Enrollment Number:



UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY STUDIES Online End Semester Examination, December 2020

Course: Law of Crimes I (Indian Penal Code)
Programme: BT-CSE-LLB-spz- CL/IPR

Course Code: CLCC2002

Semester: V Time: 03 hrs. Max. Marks: 100

SECTION A

- 1. Each Question carries 5 Marks
- 2. Instruction: Word Limit 100-150 words

	Question	CO
Q1	Describe the doctrine of Necessity.	1
Q2	"Ignorantia Juris Non Excusat." Explain the legal maxim with the help of illustrations.	2
Q3	Discuss in brief Reformative theory of Punishment.	2
Q4	Write short note on Unlawful Assembly.	2
Q5	Define Criminal Conspiracy.	3
Q6	Explain the offence of extortion.	4

SECTION B

- 1. Each Question carries 10 marks
- 2. Word Limit 250-300 words

Q7	Describe the M'Naghten Rule and differentiate between Medical and Legal insanity.	2
Q8	Explain the right of private defense of body. Under what conditions it may extent to cause death.	2
Q9	Discuss the essential ingredients of kidnapping from lawful guardianship? Distinguish it from Abduction.	2
Q10	Critically evaluate the offence of Sedition with the help of relevant case laws.	3
Q11	Describe the concept of Criminal Breach of Trust with the help of case laws and differentiate between theft and criminal misappropriation.	4

SECTION C

- 1. Each Question carries 10 marks.
- 2. Word Limit 450-550 words.

(a) "In all murders there is culpable homicide, but all culpable homicides are not murder."

In the light of the above statement, explain the offence of Murder with the help of relevant case laws.

(b) X applied to a university for permission to appear at the M.A. Examination as a private candidate representing that he was a graduate having obtained his B.A. degree three years earlier and that he had been teaching in a certain school. In support of his application, he attached certain certificates purporting to be from the Headmaster of the School. The University authorities first gave X permission to appear for the M.A. Examination, but later on, finding that the certificates produced by X were false and that he was neither a graduate nor a teacher, they withdrew the permission. Has X committed any offence?

Discuss with reasons.