Name:

**Enrolment No:** 



## UPES End Semester Examination, May 2024

## Course: Constitutional Law II Program: LLB Course Code: CLCC1008

Semester: II Time: 03 hrs. Max. Marks: 100

## **Instructions:**

- i. All questions are compulsory. Answer the question thoroughly.
- ii. Support your answer with relevant legal statutes, case laws
- **iii.** Write your answer clearly and concisely.

## SECTION A (5Qx2M=10Marks)

	(SQX2W=TOWATKS)		
S. No.		Marks	СО
Q 1	Distinguish between original and extraordinary original jurisdiction of the Supreme Court.	2	CO1
Q 2	Which case is known as the first judge's case?	2	CO1
Q 3	Which amendment to the constitution introduced the Panchayati Raj System?	2	CO1
Q 4	Write the qualifications to be elected as the President of India	2	CO1
Q 5	What is the List II under the Seventh Schedule of the Constitution of India?	2	CO1
	SECTION B		
	(4Qx5M= 20 Marks)		
Q 6	Write a short note on the doctrine of Stare Decisis.	5	CO2
Q 7	Discuss the balance Articles 301 to 307 of the Indian Constitution intend to strike between free trade across state boundaries and the ability of states to regulate economic activities for reasons of public interest	5	CO2
Q 8	Discuss the role of the election commission in conducting free and fair elections.	5	CO2
Q 9	Explain the doctrine of Repugnancy.	5	CO2

		SECTION-C		
0.10	Evoluete	(2Qx10M=20 Marks)		
Q 10		whether the amendment procedure under Article 368 has		
		ly preserved the core values of the Constitution while allowing	10	CO3
		ssary reforms and adaptations. Include a discussion of key		
	amendme	ents that have tested this balance		
Q 11	Critically	analyze the appellate jurisdictions of the Supreme Court of		CO3
	India. Di	stinguish between the writ jurisdiction under Article 139 of the	10	
	constituti	on and Article 32 of the constitution.		
		SECTION-D (2Qx25M=50 Marks)		
Q 12	In the sta	te of Samavart, the coalition government has been in a fragile		
	state due	e to internal conflicts among coalition partners. The Chief		CO4
	Minister,	who represents the minority party in the coalition, has faced		
	multiple	no-confidence votes, barely surviving each due to external		
	support f	rom independent MLAs. Allegations have arisen about possible		
	corrupt p	ractices used to secure support. The situation escalates when the		
	law and	order in the state deteriorates, following communal clashes		
	reportedl	y incited by leaders within the coalition government.		
	The Pres	sident of India, upon recommendation from the Council of		
	Ministers	s, considers the imposition of Article 356, citing a possible		
		the government to maintain law and order as a breakdown of	25	
		ional machinery.		
		a comprehensive memorandum to be presented in a policy		
	_	ocusing on:		
	i.	The justification for the use of Article 356 in Samavart is		
		based on the deterioration of law and order and the		
		unstable government coalition.		
	ii.	Ũ		
	11.	The examination of the legal thresholds required for		
		proving a 'failure of constitutional machinery' under		
		Article 356.		

	iii. Recommendations for legislative or constitutional		
	reforms to refine the application of Article 356 to		
	safeguard against its potential misuse while ensuring state		
	governments are held accountable.		
Q 13	In the Republic of Indirapura, recent amendments to the constitution have		
	sought to modify the existing provisions under Articles 124(2) and		
	217(1), which govern the appointment and transfer of judges to the		
	Supreme Court and High Courts, respectively. Traditionally, these		
	appointments and transfers were predominantly influenced by the		
	judiciary through the collegium system, ensuring judicial independence.		
	However, the amendments propose an increased role for the executive,		
	which includes the establishment of a new commission where the		
	majority are members appointed by the executive, including a significant		
	representation from the ruling political party.		
	The first use of this amended process was marked by controversy. The		CO4
	executive-dominated commission appointed several judges to the		
	Supreme Court and various High Courts. Allegations surfaced that many		
	appointees had significant ties to the ruling party, raising concerns over	23	04
	their impartiality. Additionally, some senior judges suitable for positions		
	based on their qualifications and experience were overlooked,		
	presumably due to their past judgments that had unfavourably affected		
	government policies.		
	As a constitutional lawyer, you have been approached by a group of		
	retired judges and senior advocates to challenge these appointments and		
	the constitutional amendments. They argue that these changes		
	compromise the independence of the judiciary and potentially infringe		
	upon the doctrine of separation of powers as envisioned in the		
	Constitution of Indirapura. (pari materia to the Constitution of India)		
	Prepare a comprehensive legal brief for the Constitutional Court of		
	Indirapura that:		

i.	Assesses the constitutionality of the amendments to Articles	
	124(2) and 217(1), particularly in the context of the	
	independence of the judiciary and the separation of powers.	
ii.	Analyzes the potential conflicts introduced by these	
	amendments between the executive and judiciary branches of	
	government.	
iii.	Proposes arguments that could be used to challenge these	
	amendments in the Constitutional Court, including any	
	precedents from comparative jurisdictions that underline the	
	importance of judicial independence.	