

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure No. | Title | Page |
|-------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| Figure 1.1 | CO ₂ emissions in selected regions of the world in 2015 | 2 |
| Figure 1.2 | Projected biofuel production in major ethanol producing countries in the world | 5 |
| Figure 1.3 | Primary energy demand in India by fuel type and related CO ₂ emissions | 6 |
| Figure 1.4 | Ethanol demand with blending targets (%) in India | 7 |
| Figure 1.5 | Layout of thesis | 13 |
| Figure 3.1 | Typical life cycle of product/process | 34 |
| Figure 3.2 | Life cycle assessment framework | 36 |
| Figure 3.3 | Environmental impact categories used in LCA studies | 42 |
| Figure 3.4 | General research methodology used in thesis | 44 |
| Figure 4.1 | Top 10 sugarcane producing states of India | 48 |
| Figure 4.2 | Sugar factory locations in (a) Maharashtra and (b) Uttar Pradesh, India | 49 |
| Figure 4.3 | System boundaries for 1G fuel ethanol production in India | 52 |
| Figure 4.4 | Photographs of the sugarcane field, transportation vehicle, sugar mill and ethanol refinery | 53 |
| Figure 4.5 | Percent GHG emissions reduction in NR with $\pm 10\%$ variation in sugarcane yield with respect to base case | 67 |
| Figure 4.6 | Percent GHG emissions reduction in WR with $\pm 10\%$ variation in sugarcane yield with respect to base case | 67 |
| Figure 4.7 | Net energy ratio (NER) for ethanol production in NR, with $\pm 10\%$ variation in sugarcane yield with respect to base case. | 70 |
| Figure 4.8 | Net energy ratio (NER) for ethanol production in WR, with $\pm 10\%$ variation in sugarcane yield with respect to base case. | 71 |
| Figure 5.1 | Rice plants growing in standing water at fields | 74 |
| Figure 5.2 | Farmers of India burning rice straw in the field | 75 |
| Figure 5.3 | Lignocellulosic pilot plant based on dilute acid pretreatment | 78 |
| Figure 5.4 | Lignocellulosic pilot plant based on steam explosion pretreatment | 78 |
| Figure 5.5 | Cellulosic ethanol production technology of IOCL | 80 |
| Figure 5.6 | System boundary of 2G ethanol from rice straw | 81 |

| | | |
|-------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Figure 5.7 | Mass balance of ethanol production using two different pretreatment technologies | 88 |
| Figure 5.8 | GHG emissions from 1 ton processing of rice straw using dilute acid (DA) pretreatment | 89 |
| Figure 5.9 | GHG emissions (kg CO ₂ eq.) from 1 ton processing of rice straw using steam explosion (SE) pretreatment | 90 |
| Figure 5.10 | GHG emission reductions of ethanol blends and pure ethanol with respect to gasoline obtained from SE and DA process | 91 |
| Figure 5.11 | Life cycle fossil energy use in the ethanol production using DA and SE pretreatment | 92 |
| Figure 6.1 | IOCL advanced pretreatment technology for ethanol production | 104 |
| Figure 6.2 | System boundary of ethanol production | 107 |
| Figure 6.3 | GWP of conventional and improved pretreatment method for ethanol production | 112 |
| Figure 6.4 | EP of conventional and improved pretreatment method for ethanol production | 113 |
| Figure 6.5 | AP of conventional and improved pretreatment method for ethanol production | 115 |
| Figure 6.6 | POCP of conventional and improved pretreatment method for ethanol production | 116 |
| Figure 6.7 | Conversion cost breakdown in an ethanol plant | 117 |
| Figure 6.8 | Life cycle costing of the ethanol produced in different pretreatment scenarios | 117 |
| Figure 7.1 | Rabi and Kharif rice producing states in India | 121 |
| Figure 7.2 | System boundary of rice straw utilization systems in India | 123 |
| Figure 7.3 | Global warming potential (GWP) of rice straw utilization systems | 133 |
| Figure 7.4 | Eutrophication potential (EP) of rice straw utilization system | 135 |
| Figure 7.5 | Acidification potential (AP) of rice straw utilization systems | 137 |
| Figure 7.6 | Photochemical oxidant creation potential (POCP) of rice straw utilization systems | 139 |
| Figure 7.7 | Sensitivity analysis on effect of varying transportation distances on GWP | 140 |
| Figure 7.8 | GHG emissions of different rice straw utilization practices in India | 142 |