Name:

Enrolment No:



UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY STUDIES End Semester Examination, January, 2021 (ONLINE MODE)

Course	: International Business Semester: First	
Program	n: MBA –IB Time : 3 hrs	
Course	Code: INTB-7002 Max. Marks: 100	
Instruc	tions:	
	student must write his/her name and enrolment no. in the space designated above.	
2. The c	questions have to be answered as per the instructions given in the respective sections.	
	SECTION –A	
	h Question will carry 5 Marks	
2. Inst	ruction: Select the correct answer(s)	1
S. No.		
		CO
Q1	Which Theory says ""Trade is a positive-sum game	
	1. Theory of Absolute Advantage	
	2. Heckscher 1919	CO1
	3. Theory of Comparative Advantage	
	4. Balassa Index	
Q2	Leontief Paradox contradicted which theory	
	1. Theory of Comparative Advantages	
	2. Heckscher-Ohlin	CO1
	3. Theory of Absolute Advantages	
	4. None of the above	

Q3	Which option is applicabl		•				
	1. Physical exchange rat	io at which	goods are	exchange for one	another between the		
	countries 2. It does not take into consideration the changes in composition of the foreign trade and						
	2. It does not take into consideration the changes in composition of the foreign trade and quality of the goods						
	3. Import and export goods are compared according to their utility.						
	4. Utility terms of trade is the index of relative utility of import and domestic commodities						
	foregone to produce expo						
Q4	BOP data is important bec						
C C	1. Indicates pressure		ge rate.				
	2. May signal imposi			ols over payments, di	ividends, interest.	CO1	
	3. Helps forecast cou	intry's marl	ket potential				
	4. All of the above						
Q5	The rate at which the bank						
	it is willing to sell is know	vn as the	rate. Cl	noose the right optio	n to fill in the blanks		
	given in the question.					001	
	1. Offer and Bid					CO1	
	 Offer and Offer Bid and Bid 						
	4. Bid and Offer						
Q6	Pegged Exchange Rate Sy	istem mean	\$				
QU	1. Pegging value of h			ign currency or a ba	sket of currency.	CO1	
	2. Pegging value of a						
	3. Pegging value of a				2		
	4. Pegging value of a	a basket of l	nome curren	су			
			SECTION	-B			
S. No.	1. Each question will carr						
01	2. Instruction: Write sho			• • • •		CO	
Q1	"International Trade is a z	zero sum ga	me". Do yo	u agree with this stat	tement?		
				-		CO2	
Q2	Explain and interpret the g	iven Balass	a Index valu			CO2	
Q2	Explain and interpret the g		a Index valu				
Q2		ſRY		ue of India and US:		CO2 CO3	
Q2	COMMODITY/COUNT	ſRY	INDIA	ue of India and US:			
	COMMODITY/COUNT BASIC MANUFACTUR ELECTRONICS	FRY ING	INDIA 1.36 0.23	ae of India and US: AMERICA 0.63			
Q2 Q3	COMMODITY/COUNT BASIC MANUFACTUR ELECTRONICS Bombay on London's is q	TRY ING uoted as un	INDIA 1.36 0.23	AMERICA 0.63 1.33			
	COMMODITY/COUNT BASIC MANUFACTUR ELECTRONICS Bombay on London's is q Spot	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430	INDIA 1.36 0.23	ae of India and US: AMERICA 0.63 1.33		CO3	
	COMMODITY/COUNT BASIC MANUFACTUR ELECTRONICS Bombay on London's is q Spot 1 month forward	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430 2.0	INDIA 1.36 0.23	ae of India and US: AMERICA 0.63 1.33			
	COMMODITY/COUNT BASIC MANUFACTUR ELECTRONICS Bombay on London's is q Spot	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430	INDIA 1.36 0.23	ae of India and US: AMERICA 0.63 1.33		CO3	
_	COMMODITY/COUNT BASIC MANUFACTUR ELECTRONICS Bombay on London's is q Spot 1 month forward 2 months forward	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430 2.0 2.25 2.75	INDIA 1.36 0.23 der:	ae of India and US: AMERICA 0.63 1.33 4.1475 1.5 pm 1.75 pm 2.25 pm		CO3	
Q3	COMMODITY/COUNTBASIC MANUFACTURELECTRONICSBombay on London's is qSpot1 month forward2 months forward3 month forward	FRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430 2.0 2.25 2.75 ths and 3 m	INDIA 1.36 0.23 der: onths forwa	ae of India and US: AMERICA 0.63 1.33 4.1475 1.5 pm 1.75 pm 2.25 pm ard rates.		CO3	
Q3	COMMODITY/COUNTBASIC MANUFACTURELECTRONICSBombay on London's is qSpot1 month forward2 months forward3 month forward3 month forwardCalculate 1 month, 2 monthWrite a short note on GlobortOr	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430 2.0 2.25 2.75 ths and 3 m bal Industry	INDIA 1.36 0.23 der: aonths forward v Services and	AMERICA 0.63 1.33 4.1475 1.5 pm 1.75 pm 2.25 pm ard rates. nd existing business	models.	CO3	
	COMMODITY/COUNTBASIC MANUFACTURELECTRONICSBombay on London's is qSpot1 month forward2 months forward3 month forward3 month forwardCalculate 1 month, 2 monthWrite a short note on GloborOr"The rate of exchange between the state of exchange betw	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430 2.0 2.25 2.75 ths and 3 m bal Industry tween two o	INDIA 1.36 0.23 der: onths forwation of the second sec	AMERICA 0.63 1.33 4.1475 1.5 pm 1.75 pm 2.25 pm ard rates. nd existing business	models.	CO3	
Q3	COMMODITY/COUNTBASIC MANUFACTURELECTRONICSBombay on London's is qSpot1 month forward2 months forward3 month forward3 month forwardCalculate 1 month, 2 monthWrite a short note on GlobortOr	TRY ING uoted as un \$ 4.1430 2.0 2.25 2.75 ths and 3 m bal Industry tween two of dity of this	INDIA 1.36 0.23 der: onths forwator v Services and currencies is statement.	AMERICA 0.63 1.33 4.1475 1.5 pm 1.75 pm 2.25 pm ard rates. nd existing business s determined by thei	models.	CO3	

SECTION –C	
 Each question will carry 20 marks Instruction: Write Long Answer 	
Trading Coffee	
After oil, coffee is the most traded commodity and the largest source of export earnings in the developing world. Yet coffee farmers are hurting. They are paid record-low prices, due both to a global market glut and to modest consumption growth. Some analysts say coffee, like any other commodity, is simply subject to the swings of a competitive market. This notion is cold comfort to countries such as Nicaragua and Burundi, which rely heavily on coffee exports. The coffee cartel of the 1980s failed. What now? Producing countries are urging coffee companies to help find ways to stabilize the market, including a fund to help small farmers. Because coffee bushes are perennials, production may keep rising for two to three years in the face of falling prices. In the last five years, production grew an average 3 percent annually, adding 9 million bags of excess supply to the unprecedented 27 million bags already in stock. Over the same period, total consumption grew at only 1.5 percent. Further, per capita consumption of coffee in importing countries—where young people are more inclined to soft drinks—actually decreased.	
An estimated 100 million people directly depend on coffee for their livelihoods, including farmers and their families, coffee pickers, market intermediaries, and industry employees. And almost 25 million people are coffee farmers, most of them cultivating less than 10 hectares apiece in about 80 countries in Africa, Asia, Latin America, and the Caribbean. These small landholders provide around 70 percent of the world's coffee supply.	
Unskilled labor accounts for more than 60 percent of the total production cost on coffee farms. This characteristic, plus coffee's ease of cultivation, makes coffee production very attractive for poorer countries such as Vietnam, whose government decided in the early 1990s to promote coffee production and export. In 2000, Vietnam became the world's second largest coffee producer, displacing Colombia.	(
Five traders dominate 48 percent of the world market, five importers manage 46 percent of the total coffee exports, and five roasters control 55 percent of this volume. In Germany, Kraft Jacobs Suchard and Tchibo/Eduscho control 56 percent, and in Japan, Ueshimo Coffee and Key Coffee hold 43 percent of the market. US brands Maxwell House (Kraft Foods) and Folgers (Procter & Gamble) represent 56 percent of the US market. Most coffee is still consumed at breakfast in homes and restaurants; the ubiquitous Starbucks Coffee Company buys less than 1 percent of the world's coffee is a small component of the final product's price. Even if Starbucks adjusts its final price when coffee prices change, these changes are so small they do not affect demand. International prices have fallen by two thirds since 1997, and no significant recovery is expected any time soon. As a consequence of low price and low demand, farmers have become poorer.	
Nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) such as TransFair had led a "fair trade" campaign to increase the price paid to small growers. Under this initiative, small cooperatives themselves distribute the coffee they grow, and consumers are encouraged to patronize companies that buy this coffee. Certified importers pay the fair trade price (\$1.26 per pound). Certified roasters pay TransFair a licensing fee of 10 cents per pound of green coffee to use its fair trade-certified label.	

Yet a minuscule 0.2 percent of the coffee consumed in the United States and 0.9 percent of that consumed in the European Union is sold under the certification program. Oth suggested remedies include persuading MNCs to set up a fund that helps small farmer improve quality and productivity and enter more lucrative specialty—coffee niches.
Sources: The Coffee Book: Anatomy of an Industry from Crop to Last Drop (New Yor New Press, 1999) by Gregory Dicum and Nina Luttinger; International Coffee Organization; Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; Starbuck Corporation, annual report; TransFair USA.
 Reference: International Business: A Global Perspective by Marios I. Katsioloudes ar Sypros Hadjidakis. 1. What issues of international trade are addressed in this case? What internation trade theories are implied?