ANALYSIS OF SOIL-PIPELINE INTERACTION AND IT'S STABILITY IN OFFSHORE PIPELINE'S

BY NAGAARJUN.S



College of Engineering University of Petroleum & Energy Studies Dehradun April, 2015

ANALYSIS OF SOIL-PIPELINE INTERACTION ANS IT'S STABILITY IN OFFSHORE PIPELINE'S

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of

Master of Technology

(Pipeline Engineering)

By

NAGAARJUN.S (R150213025)

Under the guidance of

MR.SANTOSH KUMAR KURRE

Assistant Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Approved By,

DR.KAMAL BANSAL

Dean

College of Engineering

University of Petroleum & Energy Studies

Dehradun

April, 2015

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the work contained in this thesis titled "ANALYSIS OF SOIL-PIPELINE INTERACTION AND IT'S STABILITY IN OFFSHORE PIPELINE'S" has been carried out by NAGAARJUN.S under my supervision and has not been submitted elsewhere for a degree.

Mr.Santosh Kumar Kurre

Assistant Professor,

Department of Mechanical Engineering,

University of Petroleum and Energy Studies,

Dehradun,

Uttarakhand.

ABSTRACT

Subsea pipeline on-bottom stability comprises both lateral and vertical stability design throughout the pipeline's operational design life.

The analysis is carried out to determine the minimum thickness of concrete of specified unit weight required for pipeline stability under installation, hydrotest and operating conditions

It has found out that a combination of 40 mm and 50 mm of concrete thickness is efficient for the stability of selected pipelines.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I am highly indebted to provide my heart full thanks to my guide Professor **Mr.Santosh Kumar Kurre** for his valuable ideas, encouragement and supportive guidance throughout this project.

I would like to present my sincere appreciation to **Mr.Rajendra kumar** (CEO JBEIL Subsea engineer's pvt ltd) for his interest, help and encouragement throughout this study. His advices during the preparation of this project are very much appreciated. I have gained a lot of knowledge about the subsea engineering.

I also thank my teaching, non-teaching faculties and friends who have given their valuable suggestion to bring out this project in a successful manner and also thank my parents for their encouragement and support to complete this project.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	TITLE	PAGE NO
	TITLE OF PROJECT	i
	DECLARATION	ii
	CERTIFICATE	iii
	ABSTRACT	iv
	ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	V
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
	LIST OF TABLES	Х
	LIST OF FIGURES	xi
	NOMENCLATURE	xii
1	INTRODUCTION	01
	1.1 General	01
	1.2 Objectives	02
	1.3 Scope Of Study	
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	03
	2.1 A Pipeline	03
	2.2 Types Of Pipeline	04
	2.3 Design Of Submarine Pipelines	05
	2.4 On Bottom Stability Design And Analysis	05
	2.4.1 Introduction	05
	2.4.2 Codes And Standards	05
	2.4.3 Methods	06
	2.4.4 Design Conditions	07

2.5 Design Loads	07
2.5.1 Functional Loads	07
2.5.2 Environmental Loads	08
2.5.3 Accidental Loads	08
2.5.4 Installation Loads	08
2.6 On-Bottom Stability Approaches	09
2.7 Vertical Penetration And Pipe-Soil	09
Interaction	
METHODOLOGY	10
3.1 Assumptions & Considerations	10
3.1.1 Lateral Stability Analysis Conditions	10
3.1.2 Trench Conditions	11
3.2 Design Methods	11
3.2.1 Vertical Stability In Water	11
3.2.2 Vertical Stability On And In Soil	12
3.2.3 Vertical Soil Reaction And Penetration	12
3.3 Dynamic Lateral Stability Analysis	13
3.3.1 Short Term Wave Conditions	13
3.3.2 Wave Directionality And Spreading	17
3.3.3 Determination Of Current Speed	19
Velocity	
3.3.4 Steady To Oscillatory Velocity Ratio Of	19
The Design Spectrum And The Significant	
Keulegan-Carpenter Number	
3.3.5 Soil Resistance	21
3.4 Absolute Lateral Static Stability Method	23
3.4.1 Load Reduction Due To Pipe-Soil	23
Interaction	
3.4.2 Loads	26
3.4.3 Design Criterion	26

4	EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS AND DESIGN DATA	27
	4.1 General	27
	4.2 Design Life	27
	4.3 Safety Class Designation	27
	4.4 Pipeline Process Data	28
	4.5 Offshore Pipeline Material Properties	28
	4.5.1 Line Pipe Material Properties	28
	4.5.2 Subsea Pipeline External Coating And	29
	Concrete Coating Properties	
	4.6 Environmental Data	29
	4.6.1 Bathymetry	29
	4.6.2 Tidal Data	30
	4.6.3 Seawater Parameter	31
	4.6.4 Geotechnical Data	31
	4.6.5 Marine Growth	32
	4.6.6 Wave Data	32
5	ANALYSIS	33
	5.1 Introduction	33
6	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	35
	6.1 Introduction	35
	6.2 Results	35
	6.3 Stability Analysis Result	36
	6.4 Minimum Required Concrete Thickness For	36
	all Conditions	
	6.5 Stability Analysis	38
	6.6 Parametric Analysis	39

7	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	40
	7.1 Conclusion	40
	7.2 Recommendation	40
8	REFERENCE	41
9	APPENDIX	42

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE NONAME OF THE TABLEPAGE NO

3.1	Peak Horizontal Load Coefficients	20
3.2	Peak Vertical Load Coefficients	20
4.1	Classification Of Safety Classes	27
4.2	Pipeline Process Data	28
4.3	Line Pipe Material Properties	28
4.4	Pipeline Coating Properties	29
4.5	Water Depth Profile	30
4.6	Tidal Data	31
4.7	Seawater Data	31
4.8	Soil Data	31
4.9	Met ocean Data	32
6.1	Concrete Coating Thickness Results	36

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure No	NAME OF THE FIGURE	PAGE NO
2.1	Pipe With Corrosion Coating	03
2.2	Loads Acting On The Pipeline	04
3.1	Bearing Capacity Factors N_c , N_q , N $_{\scriptscriptstyle V}$ Versus The Internal	13
	Friction Angle Φ_s	
3.2	Significant Flow Velocity Amplitude Us At Sea Bed Level	16
3.3	Mean Zero Up-Crossing Period Of Oscillating Flow T _u At	16
	Sea Bed Level	
3.4	Reduction Factor Due To Wave Spreading And Directionality	18
3.5	Piping Parameter	23
3.6	Definition Of Penetration	24
3.7	Peak Load Reduction Due To Penetration	25
3.8	Definition Of Trench Parameters	25
3.9	Peak Load Reduction Due To Trenching	26
5.1	Calculation Spread Sheet	33
5.2	Spread Sheet (Vertical Penetration Depth)	34
6.1	Required Concrete Thickness For Installation Condition	36
6.2	Required Concrete Thickness For Hydrotest Condition	37
6.3	Required Concrete Thickness For Operation Condition	37
6.4	Minimum Water Depth Along The Pipeline Route	38

NOMENCLATURE

A_{p}	=	Pipe outer area including coating.
$A_{ m w}$	=	Orbital semi-diameter of water particles.
b	=	Pipe buoyancy per unit length.
d	=	Water depth.
d_{50}	=	Mean grain size.
D	=	Pipe outer diameter including all coating.
g	=	Acceleration of gravity (9.81 m/s_2) .
G	=	Transfer function.
$G_{ m c}$	=	Soil (clay) strength parameter .
Gs	=	Soil (sand) density parameter .
F_Y	=	Horizontal hydrodynamic (drag and inertia) load.
F_Z	=	Vertical hydrodynamic (lift) load.
F_R	=	Passive soil resistance.
F_C	=	Vertical contact force between pipe and soil
$H_{\rm s}$	=	Significant wave height during a sea state.
H^*	=	Maximum wave height during a sea state.
K _b	=	Equivalent sand roughness parameter = $2.5 \cdot d_{50}$.
k	=	Wave number.
k_{T}	=	Ratio between period of single design oscillation and design spectrum .
k_{U}	=	Ratio between oscillatory velocity amplitude of single design oscillation and
		spectrum.
$k_{\rm V}$	=	Ratio between steady velocity component applied with single design oscillation
		and with design spectrum.
Κ	=	Significant Keulegan-Carpenter number.
<i>K</i> *	=	Keulegan-Carpenter number for single design oscillation.
L	=	Significant weight parameter.
L^*	=	Weight parameter related to single design oscillation
М	=	Steady to oscillatory velocity ratio for design spectrum.
M^*	=	Steady to oscillatory velocity ratio for single design oscillation V^*/U^* .

<i>r</i> _{tot}	=	Load reduction factor.
r _{pen}	=	Load reduction factor due to penetration.
<i>r</i> _{tr}	=	Load reduction factor due to trench.
r _{perm}	=	Load reduction factor due to a permeable seabed.
$R_{\rm D}$	=	Reduction factor due to spectral directionality and spreading.
S	=	Spectral spreading exponent.
Sg	=	Pipe specific density.
<i>s</i> _u	=	Un-drained clay shear strength.
S _s	=	Relative grain density.
$S_{\eta\eta}$	=	Wave spectral density
Tu	=	Spectrally derived mean zero up-crossing period.
Tp	=	Peak period for design spectrum.
T _n	=	Reference period .
T^*	=	Period associated with single design oscillation.
$U_{ m w}$	=	Wave induced water particle velocity.
$U_{ m s}$	=	Spectrally derived oscillatory velocity (significant amplitude) for design
		spectrum, perpendicular to pipeline.
$U_{\mathrm{s}\theta}$	=	Spectrally derived oscillatory velocity (significant amplitude) for design
		spectrum, at an angle θ to the pipeline.
U^*	=	Oscillatory velocity amplitude for single design oscillation, perpendicular to
		pipeline.
V	=	Steady current velocity associated with design spectrum, perpendicular to
		pipeline.
V^*	=	Steady current velocity associated with design oscillation, perpendicular to
		pipeline.
Ws	=	Pipe submerged weight per unit length.
у	=	Lateral pipe displacement
Y	=	Non-dimensional lateral pipe displacement.
Z.	=	Elevation above sea bed.
Zr	=	Reference measurement height over sea bed.
z_0	=	Bottom roughness parameter.

Zp	=	Pene	etrati	on	depth.
		T			

 z_t = Trench depth.

<u>GREEK</u>

α	=	Generalised Phillips' constant.
μ	=	Coefficient of friction.
θ	=	Shields parameter.
θ_{c}	=	Angle between current direction and pipe.
$\theta_{\rm w}$	=	Angle between wave heading and pipe.
ρ_{w}	=	Mass density of water, for sea water normally equal to 1025 kg/m3.
γ_{sc}	=	Safety factor.
$\gamma_{\rm w}$	=	Safety factor.
γ_s	=	Dry unit soil weight. Can be taken as 18 000 N/m3 for clay.
γ's	=	Submerged unit soil weight. For sand normally in the range 7 000 (very loose) to
		13 500 N/m3 (very dense).
ϕ_c	=	Angle of friction, cohesionless soil
τ	=	Number of oscillations in the design bottom velocity spectrum = T / Tu
τ_{s}	=	Shear stress applied from water flow to seabed,
ω	=	Wave frequency
ω_p	=	Peak wave frequency

<u>CHAPTER – 1</u>

INTRODUCTION

1.1 GENERAL

A Pipeline system is defined as a pipeline section extending from an inlet point, typically an offshore platform or an onshore compressor station, to an outlet point, typically another platform or an onshore receiver station.

In analysis and design of marine pipelines, on-bottom stability analysis is one of the scopes, besides determination of pipe size and wall thickness, free spanning and corrosion requirement. On-bottom stability analysis is performed to ensure stability of the pipeline when exposed to wave and current forces ant other internal or external loads.

Subsea pipeline on-bottom stability comprises both lateral and vertical stability design throughout the pipeline's operational design life. The analysis is carried out to determine the minimum thickness of concrete of specified unit weight required for pipeline stability under installation, hydrotest and operating conditions.

1.2 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this study are:

- i. To look into the available procedure of pipeline analysis and design
- ii. To identify the mechanisms and parameters involved in on-bottom stability of pipeline
- iii. To develop a spreadsheet on on-bottom stability in pipeline design
- iv. To obtain the stability analysis of a pipeline based on a case study

1.3 SCOPE OF STUDY

The scope of the study is the analysis of a subsea pipeline resting on the sea bed, the forces acting on the pipeline, the parameter which affects the pipeline to be stable or move from its place. The focus will be on on-bottom stability of submarine pipeline based on code DNV-RP-F109: ON BOTTOM STABILITY DESIGN OF SUBMARINE PIPELINE. The data from a balogan project is taken for this case study as input values.

CHAPTER-2

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 A PIPELINE

A pipeline is usually made up of carbon steel. In some cases it may be of carbon manganese, plastic reinforced pipelines. But in any case carbon steel makes best economical choice in the industry, because of their availability and the practices used in the field.



Fig 2.1 : Pipe with corrosion coating

A carbon steel pipeline manufacturing is based upon the code API 5L – SPECIFICATION OF LINE PIPE. Usually pipelines for offshore laying consists corrosion coating on it to reduce the corrosion due to sea water and a concrete coating over it to provide negative buoyancy. The most common loads acts on the pipeline are,

- a. Internal pressure
- b. External hydrostatic pressure
- c. Temperature
- d. Bending



Fig 2.2 : Loads acting on the pipeline

2.2 TYPES OF PIPELINE

The pipelines are classified according to their usage or to be more precise, the stations on either sides.

- a. Flow line
- b. Gathering line
- c. Transmission line
- d. Distribution line
- e. Service line

The line between the well head and manifold is flow line, the line between the manifold and ggs is called gathering line, the line between the ggs and refinery is transmission line, the line between the refinery and the gas station is distribution line and the line between the gas station and our home is called service line.

2.3 DESIGN OF SUBMARINE PIPELINES

The calculations need to be done for laying submarine pipelines are

- Wall thickness calculation
- Free span calculation
- On-bottom stability calculation
- Corrosion requirement

2.4 ON BOTTOM STABILITY DESIGN AND ANALYSIS

2.4.1 INTRODUCTION

The pipelines laid in the offshore are exposed to waves and current loads which take place in sea. Pipelines are more vulnerable to these loads which even lead to failure of the pipelines.

There is a possibility of lateral and vertical movements of the pipeline due to these loads. To overcome these loads and defects, provision of concrete on the pipeline is more suitable way and the calculation of their requirement is on bottom stability design.

To find out the degree of tolerance can be given for movement of pipeline on the seabed and in the seabed i.e. trenched & buried pipelines, these on bottom stability calculations are more efficient.

2.4.2 CODES AND STANDARDS

The pipeline codes that are used in this project are:

- DNV-OS-F101 SUBMARINE PIPELINE SYSTEMS
- DNV-RP-F109 ON-BOTTOM STABILITY DESIGN OF SUBMARINE PIPELINES
- DNV-RP-F105 FREE SPANNING PIPELINE

2.4.3 METHODS

The purpose of this section is to provide design methods and acceptance criteria for vertical and lateral stability of pipelines. A design equation is presented for vertical stability, i.e. sinking in sea. For lateral on-bottom stability, three design methods are presented in detail

- Dynamic lateral stability analysis
- A Generalised lateral stability method based on data base results from dynamic analyses/simulation
- An absolute lateral static stability method

The dynamic lateral stability analysis gives general requirements to a time domain simulation of pipe response, including hydrodynamic loads from an irregular sea-state and soil resistance forces.

The generalized lateral stability method and the absolute lateral static stability method give detailed specific design results for two approaches to stability design.

The generalized lateral stability method is based on an allowance displacement in a design spectrum of oscillatory wave induced velocities perpendicular to the pipeline at the pipeline level. The design spectrum is characterized by spectrally derived characteristics U_s (oscillatory velocity), T_u (period)and the associated steady current velocity V. As a special case a "virtually stable" case is considered whereby the displacement is limited to about one half piope diameter and is such that it does not reduce the soil resistance and the displacement do not increase no matter how long the sea-state is applied for.

The absolute lateral static stability method is a "design wave" approach, i.e. it ensures absolute static stability for a single design (extreme) wave-induced oscillation. The design oscillation is characterized by oscillatory velocity amplitude U* and period T* and the associated steady component V*. Often V*=V, however some hydrodynamic models account for a local mean velocity V* within a wave-induced oscillation and this may be different to the overall mean velocity V.

2.4.4 DESIGN CONDITIONS

The following design conditions are identified for on-bottom stability analysis of the subsea pipeline:

- Installation
- Hydrotest
- Operation

The installation condition refers to the conditions applicable to the pipelines during its installation phase, when the pipeline is air-filled and on the seabed and subjected to the worst case of the following design storm loading combinations:

• 1-Year significant wave + 1-Year current

The hydrotest condition refers to when the pipeline is water-filled, either on the seabed or trench bottom, and undergoing hydrostatic pressure testing and subjected to the same design storm loadings as for the installation phase stated above.

The operation condition commences when the installation and hydrotest activities are completed and the pipeline is placed in service. The pipeline will then be filled with product and is either on the seabed or trench bottom, subjected to design operating internal pressure and temperature and subjected to the worst case of the following design storm loading combinations:

• 100-Year significant wave + 100-year current

2.5 DESIGN LOADS

2.5.1 FUNCTIONAL LOADS

The functional loads refer to the following loads listed below:

- Self-weight, including pipe, coatings and contents
- Buoyancy effects
- Internal and external pressure
- Pipeline-soil frictional effects

2.5.2 ENVIRONMENTAL LOADS

Environmental load refers to the following loads listed below:

- Hydrodynamic forces (wave and current)
 - ✓ Tidal current
 - ✓ Wind induced current,
 - ✓ Storm surge induced current
 - ✓ Density driven currents

2.5.3 ACCIDENTAL LOADS

Accidental loads are defined which have a low probability of occurrence. For submarine pipelines, such loads may be grouped into the following:

- Natural hazards such as earthquakes and mudslides
- Third party hazards such as dropped objects (near platforms), fishing activities (trawling), shipping (anchoring, sinking) and military activities (firing)

2.5.4 INSTALLATION LOADS

Installation of marine pipelines is to great extent weather dependant, and part of the installation engineering is the determination of the acceptable limits (wind speed, wave height, current) for the installation to take place. Apart from the pipeline self weight and the normal environmental loads, specific actions during installation will mostly be imposed static and dynamic force (from laybarge stingers, tie-in tools, trenching equipment, etc..) The actions are,

- Installation of pipe strings (laying, reeling, towing, pulling)
- Tie-in
- Trenching and backfilling
- Hydrostatic testing

2.6 ON-BOTTOM STABILITY APPROACHES

On-bottom stability may follow one of three distinct approaches:

- Ensuring absolute stability. This approach is based on force equilibrium that the hydrodynamic loads are less than the soil resistance under a design extreme oscillatory cycle in the sea state considered for design.
- 2) Ensuring no break-out. This approach allows some small displacements under the largest waves in a sea state. However, maximum displacement is small, less than about one half diameter which ensures that the pipe does not move out its cavity, i.e. the pipe is virtually stable. This approach may take advantage of the buildup of passive resistance during the small displacements that the pipe will experience. There will be no accumulated displacement and maximum can be considered to be independent of time.
- 3) Allowing accumulated displacement. In this approach one specifies a certain, larger, allowable displacement during the sea state considered in design. The pipe will then several times during the sea state break out of its cavity and the calculated displacement should be assumed to be proportional with time, i.ie. number of waves in the sea state considered. One should also in this context note that the displacement is an accumulated damage and that a sea state less severe than the one considered in design may also move the pipe, i.e. add to the damage.

2.7 VERTICAL PENETRATION AND PIPE-SOIL INTERACTION

For pipe-soil interaction the following functional requirements are need to be considered for the modeling of soil resistance

- The seabed topography along the pipeline route must be represented
- The modeling of soil resistance must account for non-linear contact forces vertical to the pipeline, e.g. lift off.
- The modeling of soil resistance must account for sliding in the axial direction. For force models this also applies in the lateral direction
- Appropriate (different) short- and long-term characteristics for stiffness and damping shall be applied, i.e. static and dynamic stiffness and damping.

The vertical soil penetration is need to find out to ensure the pipe's penetration depth is within the allowable limit i.e. no break out of pipeline in seabed.

CHAPTER-3

METHODOLOGY

3.1 ASSUMPTIONS AND CONSIDERATIONS:

3.1.1 LATERAL STABILITY ANALYSIS CONDITIONS

- Installation conditions:
 - ✓ Pipeline is empty (zero content density)
 - ✓ Pipeline is assumed to be uncorroded (maximum pipe steel weight)
 - ✓ Combination of 1-year RPD significant wave + 1-yearr RPD current are used
 - ✓ 0% water absorption
 - \checkmark 5% pipeline embedment due to pipe laying
 - \checkmark 0.5% pipeline embedment due to pipe movement
- Hydrotest condition:
 - ✓ Pipeline is filled with water (1025 kg/m³ content density)
 - ✓ Pipeline is assumed to be uncorroded (zero corrosion allowance)
 - ✓ Combination of 1-year RPD significant wave + 1-year RPD current are used
 - \checkmark 0% water absorption
 - \checkmark 5% pipeline embedment due to pipe laying
 - \checkmark 0.5% pipeline embedment due to pipe movement
- Operating condition:
 - ✓ Pipeline is filled with product of minimum product density (860 Kg/m³)
 - \checkmark 1.6mm corrosion is assumed to be present at end of pipeline design life
 - ✓ Combination of 100-year RPD significant wave + 100-year RPD current are used
 - ✓ 3% water absorption
 - \checkmark 7% pipeline embedment due to pipe penetration during design life
 - \checkmark 0.5% pipeline embedment due to pipe movement

3.1.2 TRENCH CONDITIONS

- Trench slope angle is assumed to be 14° (1:4 trench ratio) and trench depth of 2.4m to calculate the pipeline hydrodynamic load reduction for trenched sections.
- The soil surface is clay which allows the pipeline to embed and thereby require a 'breakout' force before lateral movement is possible. In soft clay the embedment will occur primarily during pipelay. Therefore, a calculated embedment of 7% is used for the operation cases.
- The dredged trench bottom surface penetration due to pipe laying is assumed to be 5% of total outside diameter for installation and hydrotest cases.
- Shoaling and refraction is used to determine significant wave height for appropriate water depth for sections with shallow water depth.
- Current calculated using 1/7 power law to get a current at 1 meter reference height

3.2 DESIGN METHODS

3.2.1 VERTICAL STABILITY IN WATER

In order to avoid floatation in water, the submerged weight of the pipeline shall meet the following criterion:

$$\gamma_W \cdot \frac{b}{w_s + b} = \frac{\gamma_W}{s_g} \le 1.00$$

If a sufficiently low probability of negative buoyancy is not documented, the safety factor as 1.1 can be applied.

3.2.2 VERTICAL STABILITY ON AND IN SOIL

Pipes that are intended to be buried should be checked for possible sinking or floatation. Sinking should be considered with maximum content density, e.g. water filled, and floatation should be considered with minimum density, e.g. air filled.

If the specific weight of the pipe is less than that the soil (including water content), no further analysis is required to document the pipeline's safety against sinking.

To mitigate the possibility for pipeline floatation in liquefied soil, it is highly recommended that the pipeline be water flooded as soon as practicable after the pipeline is laid, and certainly before the pipeline is buried.

3.2.3 VERTICAL SOIL REACTION AND PENETRATION

The contact force per unit length experienced during pipe laying may significantly exceed the static contact force due to the weight of the pipe (typically by a factor 1.3-2.0). Also a simultaneously occurring horizontal force will increase the penetration. This may be accounted for by using bearing capacity formulae adjusted for inclined loading. Repeated horizontal oscillation will tend to further increase the penetration. If the horizontal loading is motioncontrolled rather than force-controlled, such effects could be evaluated from empirical results. The bearing capacity factors N_c, N_q, N_g versus the internal friction angle φ_s may be calculated from the following formulas:

$$\begin{split} N_q &= \exp\left({\it JI}\,\tan \phi_s \right) \tan^2\left(45 + \phi_s/2 \right) \\ N_c &= 5.14 \\ N_V &= 1.5\;(N_q - 1)\,\tan \phi_s \end{split}$$

For clayey soils the friction angle is set equal to 0° .



Fig: 3.1 Bearing capacity factors N_c , N_q , N_{\vee} versus the internal friction angle ϕ_s

3.3 DYNAMIC LATERAL STABILITY ANALYSIS

3.3.1 SHORT TERM WAVE CONDITIONS

The wave induced oscillatory flow condition at the pipe level may be calculated using numerical or analytical wave theories. The wave theory shall be capable of describing the conditions at the pipe location, including effects due to shallow water, if applicable.

The short term, stationary, irregular sea states may be described by a wave spectrum $S_{hh}(w)$ i.e. the power spectral density function of the sea surface elevation. Wave spectral may be given in table form, as measured spectra, or in an analytical form.

For the JONSWAP spectrum, which is often appropriate, the spectral density function reads:

$$S_{\eta\eta}(\omega) = \alpha \cdot g^2 \cdot \omega^{-5} \cdot \exp\left(-\frac{5}{4}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_p}\right)^{-4}\right) \cdot \gamma^{\exp\left(-0.5\left(\frac{\omega-\omega_p}{\sigma\cdot\omega_p}\right)^2\right)}$$

The generalized phillip's constant is given by:

$$\alpha = \frac{5}{16} \cdot \frac{H_s^2 \cdot \omega_p^4}{g^2} \cdot \left(1 - 0.287 \cdot \ln \gamma\right)$$

The spectral width parameter is given by:

$$\sigma = \begin{cases} 0.07 & if \ \omega \le \omega_p \\ 0.09 & else \end{cases}$$

In lieu of other information, the peak-enhancement factor may be taken as:

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} 5.0 & \varphi \le 3.6\\ \exp(5.75 - 1.15\varphi) & 3.6 < \varphi < 5.0; \\ 1.0 & \varphi \ge 5.0 \end{cases} \quad \varphi = \frac{T_p}{\sqrt{H_s}}$$

The wave induced velocity spectrum at the sea bed $S_{uu}(w)$ may be obtained through a spectral transformation of the waves at sea level using a first order wave theory :

$$S_{UU}(\omega) = G^2(\omega) \cdot S_{\eta\eta}(\omega)$$

The transfer function G transforms sea surface elevation to wave induced flow velocities at sea bed and is given by:

$$G(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{\sinh(k \cdot d)}$$

Where d is the water depth and k is the wave number established by iteration from the transcendental equation:

$$\frac{\omega^2}{g} = k \cdot \tanh(k \cdot d)$$

The spectral moments of order n is defined as:

$$M_n = \int_0^\infty \omega^n \cdot S_{UU}(\omega) \mathrm{d}\omega$$

Significant flow velocity amplitude at pipe level is:

$$U_s = 2\sqrt{M_0}$$

It is not recommended to consider any boundary layer effect on the wave induced velocity.

Mean zero up-crossing period oscillating flow at pipe level is:

$$T_u = 2\pi \sqrt{rac{M_0}{M_2}}$$

Assuming linear wave theory, U_s may be taken from figure 3-2 and T_u from figure 3-3 in which:

$$T_n = \sqrt{\frac{d}{g}}$$



Fig 3.2: Significant flow velocity amplitude U_s at sea bed level



Fig 3.3: Mean zero up-crossing period of oscillating flow T_u at sea bed level

The ratio between the design single oscillation velocity amplitude and the design spectral velocity amplitude for τ oscillation is :

$$k_U = \frac{U^*}{U_s} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{2 \cdot \ln \tau} + \frac{0.5772}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \ln \tau}}\right)$$

The ratio between design single oscillation velocity period and the average zero up-crossing period (both at seabed level) is site specific. In absence of other data, this can be taken as:

$$k_{T} = \frac{T^{*}}{T_{u}} = \begin{cases} k_{t} - 5 \cdot (k_{t} - 1) \cdot T_{n} / T_{u} & \text{for } T_{n} / T_{u} \le 0.2 \\ 1 & \text{for } T_{n} / T_{u} > 0.2 \end{cases}$$
$$k_{t} = \begin{cases} 1.25 & \text{for } \gamma = 1.0 \\ 1.21 & \text{for } \gamma = 3.3 \\ 1.17 & \text{for } \gamma = 5.0 \end{cases}$$

3.3.2 WAVE DIRECTIONALITY AND SPREADING

The effect of main wave directionality and wave spreading is introduced in the form of a reduction factor on the significant flow velocity, i.e. projection onto the velocity normal to the pipe and effect of wave spreading.

$$U_{w} = R_{D} U_{w\Theta}$$

The reduction factor is given by

$$R_D = \sqrt{\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} D_w(\theta) \mathrm{d}\theta}$$

Where the wave energy spreading directional function is given by a frequency independent cosine power function:

$$D_{w} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(1 + s/2)}{\Gamma(0.5 + s/2)} \cdot \cos^{s} \theta \cdot \sin^{2}(\theta_{w} - \theta) & |\theta| < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The angle Θ_w is the angle between wave heading and pipe. S is a site specific spreading parameter



Fig 3.4:Reduction factor due to wave spreading and directionality

3.3.3 DETERMINATION OF CURRENT SPEED VELOCITY

The mean perpendicular current velocity over a pipe diameter applies:

$$V_c = V_c(z_r) \cdot \left(\frac{\left(1 + \frac{z_0}{D}\right) \cdot \ln\left(\frac{D}{z_0} + 1\right) - 1}{\ln\left(\frac{z_r}{z_0} + 1\right)} \right) \cdot \sin \theta_c$$

Where, the directionality of the current velocity is accounted for through Θ_c that is the angle between current velocity and the pipeline axis. If information on directionality is unavailable, the current should be assumed to act perpendicular to the pipeline.

The reference current should be measured at a depth where the mean current vary only slightly in the horizontal direction. On a relatively flat seabed, this reference height should be larger than 1m depending on the seabed roughness.

The non-linear interaction between wave and current flow may be accounted for by modification of the steady velocity profile.

3.3.4 STEADY TO OSCILLATORY VELOCITY RATIO OF THE DESIGN SPECTRUM & THE SIGNIFICANT KEULEGAN-CARPENTER NUMBER

The ratio between the product of drag force and period to the characteristic length of the measurement is called keulegan carpenter number

$$M := \frac{V_c}{U_s} \qquad \qquad K_s := \frac{U_s \cdot T_u}{D_{oc}}$$

Peak load coefficients C_y and C_z are taken from the tables and . Load reductions due to a permeable seabed, soil penetration and trenching can be calculated.

		K										
C_y		2.5	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	100	<u>></u> 140
	0.0	13.0	6.80	4.55	3.33	2.72	2.40	2.15	1.95	1.80	1.52	1.30
	0.1	10.7	5.76	3.72	2.72	2.2	1.90	1.71	1.58	1.49	1.33	1.22
	0.2	9.02	5.00	3.15	2.30	1.85	1.58	1.42	1.33	1.27	1.18	1.14
	0.3	7.64	4.32	2.79	2.01	1.63	1.44	1.33	1.26	1.21	1.14	1.09
М	0.4	6.63	3.80	2.51	1.78	1.46	1.32	1.25	1.19	1.16	1.10	1.05
	0.6	5.07	3.3	2.27	1.71	1.43	1.34	1.29	1.24	1.18	1.08	1.00
	0.8	4.01	2.7	2.01	1.57	1.44	1.37	1.31	1.24	1.17	1.05	1.00
	1.0	3.25	2.30	1.75	1.49	1.40	1.34	1.27	1.20	1.13	1.01	1.00
	2.0	1.52	1.50	1.45	1.39	1.34	1.20	1.08	1.03	1.00	1.00	1.00
	5.0	1.11	1.10	1.07	1.06	1.04	1.01	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
	10	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Table 3.1: Peak horizontal load coefficients Cy

		К										
C	-Z	<u><</u> 2.5	5	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	100	<u>≥</u> 140
	0.0	5.00	5.00	4.85	3.21	2.55	2.26	2.01	1.81	1.63	1.26	1.05
	0.1	3.87	4.08	4.23	2.87	2.15	1.77	1.55	1.41	1.31	1.11	0.97
	0.2	3.16	3.45	3.74	2.60	1.86	1.45	1.26	1.16	1.09	1.00	0.90
М	0.3	1.01	3.25	3.53	2.14	1.52	1.26	1.10	1.01	0.99	0.95	0.90
	0.4	2.87	3.08	3.35	1.82	1.29	1.11	0.98	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
	0.6	2.21	2.36	2.59	1.59	1.20	1.03	0.92	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
	0.8	1.53	1.61	1.80	1.18	1.05	0.97	0.92	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
	1.0	1.05	1.13	1.28	1.12	0.99	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
	2.0	0.96	1.03	1.05	1.00	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
	5.0	0.91	0.92	0.93	0.91	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90
	10	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90	0.90

Table 3.2: Peak vertical load coefficients Cz

3.3.5 SOIL RESISTANCE

Soil resistance consists in general of two parts:

- pure Coulomb friction part
- passive resistance $F_{\rm R}$ (due to the build up of soil penetration as the pipe moves laterally)

Sand is here defined as a soil that is permeable and with negligible cohesive effects. The most important parameters for describing pipe sand interaction on sand are the coefficient friction and submerged sand weight. Special considerations should be made if the sand contains a high fraction of calcium carbonate.

Clay is here defined as a soil that is not permeable and with significant cohesive effects. Rock is here defined as crushed rocks with a 50 per cent diameter fractile larger than 50 mm. The coefficient of friction μ can normally, for a concrete coated pipe, be taken as 0.6 on sand, 0.2 on clay and 0.6 on rock.

A model for passive resistance on sand and clay is described below whereas this effect should be neglected on rock. A typical model for passive soil resistance consists of four distinct regions:

- An elastic region where the lateral displacement is less than typically 2% of the pipe diameter.
- A region where significant displacement may be experienced, up to half the pipe diameter for sand and clay soils in which the pipe soil interaction causes an increase in the penetration and thus in the passive soil resistance.
- After break-out where the resistance and penetration decrease.
- When the displacement exceeds typically one pipe diameter, the passive resistance and penetration may be assumed constant.

$$\frac{F_R}{F_C} = \begin{cases} \left(5.0 \cdot \kappa_s - 0.15 \cdot \kappa_s^2\right) \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D}\right)^{1.25} & \text{if } \kappa_s \le 26.7 \\ \kappa_s \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D}\right)^{1.25} & \text{if } \kappa_s > 26.7 \end{cases}$$

$$\kappa_{s} = \frac{\gamma'_{s} \cdot D^{2}}{w_{s} - F_{Z}} = \frac{\gamma'_{s} \cdot D^{2}}{F_{C}} , \quad F_{C} = w_{s} - F_{Z}$$

Passive resistance on clay can be taken as

$$\frac{F_R}{F_C} = \frac{4.1 \cdot \kappa_c}{G_C^{0.39}} \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D}\right)^{1.31}$$
$$G_C = \frac{s_u}{D \cdot \gamma_s} \qquad \qquad \kappa_c = \frac{s_u \cdot D}{w_s - F_Z} = \frac{s_u \cdot D}{F_C}$$

It must be documented that the soil parameters used in the calculation of passive resistance are valid within the actual pipe penetration. Total penetration can be taken as the sum of initial penetration and penetration due to pipe movement:

$$Z_p = Z_{pi} + Z_{pm}$$

Initial penetration on sand can be taken as

$$\frac{z_{pi}}{D} = 0.037 \cdot \kappa_s^{-0.67}$$

Initial penetration on clay can be taken as

$$\frac{z_{pi}}{D} = 0.0071 \cdot \left(\frac{G_c^{0.3}}{\kappa_c}\right)^{3.2} + 0.062 \cdot \left(\frac{G_c^{0.3}}{\kappa_c}\right)^{0.7}$$

It must be documented that the soil parameters used in the calculation of passive resistance are valid within the actual pipe penetration. Total penetration can be taken as the sum of

- initial penetration due to self weight
- piping
- penetration due dynamics during laying and
- penetration due to pipe movement under the action of waves and current.
PIPING

Piping is here defined as a phenomenon where a layer of sand is moved from its position immediately under the pipeline due to the hydrodynamic pressure difference on each side of the pipeline. This will lower the pipe and the increased resistance may be accounted for in stability design. A clay layer will prevent piping.



Fig 3.5:piping parameter

3.4 ABSOLUTE LATERAL STATIC STABILITY METHOD 3.4.1 LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO PIPE-SOIL INTERACTION

The hydrodynamic loads may be reduced due:

- Permeable seabed $r_{\text{perm,i}}$,
- Pipe penetrating the seabed *r*_{pen,i}
- Trenching *r*_{trench,i}

Total load reduction is then:

 $r_{tot,i} = r_{perm,i} r_{pen,i} r_{tr,i}$

The subscript "i" takes the value y for the horizontal load and z for the vertical load.

LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO PERMEABLE SEABED

A permeable seabed will allow flow in the seabed underneath the pipe and thus reduce the vertical load. If the vertical hydrodynamic load used in an analysis are based on load coefficients derived from the assumption of a non-permeable seabed, the following load reduction applies:

$$r_{perm,z} = 0.7$$

LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO PENETRATION

Load reduction factors due to penetration are in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively

$$r_{pen,y} = 1.0 - 1.4 \cdot \frac{z_p}{D}$$

$$r_{pen,z} = 1.0 - 1.3 \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D} - 0.1\right)$$



Fig 3.6:Definition of penetration



Fig 3.7:Peak load reduction due to penetration

LOAD REDUCTION DUE TO TRENCHING

Load reduction factors due to trenching are in the horizontal and vertical directions, respectively

$$r_{tr,y} = 1.0 - 0.18 \cdot (\theta - 5)^{0.25} \cdot \left(\frac{z_t}{D}\right)^{0.42} , \quad 5 \le \theta \le 45$$
$$r_{tr,z} = 1.0 - 0.14 \cdot (\theta - 5)^{0.43} \cdot \left(\frac{z_t}{D}\right)^{0.46} , \quad 5 \le \theta \le 45$$

The trench depth is to be taken relative to the seabed level at a width not greater than $3 \cdot D$ away from the pipe.



Fig 3.8:Definition of trench parameters



Fig 3.9:Peak load reduction due to trenching

3.4.2 LOADS

Peak horizontal and vertical loads are,

$$F_Y^* = r_{tot,y} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_w \cdot D \cdot C_Y^* \cdot \left(U^* + V^*\right)^2$$
$$F_Z^* = r_{tot,z} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \rho_w \cdot D \cdot C_Z^* \cdot \left(U^* + V^*\right)^2$$

3.4.3 DESIGN CRITERION

A pipeline can be considered to satisfy the absolute static stability requirement if:

$$\gamma_{SC} \cdot \frac{F_Y^* + \mu \cdot F_Z^*}{\mu \cdot w_s + F_R} \le 1.0$$
$$\gamma_{SC} \cdot \frac{F_Z^*}{w_s} \le 1.0$$

<u>CHAPTER – 4</u>

EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

4.0 DESIGN DATA

4.1 GENERAL

The design data and parameters utilized in the pipeline on-bottom stability analysis are extracted from Project Design Basis for 12 inch Offshore Pipelines from XAP Platform to LFP Platform of BALONGAN PROJECT, for various water depth, hydrodynamic forces and pipeline condition on seabed.

4.2 DESIGN LIFE

The pipelines shall have a design life of 20 years.

4.3 SAFETY CLASS DESIGNATION

The pipeline system is classified based on location and safety class as shown in Table 4.1

Design condition	Location class 1	Location class 2
Installation	Low	
Hydrotest	Low	
Operation	Medium	High

Table 4.1 : Classification of safety Classes

4.4 PIPELINE PROCESS DATA

The principle design parameters of the 12-inch pipeline are summarized in Table 4.2

Description	Units	Parameters
Service	-	Oil
Design pressure	Barg	17.2
Max operation pressure	Barg	10.3
Hydrotest pressure	Barg	21.5
Design temperature	°C	93.3
Maximum operating Temperature	°C	65.6
Product densities	Kg/m ³	860

Table 4.2 : Pipeline process data

4.5 OFFSHORE PIPELINE MATERIAL PROPERTIES4.5.1 LINE PIPE MATERIAL PROPERTIES

Line pipe material properties considered in the on-bottom stability analysis are summarized in Table 4.3

Description	Units	Parameters
Steel young's Modulus	GPa	207
Poisson ratio	-	0.3
Density of steel	Kg/m ³	7850
SMYS of steel	MPa	360
SMTS of steel	MPa	460
Coefficient of linear expansion	/°C	1.17 x 10 ⁻⁵
Steel thermal conductivity	W/m.C	45
Corrosion allowance	Mm	3.175
Pipeline burial condition	-	Buried for WD less than 13m
		otherwise laid on seabed

Table 4.3: Line pipe material properties

4.5.2 SUBSEA PIPELINE EXTERNAL COATING AND CONCRETE COATING PROPERTIES

3-Layer Polyethylene (3LPE) anti-corrosion coating will be provided for the pipeline. The physical properties of external anti-corrosion coating considered in the design are summarized in Table 4.4.

Concrete weight coating will act to provide negative buoyancy to the pipeline as well as provide mechanical protection to the anti-corrosion coating during installation and pipeline operational life. The external coating and concrete coating properties considered in the on-bottom stability analysis are summarized in Table 4.4 below.

Description	Units	Parameters
External anti-corrosion coating type	-	3LPE
External anti-corrosion coating thickness	mm	3.2
External anti-corrosion coating density	Kg/m ³	932.2
External anti-corrosion coating cutback	Mm	150 +/- 20
Concrete coating density	Kg/m ³	3040
Concrete coating cutback	mm	305 +/- 25
Absorption	%	3-5

Table 4.4: Pipeline coating properties

4.6 ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

4.6.1 BATHYMETRY

Bathymetry, i.e. water depth and seabed profile, is determined using echo sounders. Multi-beam systems towed close to the seabed can be used to produce 3-D images of the seabed.

Swathe bathymetry uses a downward-pointing beam and is good for measuring depths accurately. Sides scan sonar directs the sound beam sideways and downwards, detecting obstructions such as wrecks or pinnacles which may snag anchor wires.

• Medium resolution

- ✓ Single beam echo sounder
- ✓ Hull mounted
- ✓ Swathe
- ✓ 750 m (2460 ft) wide
- ✓ Corresponding resolution
- ✓ 8 m (26 ft) footprint size
- High resolution
 - ✓ Multi beam
 - ✓ Towed close to seabed
 - ✓ Much narrower swathe



Based on the offshore pipeline alignment sheet the minimum water depth for each section is shown in Table 4.5 below. The minimum WD shown in alignment sheet is assumed at LAT

Param	Units	Parameters	
	KP0-KP17		14
Minimum WD	KP17-KP19.7		13
along pipeline	KP19.7-KP23.3	М	10
route	KP23.3-KP26.7		5
	KP26.7-LFP		1.4
Seawater d	Kg/m ³	1025	

Table 4.5: Water depth profile

4.6.2 TIDAL DATA

The tidal data from Basis of Design, unless specified otherwise are summarized in Table 4.6 below. Tidal data given are with respect to Chart Datum

		Return period			
Parameters	Units	1year 100 ye			
Highest Astronomical tide (HAT)	М	0.52			
Mean sea level (MSL)	М	0.0			
Lowest astronomical tide (LAT)	М	-0.47			
Maximum storm surge	М	0.1	0.45		

Table 4.6: Tidal data

4.6.3 SEAWATER PARAMETER

Seawater parameter is presented in Table 4.7 below.

Description	Units	Parameters						
Seawater density	Kg/m ³	1025						
Kinematic viscosity	m (ft)	1.96 x 10 ⁻⁶						
Sea floor temperature								
Maximum	°C	26.7						
Minimum	°C	15						

Table 4.7: Seawater Data

4.6.4 GEOTECHNICAL DATA

The Geotechnical data used is obtained from the Offshore Pipelines Design Basis as listed below on Table 4.8. Dry soil weight assumed to be 16000 N/m³

Description	Units	Parameters
Soil type	-	Very soft to very stiff clay
Undrained shear strength	kPa	12.45
Angle of friction	Degree	-
Submerged soil density	N/m ³	14139

Table 4.8: Soil data

4.6.5 Marine Growth

Marine growth has not been considered in on-bottom stability analysis.

4.6.6 Wave Data

The wave and current data extracted from the Offshore Pipelines Design Basis is presented in Table 4.9. Current calculated using 1/7 power law to get current at 1 meter reference height. Shoaling and refraction used for wave height at shallow regions

			Return po	eriod					
Item	Notation	Unit	1 year	100 year					
Maximum individual waves									
Height	H _{max}	m	2.2	5.4					
Period	T _{max}	S	5.6	8.7					
Length	L _{max}	m	46.7	91.4					
	Significant	wave							
Height	H _s	m	1.2	3					
Period	T _s	s	5.5	8					
Length	L _s	m	45.8	82.2					
С	Current speed (wind	and tide ind	luced)						
0% of Depth	V ₀	m/s	0.8	1.22					
10% of Depth	V ₁₀	m/s	0.69	1.00					
20% of Depth	V ₂₀	m/s	0.61	0.84					
30% of Depth	V ₃₀	m/s	0.55	0.72					
40% of Depth	V ₄₀	m/s	0.51	0.63					
50% of Depth	V ₅₀	m/s	0.48	0.57					
60% of Depth	V ₆₀	m/s	0.46	0.52					
70% of Depth	V ₇₀	m/s	0.44	0.48					
80% of Depth	V ₈₀	m/s	0.40	0.42					
90% of Depth	V ₉₀	m/s	0.36	0.38					
100% of Depth	V ₁₀₀	m/s	0.32	0.34					

Table 4.9: Metocean Data

<u>CHAPTER – 5</u>

ANALYSIS

5.1 INTRODUCTION

The fig 5.1 shows the calculation sheet with input details to find out the vertical penetration depth of a pipeline in offshore. The Input details are according to the conditions.

X 呈	±7) - (°≝ - -		P	PELINE	er. STABILI	TY.xis [Compat	ibility M	ode] - Micros	oft Excel (Product	Activatio	on Failed)				- 0 ×
File	Home Insert Page Layo	out Form	iulas Data	Reviev	v View											
Paste	 ☆ Cut ☆ Copy * ở Format Painter Clipboard Courier 	* 10		* = <mark>=</mark> • • •	≫× 译译	^{[5} Wrap Text] Merge & Center ㆍ	Number \$ - °	√0 • 00 ÷00 umber ⊡	Conditiona Formatting	al Format * as Table Styles	Cell • Styles •	Insert De	elete Format	Σ AutoSum Fill → Clear → E	Sort & Find & Filter * Select *	
	N53 🔹 🎜	k.														~
23	A B	C	D	E	F	G	н	1	J	К	Ľ	М	N	O P	> Q	R S 🚍
24	Width of bearing area B =	2 [d * (D _c -	d)] ^{1/2} For B < D	, (Please re	efer to pipe geo	metry diagram below)									
25	1.5	Dc	For B > I	De				<u>cc</u>	MPUTATIO	N FOR d	USING SO	LVER				
26	Where,															
27	$B = W_s/q_u$							Obj. Function	0.00000098							
28	q _u = Ultimate bearing ca	pacity of the s	$o = S_u * N_c + \gamma_s$	* d * N _q				d	155.48	mm (TO B	E COMPUTE	D BY SOLVE	R)			
29	d = Pipe settlement							В	436.0	mm			_			
30	From the observations							q _u	4.1	kN/m*	FORME					
31	From the above equations,			10				LHS	0.4360		FOR VE	RIFICATION	-			
32	Ws		2 [d * (D _c - d)]					RHS	0.4360							
33	$N_{c} \cdot S_{u} + \gamma_{s} \cdot d \cdot N_{q}$							Diff in LHS & RHS	0.0000	%						
34	Computed Pine Settlement	d		155.48	mm											
35	(Bu trial & error procedure from th	ne above formu	la)													
37	The computed width of bearing a	rea B = 2 [d *	(D _c - d)] ^{1/2} =	436.04	mm											
38				B < D _c												
39			Hence pipe i	is vertically	stable on so	il 👘										
41			De													
42		*		>												
44		/														
45		/		1												
47			1.	1												
48 49 50 51 52	SEA BED			-												
53		<	>										L			
14 4 F	N SINK-DEPTH for N=5.14	SINK-DEPTI	H for N=3.3	8LP / 1	8" / 🚬 /		_		<u> </u>				111			
Ready															🗄 🗐 🛄 75% 🧲	

Fig 5.1 : Calculation spread sheet

The vertical penetration depth of the pipeline should be checked for the approval. It is based on DNV-RP-F105 FREE SPANNING OF PIPELINES. The vertical penetration depth should be within half the diameter of the final coated pipeline. In case of sinking, if the maximum submerged specific weight-water filled is greater than specific weight of soil including water then the result of vertical penetration depth should be used as the result for sinking.

🗶 🚽 🗉 - (° - 1 -		PIPELINE Ve	r. STABILITY.xls	[Compatil	pility Mode] - N	licroso	oft Excel (Product Activatio	on Failed)		- 0 ×
File Home Insert Page I	ayout Formulas.	Data Review	View							• (?) = -= ×
Clipboard ₪	• 11 • A [*] • ⊞ • ↔ • /		≫ → 🖓 Wrap	Text e & Center + G	Custom \$ - % , Number	▼ 000000000000000000000000000000000000	Conditional Format Cell Formatting × as Table × Styles × Styles	Insert Delete Format Cells	Σ AutoSum * A Fill * Z Clear * Sort & Find & Filter * Select * Editing	
B5 -	f_{x}									~
A B 5 Title : TANTAWAN FIELD - PHAS 6 Subject : PIPELINE SETTLEMENT CA 7			E F	G Job No. : 1 Sheet No. : 1	H I 1266-01 I OF 1		JKL		P Q R	S
8 9 8.625" OD, 0.5" WT, NO CONC., X- 10	52 GR., LP LINE									
11 Pipeline Outside Diameter 12 Pipe Wall Thickness	Dt	=	219.1 mm 12.7 mm							
Insulation coating thickness Corrosion coating thickness Concrete coating thickness	ti tcor	=	0.0 mm 2.25 mm							
Coated pipe outside diameter Submerged weight of pipe (with water)	D _c	=	223.6 mm 550.0 N/m (Taken fro	m pipe weight	calculation)					
18 Undrained shear strength of clay 19 Bearing capacity factor	Su Ne	-	1.0 kN/m ² 5.7	hadmant is na	olacted)					
20 Ottimate bearing capacity of the soll 21 Width of bearing area	$q_u = N_c \cdot S_u$ B = W_s/ q_u	=	96.5 mm	locument is ne	giociou)					
22 Pipe settlement d = D _c / 23 (From the geometry of the figure 24 25	2 - ((D _c /2) ² - (B/2) ²) ^{1/2} given below)	=	10.9 mm							
26 27 28										
25 30 31 32	D.									
33 34 35										
37 38 39		Da/2rd)								
Ready	SINK-DEPTH for I	N=313 8LP / 18							🔠 🗉 🛄 75% 🗢	• •

Fig 5.2 : Spread sheet (vertical penetration depth)

CHAPTER - 6

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

6.1 INTRODUCTION

The four major results need to be checked in this on-bottom stability project are

- Submerged weight check
- Vertical soil penetration requirement
- Vertical stability requirement sinking
- Absolute static stability requirement

6.2 RESULTS

The major results are checked for all conditions, and found for some cases increase in concrete thickness more than DNV-OS-F101 specified minimum concrete thickness is required to get pass.

Submerged Weight Check:

w_{sub} = "PASS"

Vertical Soil Penetration Requirement:

Soil_{limit} = "PASS"

Vertical stability requirement - No Sinking:

Stab_{vertical} = "PASS"

Absolute Static Stability Requirement:

Absolute_Static_Stability_Req = "PASS"

6.3 STABILITY ANALYSIS RESULT

The on-bottom stability analysis has been performed for the 12-inch pipelines in accordance with the requirements of DNV-RP-F109. Based on the analysis results, the minimum concrete thicknesses calculated and the concrete thicknesses selected for the 12-inch pipelines to be stable, both laterally and vertically, are summarized in Table 6.1. Wave attack angle of 60° (south) used near LFP. Water depth at LAT inclusive of trench depth

KP Sections	Position of Pipe	Min WD	Installation	Hydrotest	Operation	Selected CWC
KP0-KP17	Exposed	14m	0mm	0mm	40mm	40mm
KP17-KP19.7	Exposed	13m	0mm	0mm	50mm	50mm
KP19.7-LFP	Post-Trench	5m	49mm	0mm	0mm	50mm
	Pre-Trench	1.4m	50mm	0mm	0mm	

Table 6.1: Concrete coating Thickness Results

6.4 MINIMUM REQUIRED CONCRETE THICKNESS FOR ALL CONDITIONS



Fig 6.1: Required concrete thickness for installation condition



Fig 6.2: Required concrete thickness for Hydrotest condition



Fig 6.3: Required concrete thickness for Operation condition



Fig 6.4: Minimum water depth along the pipeline route

6.5 STABILITY ANALYSIS

The stability has to be checked for all three cases. The actual pipeline submerged weight is bigger than the minimum pipeline submerged weight required for all three cases. So the pipeline is stable. This is supported by the fact that the pipeline specific gravity is more than 1.1 for all three cases.

From table 6.1, the minimum thickness of concrete coating is around 40mm and 50mm, based on the installation, hydrotest, operation phase. Installation phase in considered the critical phase. If the value is less than the required thickness, the pipeline would probably fail due to high stress and fatigue damage during installation.

6.6 PARAMETRIC ANALYSIS

Parametric analysis is done by varying an input parameter and the others are fixed. In this study, input parameters are varied which are the wave height, mean water depth, wave and current velocity, contents and total diameter of pipeline.

From Figure 6.1, the required minimum concrete thickness increases with decrease in distance between the pipe and the shore.

From Figure 6.2, the required minimum concrete thickness remains same with whatever the distance between the pipe and the shore.From Figure 6.3, the required minimum concrete thickness changes according to the given conditions.

If the pipeline diameter gets increased, then the pipeline submerged weight also gets increased. Pipeline diameter is not involved in the calculation of water particle kinematics.

CHAPTER- 7

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

7.1 CONCLUSION

Based on the analysis, concrete coating and diameter of the pipeline contributes the most to the submerged weight and the stability of the pipeline. According to our case, the required minimum concrete thickness is 40mm for some case and 50 mm for some other cases. To obtain stability application of concrete coating is mandatory. A minimum concrete coating thickness of 40 mm is recommended by DNV-OS-F101. From the calculations, it is clear that the on bottom stability for this case study is "PASS" for all conditions.

7.2 RECOMMENDATION

Stability may be obtained by

- Weight coating
- Trenching
- Burial
- Mattresses
- Structural anchors
- Intermittent rock berms

In our case study, we have used weight coating i.e. concrete coating and trenching to obtain stability. In any case the provided concrete thickness should not be less than recommended required minimum concrete thickness.

REFERENCES

- 1. DNV Offshore Standard DNV-OS-F101, Submarine Pipeline Systems.
- 2. DNV Recommended Practice DNV-RP-F105, Free Spanning Pipelines, 2006.
- 3. DNV Recommended Practice DNV-RP-F109, On-Bottom Stability Design of Submarine Pipelines, 2007.
- 4. API 5L, specification for line pipe, june- 2000
- 5. Mohd.Ridza bin mohd. Haniffah, on bottom stability analysis and design of submarine pipeline, 2007
- 135594-00-PP-03-ED-004, A Offshore Pipeline- On-bottom stability analysis, 2013

APPENDIX

Condition	Cond = "Installation"
Position	Pos = "seabed"
Location class [DNV OS F101]	LC = 1
Piggy-back	Piggy = "No"
Trench depth	$z_t = 0m$
Slope angle of trench	$\Theta_t = 0$
Steel density	$\rho_{st}=7850 kg.m3$
Seawater density	$\rho_w = 1025 kg.m3$
Marine growth density	$\rho_{mg}=0kg/m3$
Field Joint Density	$\rho_{field} = 1025 \ kg/m3$
MAIN PIPE	
Length per joint	l = 12m
Pipeline wall thickness	wt = 12.7mm
Corrosion allowance	t _{ca} = 1.6mm if cond="Installation":
	0mm otherwise
Anti-corrosion thickness	$t_{cc} = 3.2mm (3PLE)$
Assumed concrete thickness	$t_{\rm con} = 0 {\rm mm}$
Concrete coating thickness	$t_{conc} = tcon$
Corrosion cutback	$corr_{cutback} = 305 mm$
Concrete cutback	$con_{cutback} = 150 mm$

Marine growth thickness	$t_{mg} = 25.4 \text{ mm}$ if cond="operation"	
(Marine growth equal to 0mm for pipeline)	0mm if cond="Installation", "hydrotest"	
Contents maximum density	$\rho_{cmax} = 0$ kg.m-3 if cond="installation"	
	806 kg.m-3 if cond= "operation"	
	1025kg.m-3 if cond= "hydrotest	
Anti-corrosion coating density	$\rho_{cc} = 932.2 kg.m-3$	
Concrete coating density	$\rho_{conc} = 3040 \text{kg.m-3}$	
Concrete water absorption	SWA = 3% if cond="operation"	
	0% otherwise	

SEABED AND SOIL DATA

YPE = 1

Seabed roughness			
	Roughness z _o		
Туре	Seabed	(mm)	(m)
1	Silt and clay	0.0625	5.10-6
2	Fine sand	0.25	1.10-5
3	Medium sand	0.5	4.10-5
4	Coarse sand	1	1.10-4
5	Gravel	4	3.10-4
6	Pebble	25	2.10-3
7	Cobble	125	1.10-2
8	Boulder	500	4.10-2

Soil data

= clay

	Z _o = 5x 10-6m
Submerged unit soil weight for clay	γ' _c = 14139 Nm-3
Submerged unit soil weight for sand	$\gamma'_s = 0$ Nm-3
Dry unit weight for clay	$\gamma_s = 16000 \text{Nm-3}$
Submerged weight of soil	$\gamma_{soil} = \gamma$'s if soil = sand
	γ'_{c} if soil = clay
clay shear strength	s _u = 12.45 kpa
angle of internal friction of sand	$\phi_s = o \ deg$
Soil friction factor	μ = 0.2 if soil = clay
	0.6 if soil = sand

 $D_{50} = 6.25 \text{ x } 10-5 \text{m}$

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

Water depth	h= 14m
Current reference height above seabed	$z_r = 1m$
Current velocity at reference level	v _r =0.36 m/s
Significant wave height	H _s =1.2m
Peak wave period	$T_p=5.5s$
Angle between current velocity and pipeline axis	$\Theta_c=90 \text{ deg}$
Angle between wave heading and pipeline axis	$\Theta_w=90 \text{ deg}$
Design sea state duration	$T_{storm} = 3hr$

SAFETY FACTORS

Pipeline location:

Safety classes (DNV OS F101 Table 2-4)

Normal classification of safety classes				
	Fluid category A,C		Fluid category B,D and E	
Phase	Location class		Location class	
	1	2	1	2
Temporary	Low	Low	Low	Low
Operational	Low	Normal	Normal	high

SC = "Low"

Safety factor for weight $\gamma w = 1.1$

PIPELINE WEIGHT CALCULATION

Total outside diameter	$D_{oc} = D_o + 2(t_{cc} + t_{conc})$	$D_{oc} = 0.33m$
Full outside diameter main pip	e including marine growth	
	$D_{mg} = D_{oc} + 2t_{mg}$	$D_{mg} = 0.33m$
Internal diameter	$D_i = D_o - 2wt$	$D_i = 0.298m$
Steel area	$A_{st} = \frac{\pi}{4} \left[D_o^2 - (D_i + 2t_{ca})^2 \right]$	$A_{st} = 0.0124 m^2$
Steel mass	$M_{st}\!\!=\!\!A_{st}\rho_{st}$	M _{st} = 97.468 kg/m
Corrosion coating area	$A_{cc} = \frac{\pi}{4} [D_o^2 - (D_i + 2t_{ca})^2]$	A_{cc} =3.288x10-3 m ²
Corrosion coating mass	$M_{cc}{=}A_{cc}\;\rho_{cc}$	M _{cc} =3.065 kg/m
Concrete coating mass	$A_{con} = \frac{\pi}{4} [(D_o + 2t_{cc} + 2t_{conc})^2 - (D_o + 2t_{conc})^2]$	$-2t_{cc})^{2}$]

Field joint coating area I	$A_{\text{field1}} = \frac{\pi}{4} [(D_0 + 2t_{\text{cc}})^2 - D_0^2]$	A_{field1} = 3.288 x 10-3 m ²
Field joint cating area II	$A_{\text{field2}} = \frac{\pi}{4} [D_{\text{oc}}^2 - (D_0 + 2t_{\text{cc}})^2]$	$A_{field2} = 0m2$
Concrete coating mass	$M_{con} = A_{con} \; \rho_{conc}$	$M_{con}=0 \ kg\!/m$
Marine growth area	$A_{mar} = \frac{\pi}{4} [(D_0 + 2t_{cc} + 2t_{conc} + 2t_{mg})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar} + 2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar})^2 - (2t_{mar})^2$	$D_0+2t_{cc}+2t_{conc})^2$]
	$A_{mar}=0m^2$	
Marine growth mass	$M_{mar} = A_{mar} \; \rho_{mg}$	$M_{mar} = 0 \text{ kg/m}$
Content mass	$M_{cont} = (\frac{\pi}{4} D_i^2 \rho_{cmax})$	$M_{cont} = 0 \text{ kg/m}$
Field joint mass I	$\mathbf{M}_{field1} = \rho_{field} \; A_{field}$	$M_{field1}=3.371\ kg/m$
Field joint mass II	$M_{field2} = \rho_{filed} \; A_{field2}$	$M_{field2} = 0 kg/m$
Water mass	$M_w = \frac{\pi}{4} D_i^2 \rho_w$	
	$W_{sub_w} = \frac{\pi}{4} D_i^2 \rho_{wg}$	W _{sub_w} = 703.434 N/m
Concrete water absorption	$A_{SWA} = A_{con} SWA$	$A_{swa} = 0m^2$
Absorbed waer mass	$M_{SWA} = ASWA \ \rho_w$	$M_{SWA} = 0 \text{ kg/m}$
Buoyancy force	$W_{bmgeq} = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{oc}^2 \rho_w g$	W _{bmgeq} = 861.294 N/m
Submerged weight	$\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{sub}}=$	

[(Mst + Mcont +	Mmar + MSWA) L + $Mcc(L - 2Corrcutback)$ + $Mcon(L - 2Concutback)$	+
	(Mfield1 + Mfield2) (corrcutback + conccutback)	

L

Maximum submerged weight-water filled $W_{sub_weq} =$

[(Mst + Mw + Mmar + MSWA) L + Mcc(L - 2Corrcutback) + Mcon (L - 2Concutback) + (Mfield1 + Mfield2) (corrcutback + conccutback)

$$W_{sub_weq} = 827.347 \text{ N/m}$$

DESIGN METHODS

Vertical stability in water

submerged weight $w_s = w_{sub_eq}$ $w_s = 123.913$ N/mbuoyancy $b = w_{bmgeq}$ b = 861.294 N/m

submerged weight shall meet the following criterion

$$\gamma_W \cdot \frac{b}{w_s + b} = \frac{\gamma_W}{s_g} \le 1.00$$

Submerged weight check

$$w_{sub} := \| \text{"PASS"} \text{ if } \gamma_{W} \cdot \frac{b}{w_{s} + b} \leq 1.0$$

"FAIL" otherwise

$$W_{sub} = "PASS"$$

Vertical stability on and in soil (check only for hydrotest condition)

Cross sectional area main pipe $A_{main} = \frac{\pi}{4} D_{oc} 2$ $A_{main} = 0.086 m^2$ Maximum submerged specific weight $\gamma_{submax} = \frac{W_{sub_weq}}{A_{main}}$ $\gamma_{submax} = 9.656 \text{ kN.m}^{-3}$ – water filled

Maximum submerged weight	$\mathbf{W}_{submax} = \mathbf{W}_{sub_weq}$
water filled	$W_{submax} = 827.347 \ N/m$
Specific weight soil(including water)	$\gamma_{soil_wet} = \gamma_{soil}$
	$\gamma_{\text{soil_wet}} = 14.139 \text{ kN.m}^{-3}$

VERTICAL SOIL REACTION AND PENETRATION

(ACCORDING TO DNV RP F105)

Bearing capacity factors

$$N_{q} := \exp(\pi \cdot \tan(\phi_{s})) \cdot \tan\left(45 \text{deg} + \frac{\phi_{s}}{2}\right)^{2} \qquad \qquad N_{q} = 1$$

$$N_c = JI + 2$$
 $N_c = 5.142$

 $D_v = 0.33m$

-

$$N_{\gamma} := 1.5 (N_{q} - 1) \tan(\phi_{s}) \qquad \qquad N_{\gamma} = 0$$

Diameter main pipe $D_v = D_{oc}$

Contact width for pipe-soil interaction

$$\begin{split} B(v) &:= \begin{array}{ll} 2\sqrt{\left(D_{V}-v\right)\cdot v} & \text{if } v \leq 0.5 \cdot D_{V} \\ D_{V} & \text{if } v > 0.5 D_{V} \end{array} \end{split}$$

Bearing capacity – sand

$$\mathbf{R}_{\text{sand}}(\mathbf{v}) \coloneqq \mathbf{\gamma}_{\text{soil}} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}) \cdot \left(\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{q}} \cdot \max\left(\mathbf{v} - \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{v}}}{4}, 0\mathbf{m}\right) + 0.5\mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{\gamma}} \cdot \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{v}) \right)$$

 $Vertical \ penetration-guess \ value \quad v=0.0001 D_v \qquad \qquad v=0.033 mm$

$$\theta_{\rm V} := 2 \left(\arccos \left(1 - \frac{2v}{D_{\rm V}} \right) \right)$$

$$A_{p}(v_{1}) := \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{D_{v}}{2}\right)^{2} \left(\theta_{v} - \sin(\theta_{v})\right)$$
$$A_{p}(v_{1}) = 0.145 \text{ mm}^{2}$$

Cross sectional area of penetrated part of pipe

Bearing capacity – clay (constant shear strength)

$$R_{clay}(v) = N_c S_u B(v) + \gamma_{soil} A_p (v_1)$$

Bearing capacity

$$R_{vsoil}(v) := \begin{bmatrix} R_{sand}(v) & \text{if Soil} = "Sand" \\ R_{clay}(v) & \text{if Soil} = "Clay" \\ R_{vsoil}(v) = 422.85 \text{ kg/s}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solve vertical penetration for vertical equilibrium

$$V = D_c/2 - ((D_c/2)^2 - (B/2)^2)^{1/2}$$
$$= (0.33/2) - [(0.33/2)^2 - (0.01292/2)^2]^{1/2}$$
$$= 0.126 \text{mm}$$

Vertical soil penetration requirement

Limited soil penetration sand or clay $Soil_{limit} = if (v_p < 0.5 D_v, "PASS", "FAIL")$

Vertical stability requirement- no sinking

Requirement

Stab_{vertical} = "PASS"

SHORT TERM WAVE CONDITIONS

$$\begin{split} D_c = D_{mg} \quad ; \qquad ep = 0\% \quad ; \qquad eo = ep D_c \quad ; \qquad \phi_p(T_p) = 2\pi/T_p \\ \gamma \Big(H_s, T_p \Big) := \begin{bmatrix} 5 & \text{if } \phi \leq 3.6 \\ e^{5.75 - 1.15 \cdot \phi} & \text{if } 3.6 < \phi < 5 \\ 1 & \text{if } \phi \geq 5 \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

Sigma(ω, T_p) = if ($\omega \le \omega_p(T_p)$, 0.07,0.09

$$\alpha_{p}(H_{s}, T_{p}) := \frac{5}{16} \cdot \frac{H_{s}^{2} \cdot \omega_{p}(T_{p})^{4}}{g^{2}} \cdot (1 - 0.287 \cdot \ln(\gamma(H_{s}, T_{p})))$$
$$k(\omega) := \operatorname{root}\left(k \cdot h - \frac{\omega^{2} \cdot h}{g} \cdot \operatorname{coth}(k \cdot h), k\right)$$

$$\underbrace{G}_{\mathcal{M}}(\omega) := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\omega \cdot \cosh\left[k(\omega) \cdot \left(D_{c} + e_{o}\right)\right]}{\sinh(k(\omega) \cdot h)} & \text{if } k(\omega) \cdot h < 100\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{S}_{\eta\eta} & \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{H}_{s}, \mathbf{T}_{p} \right) \coloneqq \mathbf{\alpha}_{p} \left(\mathbf{H}_{s}, \mathbf{T}_{p} \right) \cdot \mathbf{g}^{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}^{-5} \cdot \mathbf{e}^{-\frac{5}{4} \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega}}{\boldsymbol{\omega}_{p} \left(\mathbf{T}_{p} \right)} \right)^{-4}} \cdot \gamma \left(\mathbf{H}_{s}, \mathbf{T}_{p} \right)^{\mathbf{e}^{-0.5 \cdot \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{\omega} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{p} \left(\mathbf{T}_{p} \right)}{\operatorname{sigma}(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{T}_{p}) \cdot \boldsymbol{\omega}_{p} \left(\mathbf{T}_{p} \right)} \right)^{2}} \\ \mathbf{S}_{UU} & \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{H}_{s}, \mathbf{T}_{p} \right) \coloneqq \mathbf{G}(\boldsymbol{\omega})^{2} \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\eta\eta} \left(\boldsymbol{\omega}, \mathbf{H}_{s}, \mathbf{T}_{p} \right) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \text{M0}\big(\text{Hs},\text{T}_{p}\big) \coloneqq \int_{0s^{-1}}^{\infty \cdot s^{-1}} \text{S}_{\text{UU}}\big(\omega,\text{H}_{s},\text{T}_{p}\big) \, d\omega \\ & \text{M2}(\text{Hs},\text{T}_{p}) \coloneqq \int_{0s^{-1}}^{\infty \cdot s^{-1}} \text{S}_{\text{UU}}\big(\omega,\text{H}_{s},\text{T}_{p}\big) \cdot \omega^{2} \, d\omega \\ & \text{U}_{s}\big(\text{H}_{s},\text{T}_{p}\big) \coloneqq 2 \cdot \sqrt{\text{M0}\big(\text{H}_{s},\text{T}_{p}\big)} \\ & \text{U}_{s}(\text{H}_{s},\text{T}_{p}) = 0.166\text{m/s} \\ & \text{Tu}\big(\text{H}_{s},\text{Tp}\big) \coloneqq 2 \cdot \pi \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\text{M0}\big(\text{H}_{s},\text{Tp}\big)}{\text{M2}\big(\text{H}_{s},\text{Tp}\big)}} \end{split}$$

$$T_u(H_s, T_p) = 5.836 s$$

WAVE DIRECTIONALITY AND SPREADING REDUCTION FACTOR

$$\begin{split} k_{W}(s) &:= \sqrt{\frac{1}{\pi}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(1 + \frac{s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{s}{2}\right)} \\ w(\beta, s) &:= \begin{array}{c} k_{W}(s) \cdot \cos(\beta)^{s} & \text{if } |\beta| < \frac{\pi}{2} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \end{split}$$

Wave energy spreading function

$$\begin{split} R_d(\Theta_w) &= 0.949 \\ U_s &= U_s(H_s,T_p) \; R_d(\Theta_w) & U_s &= 0.157 \text{m/s} \\ T_u &= T_u(H_s,T_p) & T_u &= 5.836 \text{ s} \\ \gamma &= \gamma(H_s,T_p) & \gamma &= 1 \\ T_n &= (h/g)1/2 & T_n &= 1.195 \text{s} \end{split}$$

The ratio between design oscillation velocity period and the average zero up crossing period

$$\begin{split} k_{\rm T} &= \frac{{\rm T'}}{{\rm T}_{\rm u}} = \left| \begin{array}{c} k_{\rm t} - 5 \cdot \left(k_{\rm t} - 1\right) \cdot \frac{{\rm T}_{\rm n}}{{\rm T}_{\rm u}} & \text{if } \frac{{\rm T}_{\rm n}}{{\rm T}_{\rm u}} \le 0.2 \\ 1 & \text{if } \frac{{\rm T}_{\rm n}}{{\rm T}_{\rm u}} > 0.2 \end{array} \right. \\ k_{\rm t} &\coloneqq \left| \begin{array}{c} 1.25 & \text{if } \gamma = 1.0 \\ 1.21 & \text{if } \gamma = 3.3 \\ 1.17 & \text{if } \gamma = 5.0 \\ 1.25 - \left[(\gamma - 1) \cdot \frac{1.25 - 1.21}{3.3 - 1.0} \right] & \text{if } 1.0 < \gamma < 3.3 \\ 1.21 - \left[(\gamma - 3.3) \cdot \frac{1.21 - 1.17}{5.0 - 3.3} \right] & \text{if } 3.3 < \gamma < 5.0 \end{split} \end{split}$$

 $k_t = 1.25$

$$T' := \begin{bmatrix} T_u \cdot \left[k_t - 5 \cdot \left(k_t - 1 \right) \cdot \frac{T_n}{T_u} \right] & \text{if } \frac{T_n}{T_u} \le 0.2 \\ T_u & \text{if } \frac{T_n}{T_u} > 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$T' = 5.836 \text{ s}$$

Number of oscillation in the design bottom velocity spectrum

$$z = T \text{storm} / T u$$

= 1.851 x 103

The ratio between the design single oscillation velocity amplitude and the design spectral velocity amplitude for τ oscillation is:

$$k_{\rm u} = \frac{\rm U'}{\rm U_{\rm S}} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{2 \cdot \ln(\tau)} + \frac{0.5772}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \ln(\tau)}} \right)$$

$$\mathbf{U}' := \frac{\mathbf{U}_{\mathrm{s}}}{2} \cdot \left(\sqrt{2 \cdot \ln(\tau)} + \frac{0.5772}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \ln(\tau)}} \right)$$

U' = 0.317 m/s

DETERMINATION OF CURRENT SPEED VELOCITY VALUES

Average current velocity, Vc

$$V_{c} := \frac{1}{\ln\left(\frac{z_{r}}{z_{o}} + 1\right)} \left[\left(1 + \frac{z_{o}}{D_{oc}}\right) \ln\left(\frac{D_{oc}}{z_{o}} + 1\right) - 1 \right] \cdot V_{r} \cdot \sin(\theta_{c})$$

Steady current velocity associated with single design oscillation:

$$V_c = 0.298 \text{ m/s}$$

Steady current velocity associated with single design oscillation: $V' = V_c$

Steady to oscillatory velocity ratio of the design spectrum and the significant keulegan-carpenter number

Steady to oscillatory velocity ratio for design spectrum

$$M = Vc/Us \qquad \qquad M = 1.892$$

Significant keulegan-carpenter number

$$Ks=(Us Tu)/Doc$$
 $Ks=2.781$

Stady to oscillatory velocity ratio for single design oscillation

$$M' = V' / U'$$
 $M' = 0.94$

Significant keulegan-carpenter number for single design oscillation

$$K's = (U' T')/Doc$$
 $K's = 5.6$

Determination of load coefficients

Peak load coefficients Cy and Cz are taken from table 3.1 and 3.2

Horizontal load coefficient	$C_y = 2.227$
Vertical load coefficient	$C_z = 1.269$

PENETRATION DEPTH

Penetration due to movement (assumed)	Zpm = 0.5% Doc
Penetration due to pipe laying (assumed)	Zpl = 5% Doc
Zero lift force is assumed	Fz = 0 kN/m

$$K_{clay} := \frac{S_u \cdot D_{oc}}{w_s - F_z}$$

$$K_{clay} = 33.186$$

$$K_{\text{sand}} \coloneqq \frac{\gamma'_{\text{s}} \cdot D_{\text{oc}}^{2}}{w_{\text{s}} - F_{\text{z}}}$$

$$K_{\text{sand}} = 0$$

$$G_{\text{c}} \coloneqq \frac{S_{\text{u}}}{D_{\text{oc}} \cdot \gamma_{\text{s}}}$$

$$G_{\text{c}} = 2.356$$

$$z_{\text{pi}} \coloneqq \left[0.0071 \cdot \left(\frac{G_{\text{c}}^{0.3}}{K_{\text{clay}}}\right)^{3.2} + 0.062 \cdot \left(\frac{G_{\text{c}}^{0.3}}{K_{\text{clay}}}\right)^{0.7}\right] \cdot D_{\text{oc}} \text{ if Soil} = \text{"Clay"}$$

$$0.037 \cdot K_{\text{sand}}^{-0.67} \cdot D_{\text{oc}} \text{ if Soil} = \text{"Sand"}$$

 $Z_{pi} = 2.112 \text{ x } 10-3 \text{ m}$

 $Total \ penetration \ \ Z_p = Z_{pm} + Z_{pl} + Z_{pi}$

=5.288 x 10-3 m

Load reduction due to pipe soil interaction

Load reduction due to permeable sea bed $r_{perm_z} = 0.7$

No reduction factor due to y direction which make the value equal to 1

 $r_{perm_y} = 1.0$

Load reduction due to penetration:

$$\mathbf{r}_{\text{pen}y} := \max\left(1.0 - 1.4 \cdot \frac{z_p}{D_{\text{oc}}}, 0.3\right)$$

$$= 0.914$$

$$r_{\text{pen}_z} := \max\left[1.0 - 1.3\left(\frac{z_p}{D_{\text{oc}}} - 0.1\right), 0.0\right]$$

= 1.05

_.

Load reduction due to trenching :

$$\mathbf{r}_{tr_y} := \begin{bmatrix} 1.0 - 0.18 \cdot \left(\theta_t - 5\right)^{0.25} \cdot \left(\frac{z_t}{D_{oc}}\right)^{0.42} & \text{if } 5 \le \theta_t \le 45 \\ 1.0 & \text{otherwise} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$r_{tr_z} := \begin{cases} 1.0 - 0.14 \cdot \left(\theta_t - 5\right)^{0.43} \cdot \left(\frac{z_t}{D_{oc}}\right)^{0.46} & \text{if } 5 \le \theta_t \le 45\\ 1.0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{tr_y} = \mathbf{r}_{tr_z} = 1$$

Total load reduction

$$r_{tot_y} = r_{perm_y} r_{pen_y} r_{tr_y}$$
 $r_{tot_y} = 0.914$

$$r_{tot_z} = r_{perm_z} r_{pen_z} r_{tr_z} \qquad r_{tot_z} = 1.05$$

Absolute lateral static stability method

Peak vertical hydrodynamic (lift) load

$$\mathbf{F'}_{z} := \mathbf{r}_{tot_z} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \rho_{W} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{oc} \cdot \mathbf{C'}_{z} \cdot (\mathbf{U'} + \mathbf{V'})^{2}$$

 $F'_z = 0.08535 \text{ kN/m}$

Peak vertical hydrodynamic (drag and inertia) load

$$\mathbf{F'}_{\mathbf{y}} \coloneqq \mathbf{r}_{\text{tot}}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \rho_{\mathbf{W}} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{oc}} \cdot \mathbf{C'}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot (\mathbf{U'} + \mathbf{V'})^2$$

$$F'_{y} = 0.13 \text{ kN/m}$$

SOIL RESISTANCE:

Passive resistance

$$\begin{split} F_c &= W_s \cdot F_z \qquad \qquad F_c = 123.913 \text{ N/m} \\ F_R &:= \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{if Soil} = \text{"Sand"} \\ & \left| \begin{array}{c} F_c \cdot \left(5.0 \cdot k_s - 0.15 \cdot k_s^{-2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D_{oc}} \right)^{1.25} & \text{if } k_s \leq 26.7 \\ & F_c \cdot k_s \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D_{oc}} \right)^{1.25} & \text{if } k_s > 26.7 \\ & F_c \cdot 4.1 \cdot \frac{k_c}{G_c^{-0.39}} \cdot \left(\frac{z_p}{D_{oc}} \right)^{1.31} & \text{if Soil} = \text{"Clay"} \\ & F_R &= 123.913 \text{ x } 4.1 \ (33.186/2.356 \ 0.39) \ (0.0202/0.3303)1.31 \end{split}$$

= 312.023 N/m

DESIGN CRITERION:

A pipeline can be considered to satisfy the absolute static stability requirement if:

$$\gamma_{sc} {\cdot} \frac{F'_y + \mu {\cdot} F'_z}{\mu {\cdot} w_s + F_R} \leq 1.0$$

$$1.0 \frac{130+0.2 \times 85}{0.2 \times 123.913+312.023} = 0.436 \le 1.0$$

$$\gamma_{sc} \cdot \frac{F'_{z}}{w_{s}} \le 1.0$$

$$1.0 \ge \frac{85}{123.913} = 0.686 \le 1.0$$

Safety factors $\gamma_{sc} = 1.0$

Absolute static stability check:

$$\begin{aligned} Absolute_Static_Stability_Req := & "PASS" \quad if \left(\gamma_{sc} \cdot \frac{F'_y + \mu \cdot F'_z}{\mu \cdot w_s + F_R} \leq 1.0 \right) \land \left(\gamma_{sc} \cdot \frac{F'_z}{w_s} \leq 1.0 \right) \\ & "FAIL" \quad otherwise \end{aligned}$$

Absolute_static_stability_requirement = "PASS"

SUMMARY

Submerged weight check	$\mathbf{W}_{\mathrm{sub}}$	= "PASS"
Vertical soil penetration requirement	Soil _{limit}	= "PASS"
Vertical stability requirement – No sinking	Stab _{vertical}	= "PASS"
Absolute static stability requirement		

Absolute_static_stability_Req = "PASS"

The details need to be changed in input values for other conditions are

Condition = Hydrotest

Content density = 1025 kg/m^3

Condition = Operation

Corrosion allowance $t_{cc} = 1.6 \text{ mm}$

Marine growth $t_{mg} = 25.4 \text{mm}$

Contents density ρ_{cmax} = 860 kg/m3

Concrete water absorption SWA = 3 %
Location class = 1

Return period

$$H_s = 3m$$

 $T_p = 8 \text{ sec}$
 $V_r = 0.385 \text{ m/s}$
 $Z_{pm} = 0.5\%$
 $Z_{pp} = 7\%$
 $\gamma_{sc} = 1.138$
 $\gamma_w = 1.1$

FOR 5M DEPTH

Condition = Installation

h = 5m $\Theta_t = 14^o$

 $Z_t = 2.4m$

 $V_r = 0.311 \text{ m/s}$

 $\Theta_{\rm w} = 60^{\rm o}$

Condition = Operation

 $H_{s} = 3m$ $V_{r} = 0.332$ $\Theta_{t} = 14^{\circ}$ $\Theta_{w} = 60^{\circ}$ $T_{p} = 8sec$ h = 5m $Z_{t} = 2.4m$

FOR 1.4M DEPTH CONDITIONS

Condition =Installation

 $\Theta_t = 14^o$ $Z_t = 2.4m$

 $V_r = 0.259 \ m/s$

 $\Theta_{\rm w}=60^{\rm o}$

Condition = Operation

 $H_{s} = 3m$ $V_{r} = 0.277 m/s$ $Z_{t} = 2.4m$ $T_{p} = 8 sec$

 $\Theta_{\rm w}=60^{\rm o}$

 $\Theta_t = 14^{\rm o}$

RESULT

KP Sections	Position of Pipe	Min WD	Installation	Hydrotest	Operation	Selected CWC
KP0-KP17	Exposed	14m	0mm	0mm	40mm	40mm
KP17-KP19.7	Exposed	13m	0mm	0mm	50mm	50mm
KP19.7-LFP	Post-Trench	5m	49mm	0mm	0mm	50mm
	Pre-Trench	1.4m	50mm	0mm	0mm	