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#### Abstract

This project makes use of Caesar stress analysis software in great way. Using this software, it has been found out that the design and operating factors have been satisfactory and within the design limits. Various types of pipeline supports have been studied, the span length, design specifications of the supports have been analyzed.

With the help of P\&ID diagram, I have got the understanding of various fittings and its specifications which are used in pipelines. The process of site inspection has provided me an outlook of the pipeline layout and its isometric drawing using Auto Cad has been drawn.

Many soil characteristics have been modeled using the Caesar software. These soil characteristics along with supports and various load conditions were used to model the pipeline and find out the stresses at multiple nodes.
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## Nomenclature

$\mathrm{P}=$ initial pressure, psi
$D_{0}=$ outside diameter, inch
$\mathrm{S}=$ allowable stress, psi
$\mathrm{A}=$ allowance, additional thickness provided for material removed by threading, corrosion etc.
$\mathrm{Y}=$ coefficient that takes material properties and design temperature into account
$\mathrm{Z}=$ section modules, $\mathrm{in}^{3}$
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ Nominal thickness, inch
$\mathrm{L}=$ Span length, feet

W=weight of the pipeline, $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}$
$\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ Total weight of the system, $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{m}$
$\mathrm{T} 1=$ design temperature, ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{T} 2=$ Operating temperature, ${ }^{0} \mathrm{C}$
$\mathrm{P} 1=$ Design pressure, $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
$\mathrm{P} 2=$ Operating Pressure $\mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{mm}^{3}$
SPM $=$ Single Point Mooring
COT= Crude Oil Tankage

## Company Profile

The Essar Refinery is an oil refinery at Vadinar, Gujarat, India. It is owned and operated by the Essar Oil. Construction of the refinery started in 1996. The refinery project was delayed several times due to environmental concerns and financial problems, including initial cost over runs and a shortfall in equity contributions. In 1998, it was $60 \%$ complete but was struck by a cyclone that caused considerable damage. Construction was restarted in 2005 and the refinery was completed in 2006. Essar Oil reported commercial production of 10.5 mtpa in May 2008.The units commissioned in the first phase were the CDU, VDU, sulphur gas unit, naphtha hydrotreater, catalytic cracker and visbreaker. The fluid catalytic cracker and a diesel hydro desulphuriser were commissioned in November 2006. The FCC and DHDS plants were modified so as to be compliant with the cleaner Euro III and Euro IV fuels. The refinery configuration lends itself well to de-bottlenecking and its capacity is enhanced to 14 mtpa in 2009. The docking facilities include an SBM capable of handling vessels up to 350,000 DWT with a capacity of 25 mtpa , tankages with interconnecting pipelines of 20mtpa capacity, marine product dispatch capacity of 12 mtpa and rail-car and truck-loading facilities. The refinery is expanded to a capacity of 20 million tons per annum. Essar Oil Ltd (EOL) announced the completion of the Rs 8,300-crore expansion of its Vadinar Refinery with the successful commissioning of the final Delayed Coker unit (DCU), which is amongst the world's largest. The Vadinar Refinery is now India's second largest single-location refinery, with an annual capacity of 20 million tons ( 405,000 barrels per day) and a complexity of 11.8 , which also makes it among the world's most complex refineries.

The capacity expansion and complexity enhancement gives the Vadinar Refinery the capability to process much heavier crude diet. The share of ultra-heavy crude, which currently constitute $20 \%$ of crude basket, will go up to $60 \%$; and as a result the overall share of heavy and ultraheavy crude will go up to $80 \%$ of the refinery's total crude basket. The company has already entered into long-term crude sourcing contract with global suppliers, including several national oil companies from Latin America

### 1.0. Chapter 1

### 1.1. Introduction

This project deals with stress analysis using CEASER II software for a crude line interconnection with and existing 48' inch pipeline of Essar from jetty to Crude Oil Tankage (COT). The crude line which will be considered here is the 42 " Pipeline. The pipeline will be from the IOCL COT area. In near future if a situation arises when our Single Point Mooring system (SPM) is already in its $100 \%$ productivity and refinery is in need of row crude the IOCL SPM can be directly put in for our use and the surplus amount of crude to feed the COT tanks can be arranged through the new 42" pipeline. The other strategic advantage which Essar will be gaining from such a pipeline is that if there is some maintenance or shut down in SBM there won't be any product supply, so this will act as an alternate source. It can also be used in the time of storage in product supply from SBM. Product can be obtained with the minimum transportation cost by this pipeline.

In this project the entire stress analysis for this pipeline using CAESAR II software. CAESAR II is a PC-based pipe stress analysis software program developed, marketed and sold by COADE Engineering Software. At first the design of the 42" pipeline will be analyzed with stress analysis at maximum design conditions. Pipe stress analysis involves those calculations that address static and dynamic loading in pipe that result from various factors such as internal and external pressures, changes in temperature and fluid flow rate and changes due to gravity and seismic activity It also interprets the maximum displacement of load in the pipeline. The maximum load withstanding capacity of the carbon steel material is also interpreted. So in short it gives us an detailed idea regarding the maximum operating conditions and the chance for its failure.

### 2.0. Chapter 2

### 2.1. Literature review

1. Behavior of Buried pipeline subjected to External Loading, by Paul Chi Fal Ng (1994):

Chapter 2.5 of this book MODELS OF SOIL/PIPELINE INTRACTION has helped me to understand the various soil characteristics and its interaction with pipelines. With this theory in mind models work created in Caesar.

## 2. Pipe Stress Analysis by Sam Kannappan(1993) :

From this book chapter 6 PIPELINE SUPPORTS, the types of supports and the uses at different conditions were studied. With the help of this understanding I was able to choose the right support for this project. The span length calculations from this chapter were used to find out the required span length for the support marking.
3. Pipeline Stress Analysis by Adwait. A. Josh (2001)

The types of loads and the manner in which they act on the pipeline were studied from this book. These load factors which lead to pipeline failures and their remedies were also studies.

## 4. Stress analysis methods for underground pipe lines by Liang-Chaun Peng

From this book, the different loads due to burring pipeline underground have been analyzed. These concepts were used for the Caesar modeling of the pipeline

## 5. Guidelines for the Design of Buried Steel Pipe by American Lifelines Alliance

The pipe expansion and displacement at various operating and design conditions was studied. Because of this I was able to choose the right support.

## 6. Pipe Stress Analysis by IDC Technologies Pyt Ltd (2008)

From this document chapter 1.6 'thermal effects and flexibility in pipeline system' has given me to the idea about the behavior of the pipe with the different thermal conditions. This gave better understanding about the pipe failures and the allowable limits.
7. Seismic And Thermal Analysis of Buried Piping by Richard Stuart(1996)

By this journal it has been found that the different seismic and thermal effect acting on the buried pipes. This helped me to design different load conditions of the required pipe structure.
8. ASME 31.3-2012 ,Process piping

The standards and limits set for piping has given me an understanding of designing pipes.

## 9. Documents from ESSAR

The operating and design conditions of existing pipeline and its mechanical properties were analyzed. The various design factors and design criteria were also studied.

### 3.0. Scope

Essar is planning to construct a interlink pipeline between the 48 inch crude line form jetty to COT area and the Tank farm of the IOCL, to improve the reliability. The expected benefits are to avoid the shut out condition due to the product shortage by various reasons. The scope of the project is to identify whether the different design specification and operational parameters are feasible or not. Pipeline and pipeline components are subjected to different types of stresses just like other mechanical components. Overstressing can result in premature failure of pipe and pipeline components and it is therefore important to ensure that pipeline stresses are kept within allowable limits. This is precisely why pipeline systems are subjected to stress analysis. Pipe stress analysis involves those calculations that address static and dynamic loading in pipe that result from various factors such as internal and external pressures, changes in temperature and fluid flow rate and changes due to gravity and seismic activity.

### 4.0. Chapter 3

### 4.1. Theoretical development

Caesar -II is a complete pipe stress analysis software program that allows quick and accurate analysis of piping or pipeline system for various load conditions subjected to weight, pressure, thermal, seismic as well as other static and dynamic loads .Caesar-II is universally accepted analysis package, which can analysis of pipe system of any size and complexity .it is uses the finite element analysis method. By this software pipe stress analysis along with connected structure is possible, as it has a built in structural module.

Some of the important points about this software are mentioned below.

- It includes more option and more technical capabilities compared to other software.
- Software is user-friendly and acceptable by client globally
- It is proven stable and reliable software in constant of heavy use
- The software is tested with stringent quality assurance standards
- The software is having continuous up gradation and improvement
- The software got interface with PDS
- It got interactive Graphics which is very useful while stress analysis
- The software got Extensive on-line help
- Stress analysis of underground buried pipeline system is possible
- It is capable of analyzing of special pipe supports like hangers etc., and also special component like expansion joint etc.


### 4.2. Inputs required for Caesar II

- Material selection and specification
- Size of the pipe
- Thick ness of the pipe
- Operating and design conditions
- P\&ID diagram
- Fitting and its specification
- Isometric drawing
- Supports and support marking
- Load cases
- Soil characteristics


### 4.2.1. Material selection

Selection of piping materials for refinery and petrochemical plants requires collaboration between the corrosion piping and process engineers, and usually involves more than determining if a material is compatible with a given environment. Many questions must be answered before a pipe and valve specification can be written.

- Is the alloy available in the size and thickness required
- Is it the most economical choice
- Should it be specified as seamless or welded
- Is it suitable for the maximum anticipated operating temperature or will long-term exposure to these temperatures cause its mechanical properties to deteriorate
- Will it require special welding or heat treatment requirements

It should be noted at the outset that the best approach to corrosion control may not involve the use of corrosion resistant alloy materials.

Often adequate life can be obtained in corrosion services with carbon steel piping in conjunction with control of process and operating variables. In other cases, in particular those piping systems handling corrosive fluids at elevated temperatures, there is no alternative to corrosion-resistant materials. Also, low or elevated temperature service conditions can dictate the use of special materials.

In most major projects, the preparation of the pipe and valve specifications starts in the piping department of an engineering contractor. These engineering firms have standardized specifications which are usually coded to:

## 1. Materials of construction

2. Primary flange pressure classification and
3. Minimum allowances for corrosion.

The codes are often sub grouped to provide for variations in valve trim material, types of small fittings, screwed or socket welded, or special heat treatment or material requirements etc.

In this project we are planning to take a interlink line from the existing crude feeder line. Then the operating and design conditions of both lines will be equal. The existing crude pipe line is API 5L Gr 52

The physical properties are:
Yield strength : 52000 psi
Tensile strength : 68000 psi
Density of the steel: $7850 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$
The maximum allowable flow rate of the 48 inch pipeline is 10 MMTPA with a design temperature and pressure of $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $18 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{2}$.

By the capacity of the SPM (Single Point Mooring system) and the vessels (ships) the flow rate should not exceed to 7-8MMTP, and the pressure and temperature always lesser than the design criteria. If the same material has been chosen for the new interlink line, the mechanical or physical properties will be very much higher than what it required, and moreover which increases the cost of the project. So the design engineer should go for the material selection. It is usually desirable to employ the fewest possible different piping materials. This reduces construction costs and is of particular interest to the maintenance departments or the operating company. So the material of the proposed line has to be selected from std. API 5L with lower grade than 52. By the most economic concern and availability it is selected as API 5L Gr 46. The selected material further goes to different quality tests.

The physical and chemical properties are shown below.
Material: $\quad$ API 5L X 46
Physical properties
Yield strength $: 46000 \mathrm{psi}$
Tensile strength $: 63000 \mathrm{psi}$
Elongation : variable depends on temperature
Density $: 7850 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m} 3$

| Chemical properties |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} \%$ | $-0.29(\max )$ |
| Mn | $-1.35(\max )$ |
| P | -0.040 |
| S | -0.050 |

### 4.2.2. Design and Operating parameters

By the calculation from the operation department the design temperature and pressure of the system will be

Flow $\quad=1.2-2 \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{sec}$
Pressure $\quad=18 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{cm} 2$
Temperature $=650 \mathrm{c}$
By the atmospheric condition the max temperature is 460C (May and June) and the min temperature is 70C (Jan and Feb), the temperature of the crude oil is mostly 400C (from the specification of crude oil).Therefore the operating parameters are

Pressure $\quad=8 \mathrm{Kg} / \mathrm{cm} 2$
Temperature $=400 \mathrm{C}$
Hydro test pressure $=1.5 * 18=27 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm} 2$

### 4.2.3 Line sizing

According to a 1979 American survey, as much as $30 \%$ of the total cost of the typical chemical process plat goes for piping, piping element and valves. A significant amount of operating cost (energy) is also used up in forcing through its component. A significant amount of the maintain cost is also for the pipe and associated things. Proper sizing with optimal calculations required. The main criteria generally used in the line sizing are

- Velocity consideration
- Available pressure drop
- Economic consideration

After the various calculations and economic consideration the process department finalized with the diameter of 42 inch for the interlink pipeline.

### 4.2.4. Thickness Calculation

$t_{m}=\frac{P D_{o}}{2\left(S E_{q}+P Y\right)}+A$
$\mathrm{P}=$ initial pressure psi

Do= outside diameter inch
$\mathrm{S}=$ allowable stress
$\mathrm{A}=$ allowance, additional thickness provided for material removed by threading, corrosion etc.
$\mathrm{Y}=$ coefficient that takes material properties and design temperature into account
$\mathrm{P}=18 \times 14.2=255 \mathrm{psi}$
$\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{mat}$. API 5L gr X42= 42000 psi
$\mathrm{Y}=0.4$ (because the temperature is less tan )
Corrosion allowance $=3 \mathrm{~mm}=0.1181$ inch

Total $=0.127+0.1181=0.2451$

Mill tolerance $=12.5 \%$

Nominal thickness $(\min )=0.28011$ inch

By this calculation we get the value 0.28011 inch $=7.114 \mathrm{~mm}$
The thickness of the pipeline should not goes lesser than 7.114 mm . It should be higher than the calculated value.

By the ABB Lummus Crest Mauritius specification

| Input <br> symbol | commodity | Min <br> size | Max <br> size | Comm.code | Shed/class | description | material | Thickness |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| PI | PLAN <br> END/BEVEL <br> END | 30 | 42 | 11210 R | XS | STR SEAM <br> SAW,ASME <br> B36.10/B16.25 | API 5L | 0.5 |

Thickness of the pipeline $=0.5$ inch $=12.7 \mathrm{~mm}$.

### 4.3. Pipeline layout

The layout out of the pipeline is completely depends on the isometric diagram. The isometric drawings are a means of drawing an object in picture from better clarifying the object's appearance. These types of drawing resemble a picture of an object that drawn in three dimensions. AUTO CAD software is used to draw the isometric drawing. Basically conceptual drawing and routing of the pipeline based P\&ID. All the condition laid down in P\&ID is full filled. The P\&ID the gives the better understanding about the process, requirement and the component which will be attach to the pipeline. The P\&ID is generating by the process department.

Inputs require for the pipeline layout

- P\&ID
- Catalogue information for equipment/fittings
- Pipe specification
- Equipment layout
- Plot plan
- Design guide line/standard


### 4.3.1 P\&ID Diagram



ESSAR LEGEND


Figure source : ESSAR DOCS: IGP/14-15/P\&ID- DRG/ESSAR/03 R-01

## Figure 1 P\&ID

The above figure shows the P\&ID diagram of full process. But Essar is planning to execute the first portion where the marking is given in the above diagram.

### 4.3.3. Fittings and specifications

## Monolithic Joint



Figure source : ESSAR DOCS: IGP/14-15/MIJ- DRG/ESSAR/010 R-01
Figure 2 Monolithic joint
A Monolithic Insulating Joint is a welded pre-Fabricated 3-pieces union, for pipeline electrical sectioning, commonly used when the Cathodic Protection is present to prevent any interference with the corrosion control. In addition, the design phase involves a finite element analysis to identify the most stressed areas and to control the heat loss during welding operations. The construction is specifically oriented to the connected pipes, assuring a comparable overall strength, matching all the internal dimension to allow possible pig smooth passage; the pups length is calculated in order to protect the joint mechanical and electrical integrity during the welding activities

Monolithic isolation fittings will serve as a positive leak proof, long lasting block against the flow of electric current in all piping systems. When the isolation fitting is buried, you bury maintenance costs forever - an especially important feature for system operators and engineers

| SIZE | RATING | D | ID | D 1 | A | PL | t | PIPE <br> MAT | DF T.I |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Inch | ANSI | mm | mm | Mm | mm | mm | mm | Grade | Microns |
| 42 | $150 \#$ | 1067 | 1041.6 | 1273 | 1502 | 502 | 12.7 | API 5L <br> GR B | 300 |

Total weight of the rigid $($ monolithic joint $)=15809.990$

## Flanged gate valve



Fig. source: www.pinstake.com
Figure 3 Flanged gate valve
Specification

| Design Spec | $:$ | API6d |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Design Spec, End Flanges | $:$ | ASMEB16.47 (A) |
| Type of wedge | $:$ | Flexible |
| Type Of Bonnet | $:$ | Bolted |
| Type Of Gasket | $:$ | Spiral Wound |
| Finish, Flange Facing | $:$ | Rmooth 125 to 250aarh |
| Facing, Flange | $:$ | Class Face |
| Pressure Designation | $:$ | Carbon Steel |
| Mat, Body | $:$ | 13 \% Cr,Full Stellited |
| Mat, Trim | $:$ | Stainless Steel 316 |
| Mat, Gasket(S) | $:$ | Graphite |
| Gasket, Filling | $:$ | Stainless Steel 316 |
| Mat Spec, Gasket | $:$ | ASTM A216 WCB |
| Mat Spec, Body | $:$ | ASTM A216 WCB |
| Mat Spec, Bonnet | $:$ | A-193 B7 |
| Mat, Packing, Gland | $:$ | ASTM A194-2h |
| Mat Spec, Bolt | $:$ | Gear Size |
| Mat Spec, Nut(S) | $:$ | Service |
| Operator | $:$ | 50031 |

## Flange



Fig. source : www.tria-group.com
Figure 4 Welded neck flange

| Design spec | $:$ | ASME B16.7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Type | $:$ | Welded neck |
| Pressure Designation | $:$ | 150 |
| Mat body | $:$ | Carbon steel |
| Mat spec bolt | $:$ | A-193 B7 |
| Mat spec nut | $:$ | Astm 194-2h |
| Total rigid weight | $:$ | 330 N |

Dimension

| Overall dia (D) | $:$ | 53 inch |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Dia of hole circle(K) | $:$ | 49.50 inch |
| Face dia (G) | $:$ | 47 inch |
| Flange thickness(B) | $:$ | 3.81 inch |
| Overall length (H) | $:$ | 6.7 inch |
| Dia of welded bevel end(A) | $:$ | 42 inch |
| Hub dia (M) | $:$ | 43 inch |

### 4.3.4. Site inspection



Figure 5 site view

Before any isometric drawing the site inspection is must for any design engineer. The figure shows the proposed site for the laying of the interlink pipeline.

During the site inspection it has been observed that

- There is a 7 m . approach road is passing through the site. This is the connecting road between the jetty and the refinery
- There is an effective drainage system( 0.9 m ) running parallel to the road
- There is boundary wall of IOC is situated 35 m from the tap of point
- BSNL OFC cable is also passing parallel to the road
- A pocket road is also passing through the other site on the other side of IOC boundary wall
- It Is also observed that the type of soil is gravel in nature

Plot plan is drowned by these observations. The plot plan of the interlinked pipeline is shown below.

### 4.3.5. Plot plan



Figure 6.1 plot plan (side view)


Fig.source : Essar docs- IGP 11160X35
Figure 6.2 plot plan (top view)

### 4.3.6. Isometric drawing



Figure 7 isometric drawing

### 4.4. Pipe line support

Pipeline supports are implemented for the following purpose

- To support the weight of pipe and component during the operation
- To take load due to thermal expansion
- To absorb vibration in the piping system
- To support the hydraulic thrust in piping
- To support the system during the shutdown condition
- To support system during maintains
- To take earthquake load
- To take wind load

Pipeline supports are broadly classifieds into
I. Primary support : This is directly attached to the pipe, this supports generally having the same material as the pipe
II. Secondary support: This is directly attached to the structure or foundation to support the primary support. This is generally consisting of concrete or reinforced concrete block. The design of the secondary supports is done with respected to the pipe weight stresses of the system etc.

### 4.4.1. Types of primary supports

## Rest support

This is the most commonly used support meant for supporting only the pipe weight vertically. It allows to move axial as well as the transverse direction but restricts only the vertical downward movement. Pipes simply rest on the structure.
The rest support commonly used for large bore cross country pipelines, and buried pipes

## Shoe weld support



Fig. source :www.pipingtech.com
Figure 8.1 shoe weld support
This is generally used to support insulated lines, which cannot be directly supported on steel structure. Usually a I -beam cut into two half is used as a shoe. Shoe height depends upon the insulation thickness. It allows pipe to move in axial as well as transverse direction but restricts only the vertical downward movement. Shoe is directly welded to the pipe.

## Shoe clamp support



Fig source :www.gshangers.com
Figure 8.2 shoe clamp support
This support is similar to the shoe weld support. Shoe is welded to the clamp put around pipe. The clamp is provided to reduce the excessive vibration of the pipeline system. This clamp also restricted the lateral displacement of the vibration.

## Guide support



Fig. source :www.pipingtech.com
Figure 8.3 guide support

This type of support is used to restrict the movement of the pipe traverse direction i.e., perpendicular to length of pipe but allow movement in longitudinal direction. This is also commonly used support. A design engineer can easily alter the magnitude of the transverse motion of the pipeline by adjusting the gap between the guides.

## Anchor support



Fig.source:www.pipeshields.com
Figure 8.5 anchor support
The above figure shows the diagram of the anchor support. This type of support is used to restricted movement of all three dimensional direction

## Hanger support



Fig. source :www.plumbinghelp.ca
Figure 8.6 hanger support
As the name suggested in hanger support pipe is hung from the overhead structure using the hanger rod, which is commonly used in the refineries.

### 5.6.2. Selection of support

In our case we are using the large bore pipe (42inch), and the pipeline is buried. The pipeline does not connect with the sophisticated equipment like pump compressor etc. There for the vibration of the total system will be negligible. But the pipe will have the tendency of expansion and the shifting the place due the operating temperature and pressure.

While the designing of the pipeline, the designer should consider the worst case scenario. So for reducing the vertical downward movement and the transverse movement, the guide support and rest support are selected. A concrete casing or the sleeve support has to select to reduce the effect of moving loads during the passing of the vehicles on the road.

### 4.4.1. Support spacing



Fig. source :www.iplex.com.au
Figure 9 span
Straight cross-country pipelines are supported throughout the length of pipeline on different forms of supports at more or less regular spans. The material is usually alloy metal, which is chosen based on the fluid to be transported. These pipelines are supported on different forms of supports; Metal in RCC supports, Metal frame supports, Small Trusses, etc. The span length is the maximum allowable length between two successive adjacent supports. If there is two supports with having higher span length than the allowable span length the pipeline will fail due to the bending moment, weight of the material and other external loads. So it is very important to calculate the maximum span length before constructing the pipeline

## Span length calculation

For uniformly distributed and simply supported beam
$L=\sqrt{\frac{0.4 Z S_{h}}{W}}:$ based on limit stress

The expectations are
I. The pipeline in static state, expect for movement included by temperature changes ,effect of pulsation ,vibration
II. Concentrated load similar to valve are not considered

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{t}} & =\text { weight of pipe }+ \text { weight of soil +weight of flowing medium } \\
& =7850 \times(3.14 / 4) \times\left(1.06^{2}-1.05^{2}\right)+1847 \times 1.2 \times 1.06 \\
& =130.023+2349.389 \\
& =2479.339 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}=1663.68 \mathrm{lb} / \mathrm{ft}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\mathrm{Z}=\text { section modules }=\frac{3.14}{32} *\left(\frac{42^{4}-41.5^{4}}{42}\right)
$$

$$
=306.594 \mathrm{in}^{3}
$$

$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ hot tensile stress $(\mathrm{psi})=630000 \mathrm{psi}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =\sqrt{\frac{0.4 * 306.5944 * 63000}{1663.68}} \\
& =68.147 \text { feet }
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore the maximum length between two supports is 68.147 feet or 20.17 m

### 4.4.2. Design of Support

## Guide support

## By the pipe specification manual



Fig. source: pipeline support specification hand book
Figure 10 design of support
PIPE SADDLE FOR
C.S BARE PIPE

SIZE 14" THRU 48" TYPE-S6A
(FOR TEMP, UPTO $343^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ )

## Dimension

| Nominal dia. | Shd. | R | A | h | Angle -Size |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 42 | XS | 533 | 508 | 63 | $150 \times 75 \times 10$ |

- In case the pipe - schedule is not the same as the tabulated above, the dimensions "A" and " $h$ " shall be modified accordingly
- Protection shield shall be cut from line pipe or rolled from plate of material equivalent to that of pipe.
- Thickness of the protection shield shall be same as the line pipe or 12 mm whichever is less


## Sleeve support



Fig. source: www. Pipe shields .com
Figure.10.1. Sleeve support
Sleeve support is used to withstand to the moving load exerted by the vehicles. Pipe sleeve may also act as a covering to create a barrier between a pipe and other surface. These sleeves are used during the construction of a building or pipe system

## Specification

| Pipe dia(mm) | Sleeve <br> dia(mm) | Thickness(mm) | Material | Class | Type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1066.8 | 1400 | 24 | RCC | NP3 | Medium duty |

### 4.5. Guide lines for locating pipe Support

- Support should be located as close as possible to the concrete loads so that the bending moments is minimum.
- When there is change in direction the tabulated supported spacing value should be limited to $75 \%$ to reduce eccentric load.
- In vertical pipe run there will be moment and stress developed. To avoid sagging by its own weight and vertical run pipe runs are supported by guides at a span twice the normal horizontal span.


### 4.6. Support marking



Figure 11 support marking

Here 1, 6,7are guide support and 2, 3, 4,5are rest support. The supports are placed below the maximum span length.

### 5.0. Load features

### 5.1. Classification of Loads And Failure Modes

Pressure design of piping or equipment uses one criterion for design. Under a steady application of load (e.g.. pressure), it ensures against failure of the system as perceived by one of the failure theories. If a pipe designed for a certain pressure experiences a much higher pressure, the pipe would rupture even if such load (pressure) is applied only once. The failure or rupture is sudden and complete. Such a failure is called catastrophic failure. It takes place only when the load exceeds far beyond the load for which design was carried out. Over the years, it has been realized that systems, especially piping, systems can fail even when the loads are always under the limits considered safe, but the load application is cyclic (e.g. high pressure, low pressure, high pressure, ..). Such a failure is not guarded against by conventional pressure design formula or compliance with failure theories. For piping system design, it is well established that these two types of loads must be treated separately and together guard against catastrophic and fatigue failure. The loads the piping system (or for that matter any structural part) faces are broadly classified as primary loads and secondary loads.

## Primary Loads

These are typically steady or sustained types of loads such as internal fluid pressure, external pressure, gravitational forces acting on the pipe such as weight of pipe and fluid, forces due to relief or blow down pressure waves generated due to water hammer effects. The last two loads are not necessarily sustained loads. All these loads occur because of forces created and acting on the pipe. In fact, primary loads have their origin in some force acting on the pipe causing tension, compression, torsion etc leading to normal and shear stresses. A large load of this type often leads to plastic deformation. The deformation is limited only if the material shows strain hardening characteristics. If it has no strain hardening property or if the load is so excessive that the plastic instability sets in, the system would continue to deform till rupture. Primary loads are not self-limiting. It means that the stresses continue to exist as long as the load persists and deformation does not stop because the system has deformed into a no-stress condition but because strain hardening has come into play

## Secondary Loads

Just as the primary loads have their origin in some force, secondary loads are caused by displacement of some kind. For example, the pipe connected to a storage tank may be under load if the tank nozzle to which it is connected moves down due to tank settlement. Similarly, pipe connected to a vessel is pulled upwards because the vessel nozzle moves up due to vessel expansion. Also, a pipe may vibrate due to vibrations in the rotating equipment it is attached to. A pipe may experience expansion or contraction once it is subjected to temperatures higher or lower respectively as compared to temperature at which it was assembled.

The secondary loads are often cyclic but not always. For example load due to tank settlement is not cyclic. The load due to vessel nozzle movement during operation is cyclic because the displacement is withdrawn during shut-down and resurfaces again after fresh start-up. A pipe subjected to a cycle of hot and cold fluid similarly undergoes cyclic loads and deformation. Failure under such loads is often due to fatigue and not catastrophic in nature.

### 5.2. Building static Load Cases

## Load case definition in Caesar II

'The Caesar II load case editor' is a versatile instrument for combining native and combination loads in nearly any manner required by the various piping and pipeline codes supported by Caesar II.

To enter static load case editor from Caesar II main menu select Static.
Standard load case for B31.3, B31.3 ASME SEC III class 2 and 3, NAVY 505, B31.4, B31.5, B31.8, B31.11 etc. Piping and Pipeline codes are given below

These are the basic load cases which are recommended by the Caesar II software
$\mathrm{L} 1 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{T} 1+\mathrm{P} 1$ (OPE)
$\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{P} 1$ (SUS)
L3 L1-L2 (EXP)

## Load case with thermal displacement

Generally thermal displacement are associated with specified operational condition (D1 is applied with T 1 ; D2 is applied with T 2 etc.). When one temperature is below ambient and one is above ambient. We will want to determine the full expression stress range as described below
$\mathrm{L} 1 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{T} 1+\mathrm{D} 1+\mathrm{P} 1$ (OPE)
$\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{T} 2+\mathrm{D} 2+\mathrm{P} 2$ (OPE)
$\mathrm{L} 3 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{P} 1 \quad$ (SUS)
L4 L1-L2 (EXP) *effect of D1 and T1
L5 L2-L3(EXP) * effect of D2 and T2
L6 L1-L2 (EXP) * full expression stress range

## Load case with Thermal expansion and settlement

For settlement, use a 'C node' on any affected restraints. This C node must be a node number not used elsewhere in the model. Then place the settlement on the C node using displacement vector not already used for thermal displacement.
$\mathrm{L} 1 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{T} 1+\mathrm{D} 1+\mathrm{D} 3+\mathrm{P} 1$ (OPE)
L2 W+ T1+D2+D3+P2 (OPE)
L3 W+P1 (SUS)
L4 L1-L3 (EXP) *effect of T1, D1 and settlement
L5 L2-L3 (EXP) * effect of T2, D2 and settlement
L6 L1-L2 (EXP) * full expansion range with settlement

Settlement is elevated as an expansion load because it is strain-related half cycle.

## Static seismic load factor

The seismic load factor is likely occur while the pipeline system is in operation this load is the load case that we want to consider. The operating case should have all operating loads plus the seismic load. This load case is then used in conjunction with the standard operating case to segregates the effect of the seismic load, which then combined with static load case for crude compliance consideration. Then on the first input spreadsheet activate the Uniform Loads field and enter the Seismic Loading Gs. Typically you should input the X-direction acceleration in vector 1, Y-direction acceleration inventor 2, and Z-direction acceleration in vector 3. This makes load case generation easier. Since any seismic event is likely to occur while the piping system is in operation this is the load case that we want to consider. The operating case should have all operating loads plus the seismic load. This load case is then used in conjunction with the standard operating case to segregate the effect of the seismic load, which then is combined with the static sustained load case for code compliance consideration

L1 W+T1+P1 (OPE)
L2 W+T1+P1+U1 (OPE)
L3 W+T1+P1-U1 (OPE)
$\mathrm{L} 4 \mathrm{~W}+\mathrm{T} 1+\mathrm{P} 1+\mathrm{U} 2$ (OPE)
L5 W+T1+P1-U2 (OPE)
L6 W+T1+P1+U3 (OPE)
L7 W+T1+P1-U3 (OPE)
L8 W+P1 (SUS)
L9 L1-L8 (EXP)
L10 L2-L1 (OCC)
L11 L3-L1 (OCC)
L12 L4-L1 (OCC)
L13 L5-L1 (OCC)
L14 L6-L1 (OCC)
L15 L7-L1 (OCC)

In load cases 2 through 7 above we include all the loads and call these operating cases. The subtracted uniform load vectors simply reverse the direction of the uniform load applied. Use these load case results for occasional restraint loads and occasional displacements. Load Cases 10 through 15 represent the segregated occasional loads. Even though we designate these as occasional load cases we don't need a code stress check here as these are only part of the final solution for code compliance. Therefore under the Load Case Options tab we can select Suppress for the Output Status. Also these combination load cases all use the Algebraic Combination Method under the Load Case Options tab. Load cases 16 through 21 are all used for code compliance. We add the segregated occasional results to the sustained case results and use either the Scalar or ABS (Absolute Value) Combination Method under the Load Case Options tab. Both scalar and absolute will give us the same code stress results although the displacements, forces, and moments could be different.

### 6.0. Soil properties

In the case of the long transmission pipeline system the major portion of the pipes always normally buried or underground, therefor the soil-interaction analysis is the vital part of the pipeline design. The Caesar II which investigated the different soil forces that are acting on the pipeline.

The main two different forces which is acting on the buried pipeline are shown below

## Axial friction force:

Friction force is the first and major soil force which is acting on the underground pipeline, it resist the movement of pipe while the thermal expansion and contraction. The section covers friction force that created against the axial movement of the pipe.

Theoretically, frictional force is the product of frictional coefficient of the soil (which is dependent on the type of the soil) and the total normal force which is acting all around the pipe.


Fig.source :www.law.resourse.org
Figure 12.1 axial force

## Lateral soil force

There are mainly three different lateral soil forces normally encountered in the pipe line analysis.


Fig. source: www.law.resourse.org
Figure 12.1 lateral force

Each lateral force can be idealized in to two stages 1) Elastic stage 2) plastic stage
In elastic stage the resistance force, which is proportional to the pipe displacement whereas in plastic stage the resistance remains constant regardless of displacement.

Though the elastic constant can be evaluated directly by test or published methods, they are generally very sensitive to the data gathered. Several authorities have proposed that the ultimate stress will be equal to the 1.5 to 2 percent of the pipe bottom depth.

From the several findings, elastic constant can be evaluated from ultimate resistance by taking 1.5 percent of the depth as yield displacement. Using 1.5 instead of 2 percent will give more realistic secant modules. This can underestimate the modulus for the initial displacement.

When the pipe moves horizontally due the different temperature, pressure and seismic conditions, it creates a passive soil pressure at the front surface, and at the same time it receives the active soil force at the back.

These forces are also leads to the failure of the pipe line, while using the Cesar software we can identify these forces and can apply suitable remedial action against them.

### 6.1. Soil modeling

Soil model type: American lifeline alliance
Soil classification: gravel

## F coating factor:

Coating department factor relating the internal frictional angle of soil to the frictional angle at the soil-pipe interface

Typical values are
Concrete -1.0
Coal tar $\quad-0.9$
Rough pipe $\quad-0.8$
Smooth steel $\quad-0.8$
Fusion bond epoxy -0.6
Poly ethylene $\quad-0.6$

In our case we are using the rough pipe without coating so the F coating factor will be 0.8

## Dry soil density

The dry soil density of the soil is the density of the soil when which is in dry state, without the content of the moister. The dry soil density always be higher than the wet soil density

The Dry density $=1.847 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

## Effective density soil

The effective density of the soil may differ from the dry density .If the soil is wet (and buoyant), in which case the effective density of the soil is less than the dry density of the soil .If it is expected that the water table may engulf the pipe even foe a short time, it is probably appropriate to enter a wet effective density. If the soil is experts to remain dry, then the dry soil density should come to role.

Wet soil density $=1.155 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

## Depth of the pipe (H)

The length of the depth from the top of the pipe and soil surface
Depth $=1.2 \mathrm{~m}$

## Co efficient of earth pressure

The typical value of the co efficient of earth pressure $\mathrm{KO}=1$

## Yield displacement factor (axial)

The value of the soil displacement at which the ultimate axial restraint load is developed
Yield displacement factor $=0.1$ inch $(2.5 \mathrm{~mm})$

## Yield displacement factor (lateral $D_{p}$ )

The value of the soil displacement at which the ultimate lateral restraint load is developed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{p}} & =0.04(\mathrm{H}+\mathrm{D} / 2) \\
& =0.04(1.2+1.06 / 2) \\
& =0.0692, \text { considering } 0.1, \text { however the calculated value must be the maximum multiple } \mathrm{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Yield displacement factor (upward $d Q_{u}$ )

The value of the soil displacement at which the ultimate upward load is developed to the soil

$$
\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{u}}=0.01
$$

## Yield displacement factor (downward $d Q_{d}$ )

The value of the soil displacement at which the ultimate down restraint load developed

$$
\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{d}}=0.12
$$

## Yield displacement factor (upward, max $d Q_{u}$ )

The value of soil displacement at which the ultimate upward load is displaced, this can calculated by the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{dQ}_{\mathrm{u}} & =\min (\text { multiple of } \mathrm{H}) \times \mathrm{H},(\text { multiple of } \mathrm{D}) \times \mathrm{D} \\
& =0.01 \times 12 \\
& =0.012
\end{aligned}
$$

## Thermal expansion co efficient

The thermal expansion coefficient of the soil $=11.22131 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{deg} \mathrm{C}$

### 7.0. Pipe modeling



Figure 13 pipeline modeling

The above figure shows the effective model diagram of the pipeline by the Caesar II software. By modeling of the line it should be specify the material, diameter, thickness, corrosion allowance, length of each specimen, supports, span length, pipeline fittings, specifications, rigid weights, design and operating parameters and soil properties etc.

After inputting all the details in software the next step is the static analysis. The analysis is started by selecting the 'Analysis -static' from the Main menu. The first step in the static analysis is to specify the load sets for the analysis. For a new model Caesar II assists in this step by reviewing all load categories (e.g. temperature, pressure, displacement, forces, weight, etc.) specified in the input. The selection of the load cases (described above pages) Caesar II will proceed with static analysis. The program continues with the data processing by building, sorting, and the equation (matrix) data for the system and the load cases. Once this is done the Caesar II solution module is entered briefly. The software will analyze all the load condition (operating, sustain, expansion etc.).

### 7.1. Soil inputting



Figure 14. Soil modeling

### 8.0. Chapter 4

## Results and Discussion
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## LISTING OF STATIC LOAD CASES FOR THIS ANALYSIS

```
1 (HYD) WW+HP
2 (OPE) W+T1+P1
3 (OPE) W+T2+P2
4 (OPE) W+T3+P1
5 (SUS) W+P1
6 \text { (SUS) W+P2}
7 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U1
8(OCC) W+T1+P1-U1
9(OCC) W+T1+P1+U2
10 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U2
11 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U3
12 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U3
13 (EXP) L13=L2-L5
14 (EXP) L14=L3-L6
```

CAESAR II Ver.5.20.2, (Build 100122) Date: APR 7, 2015 Time: 12:0
Job: C:\DOCUMENTS AND SETTINGS\BSJADEJA\DESKTOP\PROJ...IESSAR.NEW Licensed To: ESSAR ENGINEERING SERVICES LIMITED - MUMBAI -- ID \#28707

INPUT LISTING
PROJECT: STRESS ANALYSIS OF THE INTERLINK PIPELINE

ANALYST: MAHESH V
PIPE DATA

From 10 To 15 DY=
.171 m . PIPE
Dia $=42.000$ in. Wall $=12.700 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul $=.000 \mathrm{~mm}$. Cor $=3.0000$ mm. GENERAL
$\mathrm{T} 1=65 \mathrm{C}$ T2 $=50 \mathrm{C}$ T3 $=40 \mathrm{C}$ P1 $=1.7680 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{P} 2=.7848$
N./sq.mm. PHyd=2.6487 N./sq.mm. Mat= (323)API-5L X46 E=203,391
$\mathrm{N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 1=200,675 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 2=201,792 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 3=$ $202,536 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 4=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 5=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$. EH6 $=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 7=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} \cdot \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 8=203,391$
N./sq.mm. EH9=203,391 N./sq.mm. v $=.292$ Density $=7,850.0005$
$\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{m}$. Fluid $=866.9999390 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{m}$.
RIGID Weight=
$3,385.00 \mathrm{~N}$.
UNIFORM LOAD
$\mathrm{UX} 1=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{UY} 1=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{UZ} 1=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{UX} 2=.00$ $\mathrm{N} . / \mathrm{cm}$. UY2 $=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$. UZ2= $.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$. UX3 $=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$. UY3= $.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{UZ3}=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$.

From 15 To $20 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.500 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 20 To 30 DX= $.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.502 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . RIGID Weight=15,809.99 N.
ALLOWABLE STRESSES
B31.3 (2006) Cycle Max Switch $=\mathrm{Sc}=145$ N./sq.mm.
Sh1= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh2= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh3= 145 N./sq.mm.
Sh4= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh5= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh6= 145 N./sq.mm.
Sh7= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh8= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh9= 145 N./sq.mm.
From 30 To $40 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.500 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 40 To $50 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.462 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.
From 50 To $60 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=1.600 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . BEND at "TO" end

Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.0059$
From 60 To 70 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-1.600 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 70 To 80 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
1.600 m . BEND at "TO" end

Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.0079$
From 80 To $90 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=-1.600 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 90 To $100 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=-3.420 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 100 To 110 DX= .000 m . DY= $-1.600 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$
.000 m . BEND at "TO" end
Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.00109$
From 110 To $120 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-1.600 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 120 To 122 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
4.400 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $122+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=$
. 45 Node 122 Guide
$\mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 122 To 123 DZ= -
11.500 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $123+\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 123 To 125 DZ= -
11.500 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $125+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 125 To $130 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-2.400 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 130 To 140 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
1.600 m . BEND at "TO" end

Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.00139$
From 140 To $150 \mathrm{DX}=1.600 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.
From 150 To 155 DX=
13.400 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $155+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
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From 160 To 170 DX= 1.600 m . DY= .000 m . DZ=
.000 m . BEND at "TO" end
Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000
From 170 To $180 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-1.600 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 180 To $185 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
3.400 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $185+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 185 To 188 DZ= 10.000 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $188+$ Y Mu =
. 45 Node 188 Guide
$\mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 188 To 190 DZ= -2.340 m .
From 190 To 200 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$ .660 m . BEND at "TO" end Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 34.091 Angle/Node @ $1=17.05199$

From 200 To 210 DX= .000 m. DY= $.447 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-.660 \mathrm{~m}$.
From 210 To $215 \mathrm{DY}=.599 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-.847 \mathrm{~m}$.
From 215 To 220 DY $=1.468 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-2.075 \mathrm{~m}$.
From 220 To $230 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.467 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
.660 m . BEND at "TO" end
Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 35.265 Angle/Node @ $1=17.63229$
From 230 To 240 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$ .660 m . ALLOWABLE STRESSES

B31.3 (2006) Cycle Max Switch $=\mathrm{Sc}=145 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$.
Sh1 $=145$ N./sq.mm. Sh2= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh3= 145 N./sq.mm.
Sh4= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh5= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh6= 145 N./sq.mm.
Sh7= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh8= 145 N./sq.mm. Sh9= 145 N./sq.mm.
From 240 To $250 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-.500 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 250 To 260 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$ .502 m . RIGID Weight=15,809.99 N.

From 270 To 280 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-.738 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 280 To 290 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
.500 m . SIF's \& TEE's
Node 290
From 290 To 300 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
.800 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $290+$ Y Mu = . 45
Node 290 Guide Gap $=10.000 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{Mu}=.30$
From 300 To $305 \mathrm{DX}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
1.060 m . RIGID Weight=53,503.00 N.

From 305 To 310 DZ= -
.940 m. SIF's \& TEE's
Node 310 Welding Tee

From 310 To 315 DX=
2.000 m. RESTRAINTS

Node $315+\mathrm{Y}$ Mu = . 30
Node 315 Guide Gap= $20.000 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{Mu}=.30$
From 315 To 320 DX=
10.000 m . RESTRAINTS

Node 320 +Y Mu
$=.45$ SIF's \&
TEE's
Node 320 Welding Tee
From 320 To 340 DX $=5.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 340 To 350 DX $=1.600 \mathrm{~m}$. DY $=.000 \mathrm{~m}$. DZ=
.000 m . BEND at "TO" end
Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.00349$
From 350 To 360 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
1.600 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $360+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.30$
From 360 To 370 DX $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=-$
8.400 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $370+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$

Insul $=260.0000$
kg/cu.m. BEND
at "TO" end
Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.00379$

From 380 To 390 DX= $1.600 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.
From 390 To $400 \mathrm{DX}=18.400 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $400+\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
Node 400 LIM Gap $=10.000 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{Mu}=$ .30 Node 400 Guide Gap $=10.000 \mathrm{~mm}$.
$\mathrm{Mu}=.30$
From 400 To $410 \mathrm{DX}=7.829 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

From 310 To 500 DX $=-10.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $500+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 500 To 510 DX $=-10.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $510+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=$ . 45 Node 510 Guide $\mathrm{Mu}=.30$

From 510 To 520 DX $=-10.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ . 000 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $520+\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 520 To 530 DX $=-10.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $530+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=$ . 45 Node 530 Guide $\mathrm{Mu}=.30$

From 530 To 540 DX $=-10.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m . RESTRAINTS
Node $540+\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{Mu}=.45$

From 10 To 5 DY= -
.171 m . RIGID
Weight $=3,385.00 \mathrm{~N}$.
From 5 To 550 DY= -
1.488 m . SIF's \& TEE's

Node 550 Welding Tee
Dia $=48.000$ in. Wall $=14.300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul $=.000 \mathrm{~mm}$.
GENERAL
Mat= (331)API-5L X52 E=203,391 N./sq.mm. EH1= 200,675 N./sq.mm.
$\mathrm{EH} 2=201,792 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 3=202,536 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{EH} 4=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$.

EH5 $=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . /$ /sq.mm. EH6 $=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . /$ sq.mm. $E H 7=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm}$.
EH8 $=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . E H 9=203,391 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{mm} . \mathrm{v}=.292$
Density= 7,833.4399 kg/cu.m.
RESTRAINTS
Node $560+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.30$
ALLOWABLE STRESSES
B31.3 (2006) Cycle Max Switch $=$ Sc= 152 N./sq.mm.
Sh1 $=152$ N./sq.mm. Sh2 $=152$ N./sq.mm. Sh3= 152 N./sq.mm.
Sh4 $=152$ N./sq.mm. Sh5 $=152$ N./sq.mm. Sh6= 152 N./sq.mm.
Sh7 $=152$ N./sq.mm. Sh8= 152 N./sq.mm. Sh9= 152 N./sq.mm.
From 560 To 710 DX $=6.000 \mathrm{~m}$.
PIPE
Dia $=48.000 \mathrm{in}$. Wall $=14.300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul $=.000 \mathrm{~mm}$.
RESTRAINTS
Node $710+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 550 To $570 \mathrm{DX}=-9.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.

## RESTRAINTS

Node $570+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
SIF's \& TEE's
Node 570 Welding Tee
From 570 To $580 \mathrm{DX}=-3.615 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=.000 \mathrm{~m}$.
BEND at "TO" end
Radius $=1,828.800 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) Bend Angle= 42.734 Angle/Node @ $1=$
21.37579 Angle/Node @2=. 00578

RESTRAINTS
Node $580+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 580 To 590 DX $=-5.050 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ 4.666 m . RESTRAINTS

Node $590+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 590 To 600 DX $=-9.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$
8.315 m. RESTRAINTS

Node $600+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
Node 600 Guide Gap $=5.000 \mathrm{~mm} . \mathrm{Mu}=.30$

Node $610+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 610 To 620 DX $=-9.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ 8.307 m. RESTRAINTS

Node $620+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 710 To $630 \mathrm{DX}=9.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$
. 000 m . PIPE
Dia $=48.000$ in. Wall $=14.300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul= .000
mm. RESTRAINTS

Node $630+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=$
. 45 Node 630 Guide
$\mathrm{Mu}=.30$

From 630 To 640 DX $=9.000 \mathrm{~m}$. DY $=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$
.000 m. PIPE
Dia $=48.000$ in. Wall $=14.300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul $=.000$
mm. RESTRAINTS

Node $640+\mathrm{Y} \quad \mathrm{Mu}=.45$
From 640 To $650 \mathrm{DX}=9.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ .000 m. PIPE
Dia= 48.000 in . Wall $=14.300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul $=.000$
mm. RESTRAINTS

Node $650+\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Mu}=$
. 45 Node 650 Guide
$\mathrm{Mu}=.30$

From 650 To 660 DX $=9.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DY}=.000 \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{DZ}=$ . 000 m . PIPE
Dia $=48.000$ in. Wall $=14.300 \mathrm{~mm}$. Insul $=.000 \mathrm{~mm}$. Cor $=3.0000$ mm. RESTRAINTS

Node $660+$ Y Mu = .45
MATERIAL Changes:


| BEND ELEMENTS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 60 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle=90.000 Angle/Node @ 1=45.00 59 |
| 70 | 80 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle= 90.000 Angle/Node @ 1=45.00 79 |
| 100 | 110 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | ```Bend Angle=90.000 Angle/Node @ 1=45.00 1 0 9``` |
| 130 | 140 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle=90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.00$ 139 |
| 160 | 170 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle $=90.000$ |
| 190 | 200 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle=34.091 Angle/Node @ $1=17.05$ 199 |
| 220 | 230 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle=35.265 Angle/Node @ $1=17.63$ 229 |
| 340 | 350 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle=90.000 Angle/Node @ $1=45.00$ 349 |
| 370 | 380 | Radius $=1,600.200 \mathrm{~mm}$. (LONG) |
|  |  | Bend Angle=90.000 Angle/Node @ 1=45.00 |
|  |  | 379 |

## RIGIDS

| 10 | 15 | RIGID Weight $=3,385.00 \mathrm{~N}$. |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 20 | 30 | RIGID Weight $=15,809.99 \mathrm{~N}$. |
| 250 | 260 | RIGID Weight $=15,809.99 \mathrm{~N}$. |
| 300 | 305 | RIGID Weight $=53,503.00 \mathrm{~N}$. |
| 10 | 5 | RIGID Weight $=3,385.00 \mathrm{~N}$. |

## SIF's \& TEE's

| 280 | 290 | Node 290 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 305 | 310 | Node 310 | Welding Tee |
| 315 | 320 | Node 320 | Welding Tee |
| 5 | 550 | Node 550 | Welding Tee |
| 550 | 570 | Node 570 | Welding Tee |

## RESTRAINTS



## UNIFORM LOAD Changes

```
\(10 \quad 15 \quad\) X1 Dir \(=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\). Y1 Dir \(=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\).
Z1 Dir = \(.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\).
\(\mathrm{X} 2 \mathrm{Dir}=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{Y} 2 \mathrm{Dir}=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\).
Z2 Dir \(=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\).
X3 Dir \(=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm} . \mathrm{Y} 3\) Dir \(=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\).
Z3 Dir \(=.00 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}\).
```

INUT UNITS USED...
UNITS $=$ SINOM/SCH INPUT= ON
LENGTH inches x $25.400=m m$.
FORCE pounds $x \quad 4.448=N$.
MASS(dynamics) pounds $\quad$ x $0.454=\mathrm{kg}$.
MOMENTS(INPUT) inch-pounds x 0.113 =N.m.
MOMENTS(OUTPUT) inch-pounds x $0.113=$ N.m.
STRESS lbs./sq.in. $x \quad 0.007=$ N./sq.mm.
TEMP. SCALE degrees F. x $0.556=C$
PRESSURE Psig x $0.007=$ N./sq.mm.
ELASTIC MODULUS lbs./sq.in. $\mathrm{x} \quad 0.007=$ N./sq.mm.

```
PIPE DENSITY lbs./cu.in. x 27680.000 = kg/cu.m.
INSULATION DENS. lbs./cu.in. x 27680.000 = kg/cu.m.
FLUID DENSITY lbs./cu.in. x 27680.000 = kg/cu.m.
TRANSL. STIF lbs./in. x 1.751 = N./cm.
ROTATIONAL STIF in.lb./deg. x 0.113 =N.m./deg
UNIFORM LOAD lb./in. x 1.751 =N./cm.
G LOAD g's x 1.000 = g's
WIND LOAD lbs./sq.in. x 6894.757= N./sq.m.
ELEVATION inches x 0.025 = m.
COMPOUND LENGTH inches x 0.025= m.
DIAMETER inches x 1.000 = in.
WALL THICKNESS inches x 25.400 = mm.
```

SETUP FILE PARAMETERS

CONNECT GEOMETRY THRU CNODES $=$ YES
MIN ALLOWED BEND ANGLE = 5.00000
MAX ALLOWED BEND ANGLE $=95.0000$
BEND LENGTH ATTACHMENT PERCENT $=1.00000$
MIN ANGLE TO ADJACENT BEND PT $=5.00000$
LOOP CLOSURE TOLERANCE $=\quad 25.4000 \mathrm{~mm}$.
THERMAL BOWING HORZ TOLERANCE $=0.100000 \mathrm{E}-03$
AUTO NODE NUMBER INCREMENT $=10.0000$
Z AXIS UP= NO
USE PRESSURE STIFFENING = DEFAULT
ALPHA TOLERANCE $=0.500000 \mathrm{E}-01$
RESLD-FORCE $=$ NO
HGR DEF RESWGT STIF = $\quad 0.175120 \mathrm{E}+13 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$.
DECOMP SNG TOL $=0.100000 \mathrm{E}+11$
BEND AXIAL SHAPE = YES
FRICT STIF $=\quad 0.175120 \mathrm{E}+07 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$.
FRICT NORM FORCE VAR $=0.150000$
FRICT ANGLE VAR $=15.0000$
FRICT SLIDE MULT $=1.00000$
ROD TOLERANCE $=1.00000$
ROD INC $=\quad 2.00000$
INCORE NUMERICAL CHECK = NO
OUTCORE NUMERICAL CHECK = NO
DEFAULT TRANS RESTRAINT STIFF= $0.175120 \mathrm{E}+13 \mathrm{~N} . / \mathrm{cm}$.
DEFAULT ROT RESTRAINT STIFF= $0.112980 \mathrm{E}+12 \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m} . / \mathrm{deg}$
IGNORE SPRING HANGER STIFFNESS = NO
MISSING MASS ZPA = EXTRACTED
MIN WALL MILL TOLERANCE $=12.5000$

```
    DEFAULT AMBIENT TEMPERATURE= 21.1142C
    BOURDON PRESSURE= NONE
    COEFFICIENT OF FRICTION (MU) = 0.300000
    INCLUDE SPRG STIF IN HGR OPE = NO
    INCLUDE INSULATION IN HYDROTEST = NO
    REDUCED INTERSECTION = B31.1(POST1980)
    USE WRC329
    NO
    NO REDUCED SIF FOR RFT AND WLT NO
    B31.1 REDUCED Z FIX = YES
    CLASS 1 BRANCH FLEX NO
    ALL STRESS CASES CORRODED = NO
    ADD TORSION IN SL STRESS = DEFAULT
    ADD F/A IN STRESS = DEFAULT
    OCCASIONAL LOAD FACTOR = 0.000000
    DEFAULT CODE = B31.3
    B31.3 SUS CASE SIF FACTOR = 1.00000
    ALLOW USERS BEND SIF = NO
    USE SCHNEIDER NO
    YIELD CRITERION STRESS = MAX 3D SHEAR
    USE PD/4T
        NO
    BASE HOOP STRESS ON ? = ID
    EN13480 USE IN OUTPLANE SIFS= NO
    LIBERAL EXPANSION ALLOWABLE= YES
    B31.3 SEC 319.2.3C SAXIAL= NO
    B31.3 WELDING/CONTOUR TEE ISB16.9 NO
    PRESSURE VARIATION IN EXP CASE= DEFAULT
    IMPLEMENT B313 APP-P YES
    IMPLEMENT B313 CODE CASE 178 NO
    IGNORE B31.3 Wc FACTOR= NO
    USE FRP SIF = YES
    USE FRP FLEX = YES
    BS 7159 Pressure Stiffening= Design Strain
    FRP Property Data File= CAESAR.FRP
    FRP Emod (axial) = N./sq.mm.
    FRP Ratio Gmod/Emod (axial) = 0.250000
    FRP Ea/Eh*Vh/a = 0.152730
    FRP Laminate Type = THREE
    FRP Alpha = 21.5983
        C
    FRP Density = 1660.80 kg/cu.m.
EXCLUDE f2 FROM UKOOA BENDING = NO
```

| Rigid/ExpJt Print Flag ..... | 1.000 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Bourdon Option ............ | .000 |
| Loop Closure Flag ......... | .000 |
| Thermal Bowing Delta Temp | $\ldots . .000 \mathrm{C}$ |
| Liberal Allowable Flag ..... | 1.000 |
| Uniform Load Option ....... | .000 |
| Ambient Temperature ........ | 21.114 C |
| Plastic (FRP) Alpha ....... | 21.598 |
| Plastic (FRP) GMOD/EMODa ... .250 |  |
| Plastic (FRP) Laminate Type. | 3.000 |
| Eqn Optimizer ............. | .000 |
| Node Selection ........... | .000 |
| Eqn Ordering ............. | .000 |
| Collins ................ | .000 |
| Degree Determination ....... | .000 |
| User Eqn Control | ........ |

COORDINATE REPORT

|  |  |  | / |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NODE | X | Y | Z |
| 10 | . 0000 | . 0000 | . 0000 |
| 15 | . 0000 | 171.0000 | . 0000 |
| 20 | . 0000 | 671.0000 | . 0000 |
| 30 | . 0000 | 1173.0000 | . 0000 |
| 40 | . 0000 | 1673.0000 | . 0000 |
| 50 | . 0000 | 2135.0000 | . 0000 |
| 60 | . 0000 | 3735.0000 | . 0000 |
| 70 | . 0000 | 3735.0000 | -1600.0000 |
| 80 | . 0000 | 3735.0000 | -3200.0000 |
| 90 | . 0000 | 2135.0000 | -3200.0000 |
| 100 | . 0000 | -1285.0000 | -3200.0000 |
| 110 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -3200.0000 |
| 120 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -4800.0000 |
| 122 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -9200.0000 |
| 123 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -20700.0000 |
| 125 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -32200.0000 |
| 130 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -34600.0000 |
| 140 | . 0000 | -2885.0000 | -36200.0000 |
| 150 | 1600.0000 | -2885.0000 | -36200.0000 |
| 155 | 15000.0000 | -2885.0000 | 00-36200.0000 |
| 160 | 23400.0000 | -2885.000 | 00-36200.0000 |
| 170 | 25000.0000 | -2885.0000 | 00-36200.0000 |
| 180 | 25000.0000 | -2885.000 | 00-37800.0000 |
| 185 | 25000.0000 | -2885.0000 | 00-41200.0000 |


| 190 | 25000.0000 | -2885.0000 | -53540.0000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 200 | 25000.0000 | -2885.0000 | -54200.0000 |
| 210 | 25000.0000 | -2438.3000 | -54860.0000 |
| 215 | 25000.0000 | -1839.5039 | -55706.6484 |
| 220 | 25000.0000 | -371.7000 | -57782.0000 |
| 230 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -58442.0000 |
| 240 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -59102.0000 |
| 250 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -59602.0000 |
| 260 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -60104.0000 |
| 270 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -60604.0000 |
| 280 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -61342.0000 |
| 290 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -61842.0000 |
| 300 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -62642.0000 |
| 305 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -63702.0000 |
| 310 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 315 | 27000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 320 | 37000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 340 | 42000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 350 | 43600.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 360 | 43600.0000 | 95.0001 | -66242.0000 |
| 370 | 43600.0000 | 95.0001 | -74642.0000 |
| 380 | 43600.0000 | 95.0001 | -76242.0000 |
| 390 | 45200.0000 | 95.0001 | -76242.0000 |
| 400 | 63600.0000 | 95.0001 | -76242.0000 |
| 410 | 71429.0000 | 95.0001 | -76242.0000 |
| 310 | 25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 500 | 15000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 510 | 5000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 520 | -5000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 530 | -15000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 540 | -25000.0000 | 95.0001 | -64642.0000 |
| 10 | .0000 | .0000 | .0000 |
| 5 | .0000 | -171.0000 | .0000 |
| 550 | .0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |
| 560 | 3000.0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |
| 710 | 9000.0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |
| 550 | .0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |
| 570 | -9000.0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |
| 580 | -12615.0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |
| 590 | -17665.0000 | -1659.0000 | 4665.5898 |
| 600 | -26665.0000 | -1659.0000 | 12980.5068 |
| 610 | -35665.0000 | -1659.0000 | 21275.1562 |
| 620 | -44665.0000 | -1659.0000 | 29582.1562 |
| 710 | 9000.0000 | -1659.0000 | .0000 |

$630 \quad 18000.0000 \quad-1659.0000$
$640 \quad 27000.0000 \quad-1659.0000 \quad .0000$
$650 \quad 36000.0000 \quad-1659.0000 \quad .0000$
$660 \quad 45000.0000 \quad-1659.0000 \quad .0000$

```
CASE 1 (HYD) WW+HP
HYDRO TEST CASE
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 2 (OPE) W+T1+P1
OPERATING CASE CONDITION 1
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 3 (OPE) W+T2+P2
OPERATING CASE CONDITION 2
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 4 (OPE) W+T3+P1
OPERATING CASE CONDITION 3
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 5 (SUS) W+P1
SUSTAINED CASE CONDITION 1
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
```

CASE 6 (SUS) W+P2

## SUSTAINED CASE CONDITION 2

Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 7 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U1
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
OCC Load Factor: $\quad 0.0000$
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 8 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U1
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
OCC Load Factor: 0.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 9 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U2
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
OCC Load Factor: 0.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 10 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U2
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
OCC Load Factor: $\quad 0.0000$
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 11 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U3
Keep/Discard: Keep

Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
OCC Load Factor: 0.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 12 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U3
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Elastic Modulus: EC
Friction Mult.: 1.0000
OCC Load Factor: 0.0000
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 13 (EXP) L13=L2-L5
EXPANSION CASE CONDITION 2
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Combination Method: ALG
Flg Analysis Temp: None
CASE 14 (EXP) L14=L3-L6
EXPANSION CASE CONDITION 3
Keep/Discard: Keep
Display: Disp/Force/Stress
Combination Method: ALG
Flg Analysis Temp: None
LOAD CASE DEFINITION KEY
CASE 1 (HYD) WW+HP
CASE 2 (OPE) W+T1+P1
CASE 3 (OPE) $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{T} 2+\mathrm{P} 2$
CASE 4 (OPE) W+T3+P1
CASE 5 (SUS) W+P1
CASE 6 (SUS) W+P2
CASE 7 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U1
CASE 8 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U1
CASE 9 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U2
CASE 10 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U2
CASE 11 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U3
CASE 12 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U3
CASE 13 (EXP) L13=L2-L5
CASE 14 (EXP) L14=L3-L6

| NODE | Load Case | FX N. | FY N. | FZ N. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { MX } \\ \text { N.m. } \end{array}$ | MY N.m. | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{MZ} \\ \mathrm{~N} . \mathrm{m} . \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 122 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Rigid +Y; } \\ \text { Rigid GUI } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | -4672 | -214227 | -5046 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -963 | -196549 | 88881 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -3173 | -195840 | 89556 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | -4227 | -193782 | -4565 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | -4227 | -193782 | -4565 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 910 | -198139 | 89572 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 5137 | -4356 | 94137 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 3264 | -2767 | 93446 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 5137/L13 | 214227/L1 ${ }^{-9}$ | 94137/L13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 2064 | -79160 | -2061 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 1859 | -71705 | -32214 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 5902 | -71690 | -31716 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 1867 | -71581 | -1865 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 1867 | -71581 | -1865 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -713 | -71880 | -32338 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -2580 | -300 | -30473 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -8 | -124 | -30349 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 5902/L4 | -79160/L1 | -32338/L2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 125 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | -526 | -194342 | -1665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -31763 | -175015 | -72068 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -30506 | -175221 | -72709 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | -476 | -175774 | -1506 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | 6(SUS) | -476 | -175774 | -1506 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7(OCC) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -35947 | -174765 | -69948 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -35471 | 1009 | -68442 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -31287 | 759 | -70561 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | -35947/L2 | 194342/L1 | -72709/L4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 155 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 371 | -197019 | -53 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 5990 | -179357 | -669 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 8622 | -179292 | 529 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 338 | -178196 | -48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 338 | -178196 | -48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 6194 | -179298 | 547 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 5856 | -1102 | 595 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 5651 | -1161 | -621 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 8622/L4 | 197019/L1 | -669/L3 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 185 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | -748 | -142036 | -900 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 21253 | -120172 | 49726 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 19126 | -121285 | 51117 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | -689 | -128447 | -790 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | -689 | -128447 | -790 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 23293 | -118961 | 48199 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 23982 | 9486 | 48989 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 21942 | 8275 | 50516 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | MAX | 23982/L13 | 142036/L1 | 51117/L4 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Rigid +Y; |  |  |  |  |  |
| 188 |  | Rigid GUI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 1535 | -111796 | -1782 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 4742 | -145480 | 19854 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -661 | -139437 | 10964 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 1411 | -101159 | -1592 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 1411 | -101159 | -1592 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 13815 | -152097 | 27211 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 12404 | -50938 | 28803 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 3331 | -44321 | 21446 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 13815/L2 | 152097/L2 | 28803/L13 |  |  |  |
| 290 |  | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Rigid +Y; } \\ \text { Rigid GUI } \\ \text { w/gap } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | -2025 | -207000 | 1444 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 46338 | -123205 | -30440 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 49955 | -133341 | -33241 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | -1861 | -191982 | 1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | -1861 | -191982 | 1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 41671 | -111599 | -28028 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 43531 | 80383 | -29303 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 48199 | 68777 | -31715 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 49955/L4 | 207000/L1 ${ }^{-}$ | -33241/L4 |  |  |  |
| 315 |  | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Rigid +Y; } \\ \text { Rigid GUI } \\ \text { w/gap } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 1(HYD) | 259 | -97598 | 1270 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2(OPE) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 33072 | -121333 | -15204 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 32043 | -115809 | -13428 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 238 | -89574 | 1137 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 238 | -89574 | 1137 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 34358 | -128171 | -17263 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 34120 | -38597 | -18400 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 32834 | -31759 | -16341 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 34358/L2 | 128171/L2 | 18400/L13 |  |  |  |
| 320 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 201 | -92599 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 35503 | -80007 | 5980 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 35499 | -80255 | 6642 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 185 | -83576 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 185 | -83576 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 35555 | -79872 | 5265 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 35370 | 3703 | 5191 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 35318 | 3569 | 5906 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 35555/L2 | -92599/L1 | 6642/L4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 360 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 89 | -82509 | -23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 22088 | -75631 | 5190 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 22050 | -75595 | 5304 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 21995 | -75531 | 5447 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 82 | -74670 | -21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 82 | -74670 | -21 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 22088 | -75631 | 5190 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 22088 | -75631 | 5190 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 22088 | -75631 | 5190 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 22088 | -75631 | 5190 | 0 | 0 | 0 |



| 500 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1(HYD) | 181 | -123374 | -28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 42284 | -115755 | -30421 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 45439 | -115817 | -25526 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 167 | -111808 | -30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 167 | -111808 | -30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 39095 | -115331 | -34134 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 38928 | -3524 | -34104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 42117 | -3948 | -30392 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 45439/L4 | 123374/L1 | -34134/L2 |  |  |  |
| 510 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Rigid +Y; } \\ \text { Rigid GUI } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 121 | -114638 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -46108 | -102374 | -133 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -45379 | -102377 | 3320 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 111 | -103615 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 111 | -103615 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -47995 | -102468 | -6280 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -48105 | 1146 | -6273 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -46219 | 1241 | -126 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 48105/L13 | 114638/L1 | -6280/L2 |  |  |  |
| 520 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 80 | -112654 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -45957 | -102214 | 1903 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -45993 | -102213 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 74 | -101906 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 74 | -101906 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | 8(OCC) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 9(OCC) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -45839 | -102190 | 3665 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -45913 | -284 | 3663 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -46030 | -308 | 1901 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 46030/L14 | 112654/L1 | 3665/L2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 530 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Rigid +Y; } \\ \text { Rigid GUI } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 58 | -130793 | -0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -53281 | -118218 | 276 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -53200 | -118218 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 54 | -118290 | -0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 54 | -118290 | -0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -53539 | -118224 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -53592 | 67 | 1127 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -53335 | 72 | 277 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 53592/L13 | 130793/L1 | 1127/L13 |  |  |  |
| 540 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 48 | -45895 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -18684 | -41522 | -118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -18685 | -41522 | -20 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 44 | -41510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 44 | -41510 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -18682 | -41521 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -18726 | -11 | -323 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -18729 | -11 | -118 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | MAX | 18729/L14 | -45895/L1 | -323/L13 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 560 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 479 | -276489 | 7790 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -15807 | -250807 | -6040 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -26114 | -250484 | 4647 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 433 | -251900 | 7048 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 433 | -251900 | 7048 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -4064 | -251985 | -19653 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -4497 | -85 | -26701 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -16241 | 1092 | -13088 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | -26114/L4 | 276489/L1 | 26701/L13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 570 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 371 | -198514 | 2247 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -36028 | -180536 | -72816 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -37400 | -181843 | -72782 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 336 | -180170 | 2032 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 336 | -180170 | 2032 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -35146 | -176777 | -71365 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -35482 | 3392 | -73397 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -36364 | -366 | -74848 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | -37400/L4 | 198514/L1 | 74848/L14 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4(OPE) | -49013 | -166465 | 56649 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 5(SUS) | 163 | -166749 | -147 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 163 | -166749 | -147 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -58676 | -167311 | 47178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -58676 | -167311 | 47178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -58676 | -167311 | 47178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -58676 | -167311 | 47178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -58676 | -167311 | 47178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -58676 | -167311 | 47178 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -58839 | -563 | 47325 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -53361 | 64 | 53026 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 58839/L13 | 184467/L1 | 56649/L4 |  |  |  |
| 610 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 131 | -207188 | -121 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -58509 | -187288 | 60661 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -60078 | -187346 | 59145 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 118 | -187294 | -110 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 118 | -187294 | -110 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -56949 | -187123 | 62027 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -57067 | 171 | 62137 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -58628 | 6 | 60770 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | -60078/L4 | 207188/L1 ${ }^{-1}$ | 62137/L13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 620 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 110 | -73277 | -101 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | -22011 | -66245 | 20104 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | -22083 | -66235 | 20018 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 99 | -66240 | -92 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 99 | -66240 | -92 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | 9(OCC) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 10(OCC) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | -21614 | -66275 | 20549 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | -21714 | -35 | 20641 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | -22110 | -5 | 20196 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | $22110 / \text { L14 }$ | -73277/L12 | 20641/L13 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 630 |  | Rigid +Y; <br> Rigid GUI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 362 | -147592 | -378 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 62692 | -133490 | 8737 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 61127 | -133454 | 3575 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 328 | -133482 | -342 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 328 | -133482 | -342 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | 7(OCC) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 64912 | -133593 | 15985 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 64585 | -111 | 16327 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 62364 | -8 | 9080 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 64912/L2 | 147592/L1 ${ }^{-1}$ | 16327/L13 |  |  |  |
| 640 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 183 | -128924 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 52428 | -116527 | -991 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 52438 | -116536 | -601 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 166 | -116529 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 166 | -116529 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 52411 | -116503 | -1275 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | 13(EXP) | 52245 | 26 | -1343 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14(EXP) | 52262 | 2 | -1060 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 52438/L4 | 128924/L1 | -1343/L13 |  |  |  |
| 650 |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Rigid +Y; } \\ \text { Rigid GUI } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 147 | -153529 | -10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 62758 | -138790 | -1007 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 62571 | -138788 | -389 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 133 | -138790 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 133 | -138790 | -9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 63036 | -138796 | -1926 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 62903 | -6 | -1917 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 62625 | -0 | -998 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 63036/L2 | 153529/L1 | -1926/L2 |  |  |  |
| 660 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 126 | -53739 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 21859 | -48579 | 203 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 21860 | -48579 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 114 | -48579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 114 | -48579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 21858 | -48578 | 302 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 21744 | 1 | 301 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 21745 | 0 | 202 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 21860/L4 | -53739/L1 | 302/L2 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| NODE | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Load } \\ & \text { Case } \end{aligned}$ | FX N. | FY N. | FZ N. | $\begin{gathered} \text { MX } \\ \text { N.m. } \end{gathered}$ | MY N.m. | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { MZ } \\ \text { N.m. } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 710 |  | Rigid +Y |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1(HYD) | 366 | -63842 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 2(OPE) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 3(OPE) | 24693 | -57185 | 7242 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 4(OPE) | 25153 | -57453 | 5981 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 5(SUS) | 331 | -57242 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 6(SUS) | 331 | -57242 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 7(OCC) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 8(OCC) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 9(OCC) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 10(OCC) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 11(OCC) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 12(OCC) | 24169 | -56406 | 7755 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 13(EXP) | 23838 | 837 | 7692 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | 14(EXP) | 24362 | 57 | 7180 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | MAX | 25153/L4 | -63842/L1 | 7755/L2 |  |  |  |

## LOAD CASE DEFINITION KEY

CASE 1 (HYD) WW+HP
CASE 2 (OPE) $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{T} 1+\mathrm{P} 1$
CASE 3 (OPE) $\mathrm{W}+\mathrm{T} 2+\mathrm{P} 2$
CASE 4 (OPE) W+T3+P1
CASE 5 (SUS) W+P1
CASE 6 (SUS) W+P2
CASE 7 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U1
CASE 8 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U1
CASE 9 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U2
CASE 10 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U2
CASE 11 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U3
CASE 12 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U3
CASE 13 (EXP) L13=L2-L5
CASE 14 (EXP) L14=L3-L6

Piping Code: B31.3 = B31.3-2006, May 31, 2007
NO CODE STRESS CHECK PROCESSED: LOADCASE 1 (HYD) WW+HP
Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 1 (HYD) WW+HP
CodeStress Ratio (\%): 0.0 @Node 570
Code Stress: $\quad 125.4$ Allowable: 0.0
Axial Stress: 54.5 @Node 580
Bending Stress: $\quad 70.9$ @ Node 570
Torsion Stress: $\quad 3.4$ @ Node 350
Hoop Stress: 110.3 @ Node 560
3D Max Intensity: 126.4 @Node 570

CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED : LOADCASE 2 (OPE) W+T1+P1
Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 2 (OPE) W+T1+P1
OPE Stress Ratio (\%):74.5 @ Node 310
OPE Stress: $\quad 269.5$ Allowable: 362.0
Axial Stress: $\quad 36.0$ @ Node 660
Bending Stress: 105.0 @ Node 310
Torsion Stress: $\quad 3.1$ @ Node 350
Hoop Stress: $\quad 73.6$ @ Node 560
3D Max Intensity: 186.8 @Node 180

CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED : LOADCASE 3 (OPE) W+T2+P2

Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 3 (OPE) W+T2+P2
OPE Stress Ratio (\%): 39.8 @Node 310
OPE Stress: 144.0 Allowable:
Axial Stress: $\quad 15.9$ @Node 80
Bending Stress: $\quad 79.9$ @Node 310
Torsion Stress: $\quad 3.1$ @ Node 350
Hoop Stress:
32.7 @Node 560

3D Max Intensity: 127.7 @Node 310

CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED :
LOADCASE 4 (OPE) W+T3+P1

| Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 4 (OPE) W+T3+P1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OPE Stress Ratio (\%): | 64.6 @ Node | 570 |
| OPE Stress: | 244.9 Allowable: | 379.2 |
| Axial Stress: | 36.0 @ Node | 660 |
| Bending Stress: | 72.4 @ Node | 570 |
| Torsion Stress: | 3.1 @ Node | 350 |
| Hoop Stress: | 73.6 @ Node | 560 |
| 3D Max Intensity: | 142.1 @ Node | 570 |
| CODE STRESS CHEC | CK PASSED | : LOADCASE 5 (SUS) W+P1 |
| Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 5 (SUS) W+P1 |  |  |
| CodeStress Ratio (\%): | 84.0 @ Node | 570 |
| Code Stress: | 127.4 Allowable: | 151.7 |
| Axial Stress: | 47.7 @ Node | 220 |
| Bending Stress: | 81.0 @ Node | 570 |
| Torsion Stress: | 4.0 @ Node | 350 |
| Hoop Stress: | 95.5 @ Node | 20 |
| 3D Max Intensity: | 127.7 @ Node | 570 |
| CODE STRESS CHEC | CK PASSED | : LOADCASE 6 (SUS) W+P2 |
| Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 6 (SUS) W+P2 |  |  |
| CodeStress Ratio (\%): | 67.0 @ Node | 570 |
| Code Stress: | 101.6 Allowable: | 151.7 |
| Axial Stress: | 21.4 @ Node | 220 |
| Bending Stress: | 81.0 @ Node | 570 |
| Torsion Stress: | 4.0 @ Node | 350 |
| Hoop Stress: | 42.4 @ Node | 20 |
| 3D Max Intensity: | 101.6 @ Node | 570 |

## CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED : LOADCASE 7 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U1

| Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 7 (OCC |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| CodeStress Ratio $(\%): 93.1$ @ Node | 310 |  |
| Code Stress: | 179.2 Allowable: | 192.6 |
| Axial Stress: | 47.3 @ Node | 410 |
| Bending Stress: | 136.4 @Node | 310 |
| Torsion Stress: | 4.1 @ Node | 350 |
| Hoop Stress: | 95.5 @ Node | 20 |
| 3D Max Intensity: | 187.4 @Node | 310 |

CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED : LOADCASE 8 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U1
Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 8 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U1
Code Stress Ratio
(\%): 93.1 @Node 310

Code Stress: 179.2 Allowable: 192.6
Axial Stress: $\quad 47.3$ @ Node 410
Bending Stress: 136.4 @Node 310
Torsion Stress: $\quad 4.1$ @Node 350
Hoop Stress: $\quad 95.5$ @Node 20
3D Max Intensity: 187.4 @Node 310
CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED : LOADCASE 9 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U2
Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 9 (OCC) W+T1+P1+U2
Code Stress Ratio
(\%): $\quad 93.1$ @ Node 310
Code Stress: 179.2 Allowable: 192.6
Axial Stress: $\quad 47.3$ @ Node 410
Bending Stress: 136.4 @Node 310
Torsion Stress: $\quad 4.1$ @Node 350
Hoop Stress: $\quad 95.5$ @Node 20
3D Max Intensity: 187.4 @Node 310
CODE STRESS CHECK PASSED : LOADCASE 10 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U2

Highest Stresses: (N./sq.mm. ) LOADCASE 10 (OCC) W+T1+P1-U2
Code Stress Ratio
(\%):
Code Stress:
Axial Stress:
Bending Stress:
Torsion Stress:
Hoop Stress:
93.1 @Node 310
179.2 Allowable: 192.6
47.3 @Node 410
136.4 @ Node 310
4.1 @Node 350
95.5 @Node 20


### 7.1. Discussion

Various load cases that have been used in this project which are operational load case, Expansion load cases, Occasional load cases, Hydro test load cases and Sustained load cases have been used to find out to find out the stress of the pipeline segments with the help of parameters like design temperature, design pressure, operating temperature operating pressure, weight of the pipe, different soil characteristics. The stress analysis summery has been generated with these load cases with the help of Caesar II software. Tables have also been generated which indicates the displacement at each nodes in the pipeline due to the different parameters.

### 8.0. Conclusion

The review of the pipe stresses show that the pipe has adequate wall thickness and supports to keep within the sustained allowable stress and also enough flexibility to remain below the expansion allowable stress limit. The Caesar II software analyzes every component of the pipe and calculates the different stresses with different load conditions. After the serious of operation it states that the design parameters will withstand with in the allowable stresses. The equipment loads are also checked to ensure a safe and effective design and the review from the displacement does not reveal any interference problem from pipe expansion. By the analysis it is observed the selected supports and its placement are right enough to reduce the over bending, displacement of the system.

After reviewing all the results from the software it is cleared that the design of the interlink pipeline is safe enough to construct.
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