, what is your strategy to be at the edge of advance technology and stay competitive in the market?
Tell us about your joint venture with other companies?