

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.....	iii
ABSTRACT.....	viii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiii
ABBREVIATIONS.....	xv
CHAPTER 1 RESEARCH FORMULATION.....	1
1.1 INTRODUCTION:	1
1.2 REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	2
1.3 RESEARCH PROBLEM	5
1.3.1 Problem statement:.....	5
1.3.2 Motivation / need for the research:	5
1.4 RESEARCH OBJECTIVES	7
1.4.1 Main Objective:	7
1.4.2 Sub-objectives:	7
1.4.3 Research Limits:	8
1.4.4 Research Questions:	8
CHAPTER 2 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	10
2.1 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND:	10
2.2 POLSAR PROCESSING:	13
2.2.1 RISAT-1 data processing:.....	13
2.3 SOURCES OF DATA:	17
2.4 SAMPLING OF DATA:	17
2.5 STATISTICAL AND ANALYSIS TOOLS:	18
CHAPTER 3 DATA USED AND STUDY AREA	19
3.1 STUDY AREA:	19
3.2 DATA USED:	20
3.2.1 Primary data:	20
3.2.2 Secondary data:.....	21
3.3 DATA ANALYSIS:.....	22
CHAPTER 4 FORMULATION OF PROBLEM AND SOLUTIONS.....	24
4.1 PROBLEM DEFINITION:	24
4.2 MATHEMATICAL DEFINITION:	24
4.3 EXISTING METHODS:.....	25
4.3.1 Based on the detection Strategy:	26
4.3.2 Based on the feature used as the decision variable:.....	27
4.4 PROPOSED METHODOLOGY:.....	27
4.5 GENERIC MODEL FOR A TYPICAL SHIP DETECTION ALGORITHM:	29
4.6 INTELLIGENT IMAGE INTERPRETER:	30
4.6.1 Sentinel-1A / RISAT-1 MRS processing:	30
4.6.2 Sentinel-1A / RISAT-1 PolSAR Processing:	30
4.7 HISTOGRAM FREQUENCY SLICING CONCEPT:	32
4.8 PROGRAMMING / MODEL OF SHIP DETECTION	33
4.8.1 Support Vector Machine (SVM) implementation:.....	33
4.8.2 Erdas Model implementation:	34
4.8.3 Erdas clump & sieve model:.....	34
4.8.4 Histogram Frequency Slicing (HFS) Matlab implementation:.....	35
4.9 SHIP CLASSIFICATION MODEL:.....	35
CHAPTER 5 RESULTS	37
5.1 SENTINEL-1A DATA:	37
5.1.1 SVM threshold image generation:.....	37

5.1.2	<i>Clump & Sieve operation:</i>	38
5.1.3	<i>Histogram Frequency Slicing:</i>	39
5.1.4	<i>Decision Tree classification:</i>	39
5.1.5	<i>Validation of Detection:</i>	40
5.1.6	<i>Positional Accuracy of detection:</i>	41
5.1.7	<i>Detection Performance (manual):</i>	42
5.1.8	<i>Detection Performance (SVM based):</i>	43
5.1.9	<i>Detection Comparison:</i>	44
5.1.10	<i>Accuracy Assessment:</i>	44
5.1.11	<i>Analysis of Results:</i>	45
5.2	RISAT-1 DATA PROCESSING:	47
5.2.1	<i>Design of Manual Detector:</i>	47
5.2.2	<i>Comparison of the best SVM techniques for the analysis:</i>	50
5.2.3	<i>SVM based approaches:</i>	50
5.2.4	<i>Test Ship details:</i>	51
5.2.5	<i>Positional Accuracy:</i>	52
5.2.6	<i>Detection Accuracy:</i>	53
5.2.7	<i>Classification Accuracy:</i>	55
5.3	HYBRID POLARIMETRIC PROCESSING:	56
5.3.1	<i>Raney Decomposition:</i>	56
5.3.2	<i>Kroger coherent decomposition:</i>	59
5.3.3	<i>Incoherent Decomposition:</i>	60
CHAPTER 6 OUTCOMES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS		64
6.1	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS AND OUTCOMES:	64
6.2	VALIDATION OF RESULTS:	65
6.3	DISCUSSION AND FUTURE WORK:	66
6.4	CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE RESEARCH	67
6.5	LIMITATIONS OF THE RESEARCH	68
REFERENCES		70
BIBLIOGRAPHY		72
APPENDICES		75
APPENDIX - A1: PROGRAMMING & CODING		75
<i>CFRS PolSAR pre-processing Matlab coding:</i>		75
<i>SVM Matlab code:</i>		77
<i>HFS Matlab coding:</i>		79
APPENDIX - A2: SENTINEL-1A POSITION DATA ON 24 FEB 2016 (SOURCE: BIGOCEANDATA)		81
APPENDIX - A3: SENTINEL-1A WEATHER DATA ON 24 FEB 2016 (SOURCE: BIGOCEANDATA)		83
APPENDIX - A4: SENTINEL-1A CLASSIFIED DATA BASED ON AIS / PORT CALL DATA (SOURCE: SEAWEB)		87
APPENDIX - A5: RISAT-1 POSITION DATA (PROCESSED) ON 15 FEB 2012 (SOURCE: VT EXPLORER)		89
APPENDIX - A6: RISAT-1A PORT CALL DATA ON 15 NOV 12 (SOURCE: VT EXPLORER)		91
BIO-DATA OF THE AUTHOR		93
REPRINT OF PUBLISHED PAPERS OF THE AUTHOR		94
PAPER 1:		94
PAPER 2:		108