Name:					
Enrolment No:					
UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY STUDIES Online End Semester Examination December, 2020					
Course: Information Technology Law					
Semester: XI Programma: P. tash I.I. P. (IDP)					
Programme: B.tech LL.B (IPR) Course Code: LLBL667					
Time: 03 hrs. Max. Marks: 100					
Instructions: Attempt all questions					
T	SECTION A				
Instructions- 1.Attempt all questions					
2. Each	Question will carry 5 Marks	-1			
S. No.	True or False	Marks	CO		
Q 1	William Gibson coined the term Cyberspace in his novel Neuromancer.	5	CO1		
Q2	The Information Technology Act 2000 is based on the TRIPs agreement of the World Trade Organization.	5	CO2		
Q3	The digital signature makes use of two kinds of key i.e. public and private key.	5	CO1		
Q4	Section 79 of the IT Act bestows blanket protection on the Intermediary from any liability.	5	CO2		
Q5	Knowledge + material contribution=Vicarious liability of intermediary.	5	CO1		
Q6	Information Technology Act has never been amended.	5	CO1		
SECTION B					
Instruct					
	question will carry 10 marks				
2. Write short / brief notes					
	npt all questions				
Q7	Discuss the authentication of Electronic Record under Information Technology Act? Explain with the help of diagram.	10	CO2		
Q8	Explaining the concept of e-governance differentiate it from e-government.	10	CO2		
Q9	How can vicarious liability of an intermediary be established with regard to copyright infringement in cyberspace? Discuss the 'Fonovisa test' in detail.	10	CO4		
Q10	Explaining the concept of e-contracts describe the various types of agreements formed through websites. Also explain the rule regarding receipt and attribution as given under the IT Act.	10	CO2		
Q11	Discuss the main issues of consumers in e-commerce? Explain the relevant legal provision of Consumer Protection Act, 2019 to combat the same.	10	CO3		
SECTION-C					
Instruct					
	The Question carries 20 Marks. Attempt all parts of the question.				

Q 12	"The doctrinal foundation of the right to privacy in India rests on the trilogy of decisions in <u>M.P. Sharma vs. Satish Chandra, Kharak Singh vs. State of</u> <u>U.P.</u> and <u>Govind vs State of Maharashtra</u> . Of these, the decision in <u>M.P.</u> Sharma does not adjudicate on constitutional protection of a privacy right. Further, Kharak Singh, while rightly acknowledging that 'life' under Article 21 is not a right to "animal existence", suffers from an internal inconsistency that where on the one hand the regulation permitting domiciliary visits was struck down on the rationale of privacy without expressly using the term, on the other it recorded the absence of constitutional protection of privacy. These two contradicting views cannot co-exist and the two decisions, to the extent that they hold that the Constitution of India does not protect privacy;		
	 Answer the following questions : a. Which section deals with the punishment for violation of privacy under Information Technology Act? b. What is the maximum punishment provided for violation of privacy under the Information Technology Act? c. Explain the Justice K.S. Puttuswamy v. Union of India with respect to validity of AADHAR Act? d. Explain the key highlights of Draft Personal Data Protection Bill, 2018. 	3 3 10 4	CO4