**Enrolment No:** 



## UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY STUDIES End Semester Examination, May 2019

Course: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW-II

Semester: IV

Program: B.A.,LL.B. (Honors) (Energy Law)/ B.A.,LL.B. (Honors) (Labour, Criminal & Constitutional Law)/ B.B.A.,LL.B. (Honors) (Corporate Law)/ B.B.A.,LL.B. (Honors) (BIF/ITIL)/ B.Com.,LL.B. (Honors) (Taxation Law) Time: 03 hrs. Course Code: CLCC 2005 Max. Marks: 100 Instructions:

| S. No. | Attempt any FIVE questions   | Marks | CO  |
|--------|--|-------|-----|
| Q1     | Mention the residuary power under Art. 248 of the Constitution of India.   | 2     | C01 |
| Q2     | Discuss briefly 'compensatory and regulatory taxes' as per Art. 301 of the Constitution of India.  | 2     | CO1 |
| Q3     | Define Special Leave to petition (SLP) under Art. 136 of the Constitution Of India.  | 2     | C01 |
| Q4     | What is judicial overreach?  | 2     | CO1 |
| Q5     | Discuss the doctrine of 'Locus Standi'.  | 2     | CO1 |
| Q6     | Name two leading cases pertaining to parliamentary privileges.   | 2     | CO1 |
|        | SECTION B  |       |     |
|        | Attempt any TWO questions.   |       |     |
| Q7     | Explain the observation of Prof. K.C. Wheare that Indian constitution is quasi-<br>federal.  | 10    | CO2 |
| Q8     | Critically analyze the doctrine of territorial nexus with the aid of case law and constitutional provisions.                             | 10    | CO2 |
| Q9     | Do you think ' <i>Ram Jawaya Kapoor vs. State of Punjab</i> , AIR 1955 SC 549' still holds a good law? Give your opinions about the same | 10    | CO2 |
|        | SECTION-C  |       | 1   |
|        | Attempt any TWO the questions  |       |     |

| Q10 | 'President and Governors are merely nominal heads.' Discuss with case law.   | 10   | CO3 |
|-----|--|------|-----|
| Q11 | What is the doctrine of Pith and Substance? With the help of relevant case laws.   | 10   | CO3 |
| Q12 | Appointment of a person who is not a member of either house of Parliament as the Prime Minister of India', Discuss with the help of relevant constitutional provisions.  | 10   | CO3 |
|     | SECTION-D  |      |     |
|     | Attempt Any FOUR questions   |      |     |
| Q13 | 'Judicial appointments have always been mired in controversy'. Comment on the above; using case law and legal provisions.  | 12.5 | CO4 |
| Q14 | The Constitution of India provides for the freedom of trade. Commerce and<br>Intercourse throughout the territory of India. Perhaps the freedom is subjected to<br>certain Constitutional limitations. With the help of leading cases, highlight the<br>freedom guaranteed and the limitations imposed under Part XIII of the Indian<br>Constitution.  | 12.5 | CO4 |
| Q15 | What are the necessary pre-conditions before President's rule can be imposed in a State? What is the judicial review of a Proclamation issued under Article 356 of the Constitution?   | 12.5 | CO4 |
| Q16 | Whether the Golaknath's case has been a roadblock to the fundamental rights or a new jurisprudence to develop and grow? Analyze the above statement in light of case laws from 1950 till date.   | 12.5 | CO4 |
| Q17 | In State (ABC), a clash occurs between AAA & BBB workers causing death of two<br>workers, one hailing from AAA and the other from BBB . All the accused are<br>sentenced to life imprisonment by the High Court as well as the Supreme Court since<br>there is concrete evidence (including eyewitnesses and medical evidence) attesting<br>that they are involved in the murder of the workers. Of them, two are from AAA . The<br>ruling government in state ABC is AAA . Those two workers are pardoned by the<br>Governor on the aid and advice of the Council of Ministers. On the other hand, pleas<br>of the BBB workers neither are heard nor are their cases scrutinized by the governor<br>despite several requests by the convicted BBB workers. The BBB party files a petition<br>in the High Court alleging arbitrariness on the part of the Governor. They contend that<br>evidence against all was same but pleas of only the AAA workers were heard. Frame<br>your judicial opinion. | 12.5 | CO4 |

Name:

**Enrolment No:** 



## UNIVERSITY OF PETROLEUM AND ENERGY STUDIES

End Semester Examination, May 2019

Course: CONSTITUTIONAL LAW-II Program: BB.A., LL.B. (Honors) (Corporate Law) Course Code: CLCC 2005 Instructions:

Semester: IV Time: 03 hrs. Max. Marks: 100

| SECTION A |  |       |     |
|-----------|--|-------|-----|
|           | Attempt all the questions  | Marks |     |
| Q1        | What is judicial activism?   | 2     | CO1 |
| Q2        | Discuss briefly the doctrine of 'Direct and immediate effect'.           | 2     | CO1 |
| Q3        | Write a short note on 'doctrine of repugnancy'.                          | 2     | CO1 |
| Q4        | Mention advisory jurisdiction under A. 143 of the constitution of India. | 2     | CO1 |
| Q5        | Define 'Curative Petition'.  | 2     | CO1 |
|           |  | 2     |     |

## **SECTION B**

|    | Attempt any TWO the questions  |    |     |
|----|--|----|-----|
| Q6 | What is Doctrine of colorable legislation? Elaborate with the help of relevant case laws.              | 10 | CO2 |
| Q7 | Discuss the relevant provision with regards to President's rule along with the help of landmark cases. | 10 | CO2 |
| Q8 | What do you mean by the writ of mandamus and give distinction between prohibition and certiorari?      | 10 | CO2 |

|     | Attempt any <b>TWO</b> questions   |    |     |
|-----|--|----|-----|
| Q9  | Discuss the law laid down by the Supreme Court in <i>Kihoto Hollohon v. Zachilhu</i> (1992) 1 SCC 309. | 10 | CO3 |
| Q10 | Analyze the Doctrine of Pith and Substance with the help of leading cases                              | 10 | CO3 |
| Q11 | What are the powers, functions and duties of Comptroller General of India?                             | 10 | CO3 |

|     | SECTION-D  |    |     |
|-----|--|----|-----|
|     | Attempt all the questions  |    |     |
| Q12 | State X passed a law, which levies a tax on all telecom equipment brought into the state from outside through road, inland waterways or air. State X does not manufacture telecom equipment and relies on other states for its requirements. The Constitutional validity of this Act is challenged on the ground that it violates Articles 301 and 304 of the Constitutions. | 15 | CO4 |
| Q13 | Whether the Observations of Supreme Court in Shankari Prasad Case, the first known case on Article 368, still holds good. Discuss the jurisprudence under the relevant Article with the help of landmark cases.  | 20 | CO4 |
| Q14 | Whether basic structure is too basic or fundamental requirement of the Constitution of India. Discuss and Elucidate.   | 15 | CO4 |