



**UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY
STUDIES**

End Semester Examination, December 2021

Course: Information Security Governance
Program: BTech LL.B CSE (Cyber Laws)

Semester : XI
Duration : 03 hrs.
Max. Marks: 100

Course Code: LLBL 665

Instructions:

SECTION A
(Type the answers in test box)

Objective Type Questions/Definitions/fill in the blanks

5Qx2M=10 Marks

Q. No.		Marks	CO
1	Define Information Security Governance.	2	CO2
2	Explain the reasons why user data is treated as a prized commodity	2	CO4
3	What are the privacy concerns related to the user data that is collected by mobile applications?	2	CO3
4	Why was the Homeland Security Act 2002 introduced in the USA?	2	CO1
5	Are e contracts valid in India?	2	CO1

SECTION B
(Scan and upload)

(Conceptual based question)

4Q x5M=20 Marks

Q. No.		Marks	
1	Personably identifiable information under Indian Laws	5	CO4
2	Evolution of information security	5	CO4
3	Emerging threats to information security	5	CO2
4	Online behavioural marketing	5	CO3

SECTION-C
(Scan and upload)

(Descriptive/Analytical Questions)

2Qx10M=20 Mark

Q.No.		Marks	CO
1	What kind of records may be retained by the Companies in the course of their business operations? Discuss with suitable examples.	10	CO3

2	Discuss the impact of Puttuswamy judgement of the Supreme Court on the evolution of privacy in the online information security in India.	10	CO4
SECTION-D			
<i>(Scan and upload)</i>			
<i>(Case Studies/ Application Based Questions)</i>		2Qx25M =50 Marks	
Q.No.		Marks	CO
1	<p>a) Read the following case facts</p> <p>Plaintiff employee, an equities trader, sued defendant employer alleging gender discrimination, failure to promote, and retaliation under federal, state, and city law. Plaintiff sought sanctions against the employer for its failure to preserve the missing backup tapes and deleted emails. She sought costs for the restoration of the backup tapes, an adverse inference instruction, and costs for re-depositions. The motion seeking costs for additional depositions were granted, but motion for adverse inference instruction was denied.</p> <p>These are the facts of the US case Zubulake vs UBS Warburg LLC. Comment whether there was any duty by the employer to preserve the emails.</p> <p>b) Read the following case facts</p> <p>Black concert promoters brought suit against booking agencies and other promoters, contending that they were frozen out of the market for promoting events with white bands by discriminatory and anti-competitive practices of defendants. Four defendants moved for a protective order relieving them of the obligation of producing e-mail that might be responsive to plaintiffs' discovery requests.</p> <p>These are the facts of the US case Rowe Entertainment, Inc. v. William Morris Agency, Inc. Comment on the privacy issues related to the production of emails by the defendants in the light of the facts of this case</p>	15x2 =30	CO 4
2	Write an elaborate note on the treatment of sensitive personal information in India, EU and USA.	20	